



ANNUAL REPORT OF THE MASSACHUSETTS TRIAL COURT

1984



MR
401M3
A615
1984
C.2

**Brockton
Division,
District
Court
Department**

**Concord
Division,
District
Court
Department**

**Essex
Superior
Court
at
Newburyport**

**ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
MASSACHUSETTS
TRIAL COURT**

1984

**Gardner
Division,
District
Court
Department**

**Barnstable
Superior
Court**

**Framingham
Division,
District
Court
Department**

**Annual Report
Of The
Massachusetts Trial Court**

1984

**Arthur M. Mason
Chief Administrative Justice**

TRIAL COURT OF THE COMMONWEALTH
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108

ARTHUR M. MASON
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE

March 1, 1985

Honorable Edward F. Hennessey
Chief Justice
Supreme Judicial Court
1300 New Court House
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Dear Chief Justice Hennessey:

In compliance with M.G.L. c. 211B, sec. 9, I am pleased to submit herewith the sixth Annual Report of the Massachusetts Trial Court. The Report is a compilation of narrative and statistical material relating the activities of the Trial Court during 1984.

Our initial Annual Report for 1978 consisted of approximately 65 pages. The statistical information contained in the Report was gathered manually and simply reported.


The Annual Report for 1984 is 241 pages. It was produced with the assistance of state of the art technology. The Report contains computer generated graphics as well as a number of new charts with meaningful data correlated in a variety of formats.

The Annual Report for 1984 presents the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court with a comprehensive, detailed and we believe accurate overview of the progress in the Trial Court during the past six years. It also permits the Justices the opportunity to discern those areas within the Trial Court requiring further attention.

The submission of the Annual Report of the Trial Court to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court also provides me with the opportunity to acknowledge and appropriately credit Henry L. Barr, Esquire, Trial Court Administrator, the Administrative Justices, Associate Justices, the staff of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice and the approximately 5,000 employees of the Trial Court for their commitment to excellence.

To you, Chief Justice, and to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court, my personal appreciation for your continuing interest and support in our administrative efforts to improve the orderly administration of justice within the Trial Court.

Sincerely,




Arthur M. Mason
Chief Administrative Justice

AMM:SEH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Office of the Chief Administrative Justice.....	1
The Trial Court	13
Statistical Appendix	29
The Boston Municipal Court Department.....	35
The District Court Department.....	49
The Housing Court Department	95
The Juvenile Court Department.....	101
The Land Court Department.....	109
The Probate and Family Court Department.....	115
The Superior Court Department.....	137
 Office of the Commissioner of Probation.....	 155
Statistical Appendix.....	163

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE
OF THE TRIAL COURT



Office of the Chief Administrative Justice

The Sixth Annual Report of the Chief Administrative Justice is prepared pursuant to the provisions of G.L. c. 211B, s.9. The report summarizes the operation of the Massachusetts Trial Court from January 1, 1984, to December 31, 1984. Administrative summaries of the Administrative Offices of the seven Trial Court Departments, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation, and the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice pertain to calendar year 1984 while the statistical findings of these departments were compiled during Fiscal Year 1984 (July 1, 1983, to June 30, 1984).

Pursuant to the Court Reorganization Act of 1978, the several Trial Courts of the Commonwealth were administratively consolidated into a Trial Court consisting of seven departments. These departments include the Superior Court, District Court, Probate and Family Court, Juvenile Court, Boston Municipal Court, Land Court, and Housing Court.

The Chief Administrative Justice is the administrative head of the Trial Court. To assist the Chief Administrative Justice, the position of Administrator of Courts for the Trial Court was statutorily mandated.

Each of the seven departments of the Trial Court is administered by an Administrative Justice. The Trial Court as an administrative unit consists of 279 Associate Justices. In addition, the organization of the Trial Court includes the Office of the Commissioner of Probation.



Left, Chief Administrative Justice Arthur M. Mason. Right, Court Administrator Henry L. Barr



The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice (OCAJ) is organized into four major departments: Employee Relations/Personnel, Fiscal, Legal, and Systems and Planning. In addition, OCAJ utilizes an administrative support staff and a special projects team.

During 1984, OCAJ implemented several new projects which led to modernization in data and support collections. An on-line Civil Case Information System was fully implemented in the entire Superior Court Department, and the Probation Receipt Accounting System (PRA) added seven court locations to its network.

Other accomplishments of OCAJ included the execution of four collective bargaining agreements affecting about 1,700 Trial Court employees, the acquisition of the old District Courthouse in Cambridge, the offering of several education and training programs, minimum standards for law library collections were established, and the purchasing of materials to equip courthouses in New Bedford and Westfield.

In July 1984, a Judicial Response System was implemented. The statewide system ensures the ability to conduct a judicial hearing anywhere in the state, 24 hours per day, 7 days a week. Over 200 Trial Court Justices participated in the system, serving in a rotational system either as "on call" or "back up" justice for one of nine geographic regions. Through a cooperative effort with the Massachusetts State Police and their communications network, Trial Court Justices responded to 139 requests for judicial intervention after regularly scheduled court hours in the last six months of 1984. The system is centrally administered at the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice.

Legislation enacted in 1984 (C. 234 of the Acts of 1984) established a court services committee. The committee was charged with researching and evaluation court clinic programs and making recommendations for a comprehensive court clinic program for the Commonwealth. The evaluation study was undertaken in late 1984 by Abt Associates. The committee will study the report and make its findings to the Legislature in the spring of 1985.

Legislation was also enacted (C. 197 of the Acts of 1984) providing for a systematic review of foster care placements by the committing court and the Department of Social Services so that permanency planning for children in foster care is facilitated. In anticipation of the effective date of the Legislation (July 1, 1985), a court committee is developing rules for foster care review hearings. Training sessions on the implementation of the Legislation will be conducted in the spring of 1985.

The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice supervised the first full year of operation for the Trial Court Records Facility. Located at Worcester State Hospital, the storage facility stores court documents which cannot be destroyed. This enables clerk-magistrates to ease overcrowding conditions within their courthouses. As of this writing, only a third of the facility's storage capacity is being used, and court records have been received from many divisions of the Trial Court.



Left, the Trial Court Records Storage Facility. Right, Justices R. Welsh, L. Feloney, and A. Burns attend the Judicial Response Conference.



Employee Relations/Personnel

The following is a summary of the major activities in the Employee Relations Department covering the period from January 1, 1984, through December 31, 1984:

During the year the collective bargaining negotiations completed with four Unions covering approximately 1,690 employees were the following:

- On March 22, 1984, an Agreement was executed with the O.P.E.I.U. (Office and Professional Employees International Union), Local 6, Professional Unit. This Agreement is for the three-year period from July 1, 1983, through June 30, 1986 and covers approximately 50 employees.
- On August 9, 1984, an Agreement was executed with the S.E.I.U. (Service Employees International Unit), Local 254, (Court Officers and Probation Officers). This Agreement is for the three-year period from July 1, 1984, through June 30, 1987, and covers approximately 1,500 employees.
- On August 16, 1984, an Agreement was executed with the Suffolk County Superior Court Officers' Association. This Agreement is for the three-year period from July 1, 1984, through June 30, 1987, and covers approximately 75 employees.
- On September 16, 1984, an Agreement was executed with the Middlesex County Superior Court Officers' Association. This Agreement is for the period from July 1, 1984, through June 30, 1987 and covers approximately 65 employees.
- The Chief Administrative Justice submitted to the Governor requests for the appropriation necessary to fund the estimated incremental cost increase for each of the new Agreements.

Several changes were made in the new Agreements with the Unions. Some of the more substantive changes were as follows:

- Each contract contains a provision to provide for a dental and optical health plan for employees. During the life of the Agreements, the Employer will contribute a maximum of \$4.00 per week per each full-time employee the equivalent covered by the Agreement. A dental and optical health plan was also included in this Agreement with the O.P.E.I.U. executed on June 28, 1983.
- Each contract contains a provision to allow an employee to bring a grievance directly to management for discussion and resolution without the intervention of the Union. This action would be taken by an employee prior to the filing of a formal written grievance. A resolution to the problem made by management must not be inconsistent with the terms of the applicable collective bargaining Agreement.
- Each contract contains a provision which provides for the remission of tuition in whole or in part for an employee enrolled at a State institution of higher education excluding the University of Massachusetts Medical Center. Employees who enroll in a degree program receive full tuition remission, and employees who enroll in a continuing program receive one-half tuition remission. During the year, the department processed 630 employee requests for tuition remission.

Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual. Section 4.600 - Classification and Wage Compensation Plan was added to the manual. This procedure provides a means by which a department head can request that a position be evaluated for change as to job content and/or salary level within the overall systemwide

compensation program established for the Trial Court Department. The program is designed to respond to the operational needs of the several departments of the Trial Court. During the year, we received 70 requests from departments to review positions. Eleven were approved, seven were rejected, and 52 are in process.

Section 16.00 - Rules and Discipline was amended to include a procedure to be followed by the Advisory Committee on Personnel Standards regarding the removal of officers and employees pursuant to G.L. c.211B s.8.

Processing. About 1,260 new hires and promotions, 141 requests for leaves of absence, 2,369 requests for step increases, and 217 industrial accident reports were processed by the department. About 170 of the requests for step increases were rejected because of the nonconformance with the provisions for compensation as contained in the collective bargaining Agreements or Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual. During the year several seminars were held for court personnel who are responsible for processing industrial accident claims.

Affirmative Action. The affirmative action officer visited many divisions of the Trial Court in response to requests for technical assistance to insure affirmative action compliance. The officer met with Presiding Justices, Clerk-Magistrates, and Chief Probation Officers to discuss affirmative action. Since October 1980, minority employment has increased from 195 employees to 438 employees as of December 31, 1984. Since October 1980, minority employment has increased from 195 employees (3.9 percent) to 438 employees (9.1 percent) at the end of 1984. Minorities include American Indian, Asian/Pacific Islander, Cape Verdean, Blacks, and Hispanics.

Fiscal Department

The Fiscal Department is responsible for the financial operation of the Trial Court and for the preparation of its annual budget request.

In Fiscal Year 1984, budget requests, appropriations, and central accounts were set up for Justices' salaries, clerical assistance, warranty services, education, training, law libraries, jury/witness expenses and indigent defense costs. The indigent defense account for counsel, except juvenile counsel, was transferred from the Trial Court to a new public counsel operation in July of 1984 (Fiscal Year 1985). Through centralization, expenditure control has been improved. With the centralized purchasing of equipment and printing, competitive bidding procedures were developed for major expenditures. Requests for Proposals have resulted in fostering competition and increasing the purchasing power of the Trial Court's appropriation.

In 1984, new typewriter purchases for the system were continued, relieving the courts of the burdens of expensive maintenance contracts and costly parts for old machines. Purchases have expanded to include a variety of electronic typing units to meet the individual needs of the courts. Stations for typing and text editing and the typing of automative dockets are examples of units installed in some court departments. These various experimental installations have been selected in order to determine economic alternatives to the more expensive word processors.

A major purchasing effort made in Fiscal Year 1983 to furnish a new courthouse in New Bedford, which will house the divisions of both the District Court and Juvenile Court Departments, was completed in Fiscal Year 1984. The furnishing and equipping of the new courthouse facilities in Bristol County and Westfield were completed in Fiscal Year 1984, approximately 20 percent under budget.

Due to centralized expenditure planning and procedures, there have been no deficiencies in any of the accounts centralized through Fiscal Year 1984 with the exception of the indigent court cost account which costs are mandated by statute and, therefore, not within management control.

In Fiscal Year 1983, through the Request for Proposal procedure, a contract was signed with a banking institution for financial services required for processing Special Payments, i.e., checks processed by the courts for juror/witness fees. In July of Fiscal Year 1984, checking accounts were set up in each court, and new account numbers will be issued for each fiscal year. This will allow for better accountability and provide a way for reconciling monies received by the court divisions.

The improved Special Payments checking account system was further simplified in Fiscal Year 1984 through the redesigning of the McBee One-Write system for recording and reporting jury and witness expenses and the automated reconciliation reports generated by the bank. The redesigned system will facilitate the work performed by each court bookkeeper.

In addition, the manual Probation Receipt Accounting System was redesigned in a coordinated effort by a number of chief probation offices and the Department of Fiscal Affairs. Because of the contract terms between the Trial Court and the vendor, redesigned systems, inventory control practices and all associated costs (including emergency and other shipping costs) will be paid by the vendor. Changes in system design, inventory control, procurement procedures, and management policy have led to an overall decrease in costs since the initial implementation of the receipting and disbursement systems.

A Commodity Coding System for identifying the various types of goods purchased by the Trial Court as required by state purchasing procedures was implemented in the fall of 1984. Training workshops were conducted by the Fiscal staff of the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice in various locations throughout the Commonwealth, and implementation was in place by October 1984.

Major design work for the automation of a financial information system was completed in Fiscal Year 1984. The system will automate the invoice processing procedures and the budget process. Automation of the invoice processing procedures is now being developed and will be initiated in the spring of Fiscal Year 1985. The automation of the budget process system has been completed since October 1, 1984, and will be ready for use by June 30, 1985.

The financial information system encompasses data on both the central accounts administered through the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice and the court divisions' accounts, administered locally with invoices processed through the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice. The system will provide timely information necessary for the preparation of accurate budget requests and spending plans required by executive and legislative agencies. Microcomputer hardware, necessary to implement the system, was obtained early in 1984.

Legal Department

The Legal Department is responsible for the oversight of legal matters within the Trial Court and confers regularly with persons within the executive and legislative branches of state government concerning legal and administrative matters.

Legislation. The Legal Department is involved in the preparation, review, and filing of legislation on behalf of the judicial branch as well as the daily monitoring of the legislative process. Reports and research material on legislation are also provided to the Massachusetts Judicial Conference at its regularly scheduled meetings. The department responds to inquiries from legislative committees, the Governor's Legislative Office, and interested citizens groups on proposed legislation.

Contracts. The Legal Department is responsible for the review and approval of all contracts entered into by the Trial Court including contracts to purchase or lease equipment, furnishings, or services. Any necessary amendments to contracts are negotiated and drafted by the department. The Legal Department reviewed, negotiated and, where appropriate, drafted amendments to over 300 contracts during calendar year 1984.

Labor. The Legal Department is responsible for the conduct of litigation of labor issues before State, and on occasion Federal Courts, the State Labor Relations Commission, the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination, the Civil Service Commission, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Department of Employment Security and for research, preparation of briefs and development of information necessary for litigation, negotiations, grievances, and other related matters. Grievance arbitration is conducted when necessary before arbitrators, and advice is provided on labor issues, negotiations, labor contracts, and personnel and employment law to the Chief Administrative Justice, the Trial Court Administrator, Department Heads, and the Director of Employee Relations.

Real Property. The Legal Department is responsible for the drafting and negotiation of leases for 82 county-owned buildings, 14 city and town-owned buildings, and 10 privately-owned buildings. It is anticipated that the total rental monies that will be paid under these lease agreements for Fiscal Year 1985 will be approximately \$29,000,000. The department participates in rental hearing under Mass. G.L. Chapter 29A and appeals therefrom to the Supreme Judicial Court.

The department oversees new construction and renovation of courthouse facilities and is presently participating in projects involving (1) renovations to the Suffolk County Courthouse, the Haverhill Division, and the New Bedford Probate and Family Courthouse; (2) new construction of courthouses for the Amesbury, Newburyport, Chicopee and Palmer Divisions; and (3) the purchase of the old Third District Court building in East Cambridge. A capital outlay budget for the Judicial Branch is developed annually by the department after consultation with the Judicial Facilities Committee. The capital outlay recommendations for Fiscal Year 1986 totaled \$69,000,000.

Litigation. Justices, Clerk-Magistrates, Registers of Probate, Chief Probation Officers, and other personnel in the Trial Court are on occasion made parties to litigation before a court or administrative agency. Many of these cases have broad implications for the entire court system and often require

representation of multiple party defendants on identical issues. The Legal Department determines whether the matter should be handled in-house or referred to the Department of the Attorney General for representation. Thirty-four actions, exclusive of labor cases, were commenced against personnel with the Trial Court in calendar year 1984. The Office of the Chief Administrative Justice initiated two lawsuits in calendar year 1984 to require Counties to correct certain conditions in courthouse facilities which adversely affected the ability of the Judicial Branch to safely and effectively administer justice.

General. The Legal Department, in its principal function to provide research assistance to the Chief Administrative Justice and the Trial Court Administrator, prepares memoranda in response to inquiries from the Legislature and Executive Branches of government and responds to questions of a legal nature from within the Judicial System and the general public on a variety of subjects. The department drafts and submits to the Chief Administrative Justice proposed Administrative Directives, orders, correspondence, memoranda, and informational bulletins. It also assists the Chief Administrative Justice with his responsibility to review all proposed rules, rules amendments, and standing orders of the various departments of the Trial Court and provides support to Trial Court Committees working in these areas. The Legal Department also provides support assistance in personnel matters, in the development of standard personnel policies and procedures and has participated in the continuing effort to develop and standardize forms and procedures throughout the Departments of the Trial Court. It also coordinated 180 interdepartmental assignments of Justices pursuant to Mass. G.L. Chapter 211B, Section 9 for the Chief Administrative Justice during calendar year 1984.



The Legal Department negotiated the purchase of the old Third District Courthouse in Cambridge which was acquired by the Trial Court on January 24, 1985.

Systems and Planning Department

The Systems and Planning Department was organized in late 1981 and combined the operations of planning and research, administration of law libraries, computer systems development, education and training, and public information under one manager to improve the effectiveness of all these functions. The Department is able to form working teams around specific issues and projects and to maintain control over progress on a daily basis.

In April 1982, responsibility for the management of computer systems programming and operations was added to the department, bringing all aspects of data processing functions together under one director. Included among the department's responsibilities in addition to those above are statistical presentations of Trial Court activities, production of a monthly newsletter, and this Annual Report.

Automated Systems. Among the major computer system activities in 1984 was the addition of seven divisions of the Probate and Family Court and District

Court Departments to the Probation Receipt Accounting System (PRA) which the Department developed and began implementing in 1982, bringing to a total of 24 divisions using the system by the end of 1984. It is expected that more than 50 divisions of the Trial Court will be using this system when implementation is completed.

The PRA System provides probation offices of the Probate and District Court Divisions with completely automated collection and processing of support payments, restitutions, and other receipts through the use of remote computer terminals connected by leased data lines to our Burroughs 6800 mainframe computer in eastern Massachusetts. The PRA System has been very well received by the Trial Court Divisions.

The on-line Civil Case Information System was completed and implemented in Superior Court Divisions across the state in 1984, and programming for the on-line Criminal Case Information System was completed in 1984. The Criminal system will be implemented in Superior Court Divisions in 1985. Both of these systems provide Trial Court management with increased capacity to manage and improve the flow of cases through the Divisions.

Experimental court case management systems were developed and implemented on a test basis in two Superior Court Divisions in 1984. A Civil Case Tracking System is being tested in the Plymouth Division utilizing a remote terminal to the B6800 mainframe computer; and an Individual Calendaring Session System is being tested in the Middlesex Division utilizing a microcomputer. Both systems are designed to provide the Justices with the advantages of automation in management of their caseloads.

A major performance and capacity analysis of the Trial Court's Burroughs 6800 computer was conducted in 1984, which yielded several results: improvements were made in several computer programs to improve the efficiency of their utilization or processor memory; it was determined that efficient operation of those programs required additional processor memory, which was added to the B6800 in November; and our staff knowledge of performance and capacity analysis techniques was substantially increased.

Also, in the course of this analysis, it was determined that the response time required by the on-line users of our computer programs could not be achieved by the B6800 computer and that a second, more powerful processor would need to be added to work in tandem with the B6800 processor to provide the required response time. It was also determined that the already planned addition of users to our computer systems in the next year would exceed the capacity of those two processors, and the B6800 processor would need to be replaced at that time with a more powerful processor.

Accordingly, a deficiency appropriation request was submitted for purchase of a Burroughs A9F processor, and documentation of our anticipated expenditures in the next two years for child support enforcement through the PRA System was submitted through the State Child Support Office to the Federal Child Support Office in application for Federal reimbursement totalling \$2,500,000, which will more than cover the cost of purchase of two A9F processors. The Federal Child Support Office has already expressed strong support for this reimbursement, and routine approval is expected.

Meanwhile, addition of new users to our computer systems is being delayed until the new processor is installed.

At the request of the Administrative Justice of the Probate and Family Court Department, a major systems analysis effort was begun in 1984 on the operations of the Register of Probate offices with the intent of determining the best ways to automate those operations. The systems analysis was well advanced at year end, and it is anticipated that automated system design and programming for those offices will be completed and implementation of that system will begin in 1985.

Other systems completed and implemented on microcomputers in 1984 included a personnel information system for the OCAJ Personnel Department; and a personnel scheduling system, budget analysis system, and equipment inventory system for the Fiscal Department.

This Department also supported during 1984 the expansion of the automated Jury Management System to Essex and Suffolk Counties and the extensive preparation required for expansion of this system in 1985 to Worcester and Hampden Counties.

Education and Training. During 1984, the education and training unit developed training programs for Trial Court professional staff, funded the numerous educational efforts of the Trial Court Departments, and provided coordinating and staffing services for the Judicial Response System Conference.

The 18 Trial Court law libraries received support from the central education account for the development of three programs. The programs conducted were Evaluation of Vendor Services, Serving the Public Patron, and Serving the Primary Patron.

Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) certificates were earned by almost 200 court officers. A computerized system for tracking participants and programs was developed. It provides accurate and timely reports on CPR-certified personnel.

Briefing sessions on competitive bid and contract procedures were conducted across the state during the fall. The Legal Department conducted the sessions with administrative support and coordinating services provided by the education and training unit.

A training program for Fiscal Staff in the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice on written communication skills was conducted in December.

Two programs were conducted on disciplinary procedures under union agreements for Presiding Justices, clerk-magistrates, and registers of probate. The programs focused on the concepts and practical application of due process and progressive discipline in labor relations and the role of the department head in the process.

The education unit was responsible for the development, editing, and overall production of the Judicial Response System Handbook. The education unit also coordinated the production of the Judicial Response System conferences held in June.

Funds from the central account for education-supported programs for judges and clerks from the Superior Court Department, District Court Department, Juvenile Court Department, and the Probate and Family Court Department. The Law-Related Education Program and the program on the humanities, "To Do Justice", of the District Court Department also received financial support from the central account for education and training. Funds were used to support individual conference fees for seminars and workshops conducted in-state.



Left and center, court officers receive instruction in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). In these two photos, the officers are taught the Heimlich maneuver. At right, Clerk-Magistrates Philip C. Carr, Pittsfield; Carlo A. Tagliavini, Westfield; and Robert E. Fein, Springfield; attend the Disciplinary and Grievance, Procedures Workshop held in December 1984.

Law Libraries. During 1984, the librarians began to keep statistics on who uses the libraries. The results indicate that 73 percent of the users are part of the legal community (judges, law clerks, court personnel, and attorneys) and 27 percent of the users are students, public officials, and public patrons.

A departmental law librarian was hired in February to work with the seven Trial Court Departments on maintenance of lobby collections and divisional library collections. The Guidelines for the Delivery of Library Services to the Trial Court provide the minimum standards for these collections. As of 1984, each division has a library contact in the court who handles maintenance of the lobby and/or library collections.

With constantly expanding legal series, the librarians continued to weed the collections of the least used materials in order to provide growth space for new materials. Three libraries (Dukes, Essex, and Plymouth) have completely rearranged the collections to make them more accessible to the user.

With the cooperation of the County Commissioners, the Berkshire, New Bedford and Plymouth Law Libraries were painted, which greatly enhanced the working environments. The Plymouth Law Library is now staffed daily from 9:00 to 1:00.

The librarians continue to utilize interlibrary loan to obtain materials needed by patrons which are not in the collection, advise courts which are either creating or updating their library collections, teach other librarians about legal materials, and meet several times a year to discuss how to improve library service to the Trial Court.


Computer-Assisted Legal Research (CALR). After a trial year, CALR terminals have been located in seven locations. LEXIS is available in Boston (Superior Court and Boston Municipal Court) and Springfield. Westlaw is available in Brockton, Cambridge, Dedham, and Salem. Law clerks, judges, and other appropriate Trial Court personnel continue to be trained.



Several Trial Court law libraries underwent renovation during 1984. Left, law library coordinator Marnie Warner assists the realignment of the Brockton Law Library. Right, the New Bedford Law Library gets ready for cleanup.

Public Information. The public information officer writes and edits the monthly newsletter of the Trial Court, the Bay State Briefs, for 5,000 personnel throughout the 14 counties of the Commonwealth. This office also is responsible for the production of the Annual Report of the Trial Court, a text which contains the administrative and statistical highlights for the seven Trial Court Departments, the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice, and the Office of the Commissioner of Probation. The public information officer also conducts courthouse tours for visiting school groups and foreign dignitaries, tackles special projects, and responds to inquiries from the press and the public.

MASSACHUSETTS JUDICIAL SYSTEM



The Massachusetts Trial Court

Boston Municipal Court Department

The Boston Municipal Court Department has shown an increase in the workload of all departments. The number of civil cases in the Civil Division of the Boston Municipal Court Department has increased from 34,302 in Fiscal Year 1983 to 37,989 in Fiscal Year 1984.

There has been a marked increase in the Small Claims Division of the Civil Clerk-Magistrate's Office, with 6,457 Small Claims commenced and 3,901 Small Claims Supplementary Process cases initiated for a total of 10,358 entries. To service the additional caseload in Small Claims, the Clerk-Magistrate has merged the Supplementary Process and Small Claims Departments. The Small Claims staff is further enhanced by the use of additional personnel, including an Assistant Clerk-Magistrate, on a daily basis. No staff was added to the Civil Clerk-Magistrate's Office.

In Fiscal Year 1984, under the Uniform Magistrate Rules, the Civil Clerk-Magistrate's Office processed and disposed of over 6,200 civil motions, and the court acted on more than 5,300 applications for attachment (keepers, real estate, etc.) during the same period. There was no marked increase in Summary Process cases during Fiscal Year 1984.

During Fiscal 1984, the court heard over 2,500 cases (including remands and assessments) on the regular civil docket. Total executions issued in Fiscal 1984 amounted to 12,033. Under the provisions of Municipal/District Court Rule 109, older civil cases were placed on the trial list during Fiscal 1984. A total of 1,355 cases was dismissed due to neither party appearing, defendant only appearing, or cases reported settling.

The Civil Clerk's Office is now handling complaints under Chapter 209A (Spousal Abuse). It is also to be noted that the Boston Municipal Court Department has its own Appellate Division for the review of cases decided in this court.

In the Criminal Division of the Boston Municipal Court Department, the business handled in the Suffolk County Jury-of-Six Session has increased each year since court reorganization. In Fiscal 1984 the Jury-of-Six Session received over 5,300 complaints from all the courts in Suffolk County, including the Boston Municipal Court Department, as compared to 3,368 in 1979. The percentage of first instance jury cases received has increased from 25 percent of the total caseload in 1979 to about 50 percent of the total caseload in 1984. Although the criminal caseload of the Boston Municipal Court Department has not significantly increased during Fiscal 1984, the number of probable cause hearings involving murder, rape, robbery, etc. has increased.

The library has been improved, and the Boston Municipal Court is one of the first sites in the state using court-owned equipment for computer-assisted legal research. All of the judges have been trained in the use of LEXIS, and several use the lobby microcomputer regularly.

Microcomputers also have been installed in the Probation Department and the two Clerks' offices. Management reports, word processing, graphic presentations, and communications are regularly used to improve court operations.

Destruction, removal, and/or selective retention of court records will be undertaken throughout the year. This will free considerable storage space for current work. Flat filing and automated complaint preparation ("SYSTEMATICS") will be implemented in the criminal clerk's office.

Mediation (with the Crime and Justice Foundation and the Massachusetts Bar Association) continues to handle approximately 200 referrals each year.



In December 1984, the BMC shipped 1400 boxes of civil cases dating from the 1700's to 1960 to the Trial Court Records Storage Facility. L-R, Sean Durant and William Lynch make a final check before the shipment.

District Court Department

Sentencing Standards. In September 1984, the Administrative Office issued a new volume in its series of Standards of Judicial Practice. Titled "Sentencing and Other Dispositions," the standards address such issues as the form and contents of probation reports, the appropriate use of continuances without a finding, the role of probation, fines and surcharges, the use of suspended sentences and incarceration, modifications of original dispositions and a variety of other matters. Prepared by the District Court Committee on Standards, these standards will contribute to better understanding of the complex procedural issues and the nomenclature surrounding the sentencing process in the District Court. They address the procedural side of the sentencing process only, however, and not the question of what a "correct" sentence in a particular case should be, the latter being a matter that is reserved to the sound discretion of the court.

While not mandatory in application in the sense of rules, the Standards of Judicial Practice represent a qualitative consensus reached by the Committee on Standards. Each district court is expected to strive for compliance with the standards and treat them as a statement of desirable practice to be departed from only with good reason.

Small Claims Standards. Also issued in 1984 were standards of judicial practice addressing the small claims process. Prepared by the District Court Committee on Small Claims, these long-awaited standards cover an area where consumerism and court procedure often meet and where the court must attempt to specially fulfill its twin obligations of doing justice and assuring the appearance of justice. The absence of counsel and the sincerity and faith with which parties often prosecute their positions can make fulfillment of these obligations particularly difficult in small claims cases. It is to help guide the court in its difficult task that the standards are dedicated. The 41 standards included in this volume cover such areas as commencing small claims actions, issuing notice, pretrial matters, mediation vs. trial, and judgments and payment orders as well as organizational factors conducive to the efficient operation of the small claims section of the clerk-magistrate's office.

SYSTEMATIC-I. SYSTEMATIC-I is a District Court criminal case processing system that combines modern forms design with electronic word processing equipment to produce in an efficient manner the papers necessary for criminal case processing. The system was installed in all 69 divisions in 1984 and was fully operational in all but a small number by the end of the year. The balance of the courts began using SYSTEMATIC-I on January 1, 1985.

Along with the modern disc-driven memory typewriters on which SYSTEMATIC-I operates, open shelf-filing equipment has also been delivered to the many district courts in order to implement flat filing and a color-coded filing system in criminal cases. These are integral elements of the SYSTEMATIC-I case processing system.

Criminal Penalty Book. A major accomplishment of SYSTEMATIC-I has been the implementation of uniform complaint language in the District Court. A committee of judges and clerk-magistrates reviewed the "charging language" of nearly 400 District Court offenses and established uniform language for each. The committee is now preparing a penalty book that will be a quick reference to the statutory penalties for these 400 offenses, plus others. The book will be distributed to all District Court judges. Upon the completion of the book, the committee expects to continue its work to integrate the penalties and the complaint language so that criminal complaints printed automatically on the SYSTEMATIC-I equipment will contain both and thus be more convenient for all participants in the criminal process.

SYSTEMATIC-II. The success of SYSTEMATIC-I has led to the prototype development of SYSTEMATIC-II, a microcomputer system to facilitate the processing of criminal cases in the District Court clerk-magistrates' offices. A joint project of the Administrative Office of the District Court and the Administrative Office of the Trial Court, SYSTEMATIC-II holds the promise of greatly improved recordkeeping in the clerk-magistrates' offices and better tracking and management of cases. SYSTEMATIC-II contains programs for the preparation of trial lists, etc. SYSTEMATIC-II is being tested in the Barnstable District Court, the "laboratory court" in the District Court system. On completion of the development and testing of SYSTEMATIC-II, it is hoped that it can be implemented throughout the District Court.

Support Enforcement. This continues to be a major focus of District Court activity. Child support collections in the District Court continued to climb during 1984. As of the end of October, nearly 25 million dollars had been collected. This is an increase of 15 percent over 1983 and nearly 40 percent over the same period in 1982.

This year there was also a major decrease in the number of names submitted to the IRS for the tax refund intercept program. Most of the approximately 45 percent increase in names submitted was attributable to District Court activity and participation. In addition, time spent by court employees on support activities results in federal reimbursement to the state of approximately 5 million dollars per year.

Massachusetts continues to be one of the most cost-effective states in support enforcement.

Law-Related Education. The District Court Law-Related Education (LRE) Program focused upon three major areas this year--improving local LRE programs,

increasing the commitment of other agencies to the concept of law-related education, and building greater public understanding of the statewide one day-one trial jury system.

A special "in-house" publication was created to serve the needs of the 69 LRE coordinators throughout the department. Special attention was paid to helping local courts design effective Law Day programs. In some communities, mock trials were videotaped and shown on school television stations and local cable TV or run in conjunction with local schools to help make the connection between drinking, driving, and upcoming senior proms.

In cooperation with the Massachusetts Association for Law-Related Education, the program reached out to other agencies to encourage increased commitment of resources to the field of LRE. Numerous presentations were made to groups, and small grants were used to bring together people from varying backgrounds to conduct long-range planning designed to institutionalize law-related education in the schools.

Emphasis was also placed upon building public awareness of the one day-one trial jury system. The District Court LRE program cooperated with the Supreme Judicial Court, the Superior Court, and the Office of the Jury Commissioner to sponsor a day-long jury system conference for educators and court personnel. The LRE program also helped the Fitchburg District Court and Fitchburg State College to plan and conduct a second jury education conference patterned after this event.

Mediation. Mediation is an area of increasing public interest. It involves an independent third party who acts as a facilitator to the volunteer resolution of a dispute. It is particularly suited to resolving the underlying problems in disputes that may not be conducive to the "winner take all" approach of traditional litigation, such as cases which involve neighbors, relatives, landlords and tenants, and others who have ongoing relationships. Such disputes lend themselves to a process like mediation which has the capacity to open communications, improve relationships, and lead to agreements which last over time. In addition to its potential healing quality, mediation also has the ability to involve local residents in the work of the courts as community mediators. This interaction between the courts and the community could lead to a better informed public and a court system that commands enhanced public respect and support.

To further explore the potential of mediation, the District Court has established a Mediation Project with funding from the Gardiner Howland Shaw Foundation. This three-year project has four principal goals: to identify and define an appropriate role for mediation as a dispute resolution mechanism in the District Court; to determine through research and experimentation how mediation should operate within the District Court; to explore and develop strategies for institutionalizing mediation as a dispute resolution technique in the District Court; and to establish and maintain in the Administrative Office of the District Court the capacity to give technical assistance to mediation programs. Comments or questions on mediation should be directed to Ms. Albie M. Davis, Mediation Project Director.

Stress. Judicial stress is a subject that is receiving increasing attention in professional literature and one that has become a matter of increasing interest in the District Court.

There are many factors that contribute to stress in the judiciary, including such things as social isolation, financial pressures, lack of objective feedback or constructive criticism on one's daily work, an overload in the amount of information and data that must be absorbed, organized and weighed by judges, the absence of control over caseload, and a variety of other factors. In order to learn more about these issues, District Court judges and clerk-magistrates have received presentations at their periodic conferences on the subject of stress and "burnout."

In 1984, a further step was taken by the formation of a committee of District Court judges to analyze stress-producing factors and how they can be reduced or dealt with. The committee has been meeting regularly and has been assisted in its work by Dr. Isaiah M. Zimmerman, an internationally recognized clinical psychologist and expert on the highly specialized subject of judicial stress. The committee is pursuing a number of initiatives, including the preparation of a monograph on stress for District Court judges, the development of a mentor system to reduce the stress of transition to the bench for new judges, and the development of a syllabus for teaching about stress. It is hoped that the work of the committee will lead to a capacity within the District Court to address this complicated but recognized issue.

Studies. The District Court Administrative Office undertook several studies and surveys in 1984.

One such study pertained to court officers. The role of court officers in the District Court has undergone great change in recent years. For example, there is increasing concern over the issue of security in the district courts. The District Court survey of presiding justices gathered information on court officer staffing needs and helped identify areas where additional training is necessary.

A survey was also taken on the submission of motor vehicle abstracts by the district courts to the Registry of Motor Vehicles. This survey highlighted courts with abstract processing problems and helped to pinpoint areas where improvements in motor vehicle procedures are necessary. As a result of this study, a joint District Court-Registry of Motor Vehicles task force is being formed to prepare a handbook of instructions on the preparation and transmittal of Registry abstracts.

Another major survey that was undertaken pertains to warrants and defaults. Increasing attention is being given to this area of court administration. The District Court Committee on Motor Vehicle Proceedings is considering the problems arising from defaulting offenders and the management of warrants, both in motor vehicle and regular criminal cases. As part of the work of the committee, a questionnaire was distributed to all clerk-magistrates, and a great deal of information on how warrants are processed and managed in the individual district courts was gathered. In addition, visits were made to a number of district courts to observe default and warrant practices and to solicit the views of judges, clerk-magistrates and chief probation officers. A lengthy memorandum was distributed to the courts with an invitation for comment. The memorandum was not offered as a prescriptive plan but rather as a vehicle for thought and discussion and a means of heightening consciousness in this area. Additional work is going on in the warrant area, and a number of significant improvements are anticipated.

Trial de novo. In late 1983, the District Court Committee on Juries of Six filed a report which recommended the elimination of the trial de novo system in

the Massachusetts District Court Department. The committee re-examined past recommendations for the elimination of trial de novo and designed a system for accomplishing that elimination. The report contains an extensive discussion of the history of the District Court and the development of the trial de novo system from early times, along with a lengthy statistical analysis and comment and discussion on a wide variety of related issues.

In December 1984, the Chief Justice of the District Court filed legislation to establish a one-trial system to replace the present de novo system in the District Court. Central to the proposed system is a process to insure each defendant the opportunity for a pretrial disposition after a pretrial conference. This procedure would operate so as to minimize delay and the burden on victims, while still maintaining the defendant's options for an appropriate pretrial disposition or a trial with or without a jury. The bill also contains a provision to allow for a return to the de novo procedure should the new system create unexpected adverse effects on the proper administration of justice.

Further information on the subject of trial de novo, including copies of the proposed legislation, a summary of the legislation and copies of the report can be obtained from the Administrative Office of the District Court Department, Holyoke Building, Holyoke Square, Salem, MA 01970.

Decriminalization. In 1984 the Administrative Office also filed comprehensive legislation to further simplify the handling of minor motor vehicle cases. Presently these matters may be disposed of non-criminally within a certain period, but the case becomes criminal if the violator does not respond. This necessitates many of the technical trappings of criminal procedure in a context which many persons believe should be basically administrative.

The proposed legislation would make most minor motor vehicle offenses non-criminal infractions. They would still be heard by the clerk-magistrates of the District Court, but instead of the case becoming criminal if the violator fails to respond, the violator would be informed that his driver's license and registration would be deemed suspended by operation of law at the end of 30 days unless the violator clears up the matter with the court and presents the Registry of Motor Vehicles with a certificate to that effect. The court would retain other options to bring the violator to court, such as the issuance of warrants and the initiation of contempt proceedings, but the threat of loss of license is expected to be the only sanction that would be necessary in most cases.

The proposed system has been developed in consultation with court, police, and Registry of Motor Vehicles personnel and offers the potential for greater efficiency, increased revenue collections, and improved highway safety.



Judge Thomas Carroll, Court Officer Mark Hirons, Gardner Division.



Mediation Director Albie Davis



Left, administrative assistant Ray Bardon, Quincy. Right, sitting, clerks Bert Schofield and Sandy Giroux and CPO Thomas Sullivan, Framingham.



Housing Court Department

With the creation of the Worcester County Division of the Housing Court Department, the Legislature has added a new dimension to the Housing Court Department. As with the Boston and Hampden Divisions, the new Worcester Division has powers in housing-related matters, both civil and criminal, equal to the District Court and Superior Court Departments. Jurisdiction is concurrent with these departments, i.e., housing-related cases which may be entered or heard in the District or Superior Courts may be alternatively entered and heard in the Housing Court. Unlike the other two divisions, the Worcester Division must hold its sessions in various locations throughout Worcester County. Sessions will be held in the City of Worcester and in northern and southern sections of the county. The Honorable John G. Martin has been selected as the First Justice of the Court.

In both the Boston and Hampden Divisions, government agencies and the public have made extensive use of the Housing Court because of the ready access, flexible resolution mechanisms, and broad powers of the courts. These assets now will be available to the citizens of Worcester County.

The caseload in the Boston Division reflects its urban nature: a high volume of cases deal with violations of the state sanitary code, the state building code, summary process, and other landlord-tenant issues.

The Hampden Division hears similar cases, especially contract and tort actions involving residential property. As of this writing, the Worcester Division had not settled into its quarters or started to hear cases.

Case Entries. For the second consecutive year, the number of new entries increased at the Boston Division. In Fiscal Year 1984, 14,026 new entries were filed, 5,545 show cause hearings were held, some 25,000 to 30,000 matters were scheduled before the first session, and no backlog exists.

In Boston, summary process entries increased from 3,506 in Fiscal Year 1983 to 4,035 in Fiscal Year 1984, an increase of 529 cases. This is a minimum of 80 cases which must be heard each Thursday. Since the Uniform Rules of Summary Process provide that cases will be scheduled in the Thursday of the next week following the Monday filing date, the average figure is frequently exceeded by 50 to 75 percent, and it is not uncommon to have 140 to 150 cases scheduled on a given Thursday.

The parties are given the opportunity for mediation before a housing specialist. The success rate is good and a majority of mediated matters result in an agreement for judgment which is subsequently approved by the court without such a resolution mechanism, it would not be possible to complete the court's heavy

summary process list without continuing cases for trial on other dates, and it is highly unlikely that the court could long remain current in its caseload.

The Boston Division also developed the first Housing Court internship in the nation with Suffolk University Law School. The program exposed second- and third-year law students to landlord and tenant problems and the applicable property law studied at law school. The program was successful and is being repeated in 1985.

Case entries in the Housing Court remained steady in 1984, with a consolidated increase in excess of the 21,274 cases entered in 1983.

The Boston Division registered an increase of 3 percent or 347 additional cases in reaching the 14,026 entries. Hampden has 234 fewer entries (-3 percent) in Fiscal Year 1984, but the 7,361 cases entered still represented a caseload 37 percent greater than five years ago.

Criminal entries were lower in both divisions, holding relatively steady in Boston.

Summary process cases were up in both divisions, holding relatively the same in Hampden but surging up by more than 500 cases in Boston. Civil cases were up 10 percent in Boston, 3 percent in Hampden. Small claims entries in Boston held relatively steady.



Chief Housing Inspector John Laurenti, Boston Division.

Juvenile Court Department

The Juvenile Court Department consists of divisions in Boston, Bristol County, Springfield, and Worcester. Within their respective jurisdictions, the divisions deal with all cases of delinquency, C.H.I.N.S. (Children in Need of Services), and Care and Protection Petitions. In those areas without juvenile courts, similar jurisdiction is exercised through the juvenile sessions of the District Courts. The divisions of the Juvenile Court Department also exercise jurisdiction over all de novo appeals within their respective counties.

During the past year, the department has continued its efforts to improve the delivery of psychiatric and psychological services throughout its jurisdiction. On-site clinic services have been provided to some extent at all divisions, under the direction of the Boston Juvenile Court Clinic, and further expansion is planned. Supervision and training are provided in three ways. 1) Each clinic has its own routine for intra-clinic supervision, collaboration, and case review. 2) A series of weekly seminars in forensic child psychiatry is offered to all personnel through the Boston Juvenile Court Clinic. 3) Monthly site visits to each clinic are made by the Boston Juvenile Court Clinic Director and Chief Social Worker.

Continuing its efforts to insure Permanency Planning for abused and neglected children, the Boston Division has expanded its CASA (Court Appointed Special Advocacy) Program, by recruiting and training additional volunteers. These volunteers, after intensive training, act as guardians ad litem, under the direction of a division staff person, to ensure that child abuse and neglect victims who have been removed from their own homes do not languish temporary foster homes. A community CASA Program has also been providing services to the Worcester Division.

With the cooperation of the superintendent of schools, an ongoing close liaison has continued between the Boston probation staff and the Boston Public Schools. This outreach program has resulted in an increase in truancy (CHINS) petitions and allowed for the early identification of the needs and the delivery of services to many children in their formative years, minimizing their potential for later delinquent activity.

The Citizenship Training Group Inc. (C.T.G.), the Youth Development Program, and Youth, Inc., all non-profit corporations, continue to provide intensive supervision and rehabilitative services, as a condition of probation, to the Boston, Springfield, and Worcester Courts respectively.

The Boston Division expanded its summer work program allowing more youngsters to learn better work habits, acquire some minimal occupational skills and remit restitution payments while deriving the benefits of earned income. The Boston Program has also recently added a girls' diversion program section to its C.T.G. schoolyear retraining and rehabilitation programs.

Court Jesters, Inc., at the Worcester Division, continues its recreational outlets for youngsters who have not previously participated in organized sports or other traditional programming. In conjunction with the United Way, Worcester has also initiated a diversion program wherein community service, in lieu of monetary payments, is an alternative disposition for minor motor vehicle violations.

The Springfield Division, in conjunction with the Division of Alcoholism, has continued its alcohol education program. This program is designed to alert juveniles to the dangers of alcohol abuse. Attendance is a condition of probation for those juveniles charged with possession or transportation of alcohol, and parent participation at the first of the program's four sessions is required.

The Springfield Division is also in the process of formalizing a program where community service projects are assigned as a condition of probation.

The department has continued its ongoing, in-service training program for its own personnel and for some others who provide court support services. Boston Division Court Clinic Training Programs include probation staff and other court personnel. Several individual staff members have taken advantage of the Trial Court Tuition Remission Program to further their education in court-related areas.

Consistent with its commitment to the education of the public in the area of children's needs and the role of the court in addressing these needs, court staff has continued to appear, upon request, before various medical, legal, social, and other community and child advocacy groups. Court staff members have participated in several training programs in conjunction with various public and private agencies. Divisions of the department continue to provide internships at both graduate and

undergraduate levels enabling students to gain field work experience in social work, counseling, law, medicine, and education.

In its continuing effort to improve the delivery of services to children and their families, staff members on all levels of the department serve on various judicial and legislative committees. Department staff actively assisted in the research and drafting of the Foster-Care Review Legislation recently enacted by the General Court and continue to serve on various committees concerned with the implementation of the statute's requirements.

The Department assisted in the research and implementation of the Trial Court's Statewide Judicial Response System which replaces a similar service vehicle provided in the Boston Division for the past six years. All judges of the Juvenile Court Department have volunteered to serve in the new Trial Court Statewide Judicial Response System.



CASA Director Patricia Walsh

Land Court Department

The statistics of the Land Court Department demonstrate that its caseload has again increased. The department is very grateful to the staff in the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice for its assistance, which enabled the department to keep pace with this increase.

The breakdown of newly-filed cases into categories shows that the number of tax foreclosure petitions increased significantly, while the number of mortgage foreclosure petitions declined. The processing of both types of foreclosures requires a considerable amount of time and effort by court personnel, and the Land Court Department is currently experiencing a backlog in the tax department. The department is taking steps to dissolve this backlog, including the redrafting of some of its forms. It is hoped that this problem will be resolved soon.

The number of land registration petitions has declined, but only slightly. Since the land registration procedure is rather expensive and time consuming, this indicates that the numbers of the conveyancing bar still feel that land registration is worth the time and effort. The Land Court is constantly seeking ways to make the process quicker and less expensive.

The court is still having problems with its engineering department. The engineering department has over 800 subdivision plans which have been submitted to the court and are waiting to be drafted and checked by Land Court draftsmen. Steps are being taken to rectify the situation, including restricting public access to the engineering department at certain times. The Land Court hopes that this temporary solution, while it may be an inconvenience to some, will help catch up in the drafting of these plans.

On contested cases in the court, the wait for a hearing is still quite short. This is due to the court policy of keeping an active interest in all cases and putting them on a "call of the list" sua sponte if the case seems to be getting "stagnant." It is hoped that this policy will avoid having to dismiss as many cases as in the past for lack of prosecution.

The Land Court is located on the fourth floor of the old Suffolk County Courthouse, and there are many people who come into the court and say that the quarters look like "something out of Charles Dickens." Nevertheless, the Land Court is attempting to improve both the facilities and the working conditions and are grateful to both the Courthouse Commission and the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice for their help. The Land Court is constantly striving to modernize and simplify procedures, and the recent acquisition of several computers, plotters, and word-processors should enable the department to do so.



Justice Marilyn Sullivan, Chief Justice William Randall, and Justice John E. Fenton. Charles Trombly is sworn in as the new Recorder on December 27, 1984.

Probate and Family Court Department

The Probate and Family Court Department, which consists of 14 divisions located in each county of the Commonwealth, has jurisdiction over such family related matters as divorce, separate support, custody and adoptions, as well as probate matters such as wills, trusts, guardianships, conservatorships, etc. It also has general equity jurisdiction, the importance of which has increased in recent years due to the "right to die," medical treatment, and anti-psychotic drug cases.

Records management was a major project for the department in 1984. A standard numbering, docketing and case folder system began on January 1, 1984, throughout the Commonwealth. Flat filing for new cases was mandated for all divisions. The system is the first step in automating registry functions.

Looking forward to 1985, a systems analysis team from the Office of the Chief Administrative Justice will conduct a study of paperflow and information management and will present an automation plan to the department. Pilot projects will begin as a result of the automation study. Also envisioned is a statewide forms management initiative to provide less expensive, better quality forms to all the courts from a single source. Additional flat-filing equipment and enhanced microfilming capability will also be secured.

The most significant legal development affecting the department this year was the Supreme Judicial Court's decision in Rogers v. Cmmr. of Mental Health. Pursuant to that decision, it is expected that several thousand new guardianships seeking authority to administer antipsychotic drugs to incompetent individuals will be brought. The department, in conjunction with the Mental Health Legal Advisors Committee, began a training program for attorneys to handle these cases in most of the affected divisions. Preparations were also made for adjustments in court scheduling to handle them.

The department continued its efforts in caseload management in 1984. Efforts are being made to reach the announced goal of having all contested trials heard within 60 days after request. In almost all divisions, the goal of 30 days of delay from request to hearing in uncontested cases has been met. Numerous activities are taking place to achieve these goals.

A standing order is in place which requires all contested cases with an expected duration of two hours or more to be pre-tried. Prior to the pre-trial counsel are required to prepare detailed memoranda and to meet to explore settlement possibilities. The order was adopted after experience in a few courts showed that well-run pre-trials can settle over 50 percent of contested cases. While almost all civil cases settle eventually, pre-trial fosters disposition before several days are blocked out for a trial, which leads to better utilization of judges' time.

Satellite sessions at Boston University and a number of District Courts in the Middlesex, Norfolk, and Worcester Divisions were continued. These sessions handle long and complex cases on specially assigned lists which allows the regular sessions to deal with greater numbers of short cases, motions, etc.

Since accurate information is crucial to scheduling of justices and sessions, the Administrative Office continued its policy of periodic audits of trial statistics. A representative of the office visited selected divisions to review trial delay computation and disposition information.

Of great assistance to the department in case management is the procedure established by the Chief Administrative Justice wherein related cases in two departments are assigned by him to one judge for hearing. This procedure eliminates the problem of a "slow" case in one department holding up disposition of a related matter in another. Two-thirds of the interdepartmental assignments involving probate cases have been handled by justices of this department.

The Case Flow Management Committee began a second round of visits to the courts to evaluate the implementation of prior recommendations and assess present conditions in the courts on issues ranging from facilities to personnel to trial delay. As part of each visit, the committee meets with representatives of local bar associations to get feedback on their perceptions of the strengths and weaknesses of the court. The committee has gotten an excellent reception from court personnel and the bar, in part because it provides a forum for raising issues which fact-to-face meetings with local judges might inhibit. After each visit, a report to the Chief Justice and the Chief Administrative Justice is prepared. After soliciting comments on the report from the justices, register, and chief probation officer, an implementation memorandum is issued by the Chief Justice.

The department has been able to observe different scheduling and calendar systems in the 14 Probate and Family Courts. Experience has confirmed the

findings of studies by the National Center for State Courts and other groups which suggest that trial scheduling and motivation of all participants, and not the calendar system employed, are the key factors in reducing delay. The two basic types of calendaring systems are the individual calendar and master calendar. Both have pros and cons.

Under a master calendar system, cases are not pre-assigned to particular justices. Motions are heard in a single session, which doubles as an assignment session for the day's list. If a case settles before its trial, another is available to take its place on the master list. A drawback to this system is that complex cases with extensive motions may not get the individual supervision that they need. Inordinate amounts of time can be taken reviewing history before a judge who is unfamiliar to the case.

In an individual calendar system, a case is assigned to a particular justice upon entry. That justice hears all motions, pre-trials, and the trial. The system gives the judge more latitude over schedules, assignments, and the pace of litigation. It allows the justice to become acquainted with complex cases which avoids repetitive dissertations in hearings before different justices on motions and pre-trial matters.

In practice, however, individual calendars have not produced faster dispositions in all sessions. Success varies among justices. Schedules have become less, rather than more, flexible in some cases with accessibility to judges being more limited, and the entire process stops during vacations and illness. The system eliminates peer pressure to keep up the pace since no other justice is affected by actions of another judge. The bar has complained that judges sometimes become too familiar with cases in the pre-trial stage which causes some clients to think that a case is decided before the trial begins. Administrative flexibility is also reduced since the number of justices assigned to a division cannot be changed on short notice.

The department will continue its experiments with different types of calendars, including hybrid systems in which complex cases are assigned to an individual calendar session while routine cases continue on a master calendar list.



Norfolk Probate and Family. Chief Probation Officer John Connelly, center. L-R, Assistant Chief Probation Officers Peggy Gassman, Ann Cremonini, Gerald Murray.

Superior Court Department

The Superior Court Department holds continuous sessions in the Commonwealth's 14 counties. At any given time, approximately 70 to 73 civil and criminal sessions are in operation. In addition to the 61 Justices of the Superior Court, Recall Justices and Justices designated from other departments of the Trial

Court by Chief Administrative Justice are assigned to the Superior Court Department to sit on a circuit basis statewide.

Regional Administration of the department strengthens the court's management systems. Seven Regional Administrative Justices are delegated responsibility for managing and coordinating the efforts of other Justices, clerks, prosecutors, probation officers, and the local bar to achieve a continuous flow of court business. Regional Administrative Justices have the authority to assign capital cases, convene medical malpractice tribunals, and redesignate sessions to either civil and/or criminal depending on the types of cases awaiting trial.

The Superior Court, concurrently with the Supreme Judicial Court, has original jurisdiction of civil actions and matters in which equitable relief is sought, cognizant under the general principles of equity jurisprudence and exclusive original jurisdiction of all actions in which injunctive relief is sought in any matter involving or growing out of a labor dispute. The court has original jurisdiction over the processing of all Petitions on Motions seeking authorization for an abortion under General Laws, Chapter 112, Section 12S, inserted by Statute 1980, Chapter 240, as well as original jurisdiction both for the United States District Court and the Massachusetts Trial Courts, over the convening of medical malpractice tribunals under General Laws, Chapter 231, Section 60B.

In criminal proceedings, the court has original jurisdiction of all crimes and generally exercises jurisdiction over all felony matters except those of which other courts, by statute, have original and/or concurrent jurisdiction.

The Superior Court annually employs 28 law clerks to assist the Justices with research at motion and trial sessions. Each fall, 28 law school graduates of outstanding legal ability are selected to serve the Justices for a one-year term which commences the September following their graduation from law school. Each spring the Chief Justice selects two law clerks to serve as Chief Law Clerk and Deputy Chief Law Clerk for the following clerkship year.

Like the Justices, the law clerks "ride circuit" from county to county on a monthly basis. Under the guidance and supervision of the Chief Law Clerk and Deputy Chief Law Clerk, law clerks prepare memoranda, as well as assist the Justices in writing draft decisions and proposed jury instructions. Their assignments flow directly from actual cases before the court. Subject matter varies from homicides to antitrust cases. Assignments range from major, indepth research on unsettled questions of law to quick-answer research involving the admissibility of evidence at trials in progress. As of September 1983, the law clerks have access to Lexis and Westlaw computer terminals to assist them to their work.

This year, with the expansion of the office from 24 to 28, two law clerks can now be assigned to all outlying counties. This has resulted in a dramatic increase in the effective use of the clerks. Typically, one clerk was responsible to the five Judges sitting in any one county. That ratio is now lowered to a much more manageable figure (1:2.5). Moreover, counties with sittings in more than one location (Bristol and Essex Counties) are more easily serviced by two clerks. The expansion of the law clerk's office has enabled that office to provide essential services to the Justices in outlying counties more frequently, without any cutting back on the resources provided in Middlesex and Suffolk Counties.

Justices sitting in Suffolk County now phone the law clerks directly to relate their assignments. Divided into two or three groups, the clerks are responsible for ensuring an equitable distribution of assignments. This system replaces the format under which Suffolk Justices phoned the Chief or Deputy Chief Law Clerk who in turn related the particular query to one of the clerks. The new approach reduces the chances of miscommunication of assignments and allows the Chief and Deputy Chief Law Clerk to devote more time to research assistance and other more important duties.

The Law Clerk's office is updating the criminal jury charge book used by the Justices. It will include approximately 30 charges related to substantive crimes and other criminal matters. Last updated in 1980, the charge book is scheduled to be distributed in February 1985.

One of the projects initiated this year by the Chief and Deputy Chief Law Clerks is the monthly seminar program. Each month one Judge and one law clerk collaborate in the presentation of a topical issue to the Law Clerk's Office. The seminars provide a forum in indepth discussion and analysis of important issues which may appear in subsequent cases. At the same time, the program enhances the Justices' exposure to the clerks. Previous seminars have dealt with the application of G.L. c.93A to securities transactions and a general review of Massachusetts zoning and variance law. Scheduled topics include the implications of Commonwealth v. Daye, 393 Mass. 55 (1984). (Adoption of Proposed Mass. R. Evid. 801(d)(1)(A)) and possible employment discrimination in the termination of at-will employment contracts.

The Superior Court Judicial Internship Program allows law students from Harvard, Boston College, Boston University, New England, Northeastern, Suffolk, and Western New England Law School the opportunity to earn course credit while observing civil and criminal trials, motions, and assignment sessions.

In its eleventh year, the program seeks to accomplish two goals. First, it permits a select group of third-year law students to observe and discuss with Judges the nature of judging, its problems, and solutions. Second, it gives the students a greater knowledge and appreciation of the role of the attorney in the classroom and the techniques and pitfalls of the adversary system. The program is conducted during the spring semester.

The Justices of the Superior Court with the assistance of the Probation Service continue to regularly consult the Sentencing Guidelines structure after conviction by jury verdict or upon findings of guilty by a Trial Judge. The guideline structure has been utilized as a reliable sentencing aid and significant progress towards uniformity in sentencing has been achieved by use of the guidelines. Sentencing continues to receive legislative attention as well as innovations such as intensive probation as an alternative to incarceration in selected cases.

During 1984 the court has intensified its efforts to manage its heavy caseload. At any given time during the year, there were about 4,500 to 5,000 pending criminal cases and approximately one-half of the sessions was assigned to handle criminal business in order to stay current. The number of pending civil cases has crept upwards from about 76,000 to about 79,000 cases. A pilot program to track "small" civil cases was initiated in Plymouth County so that there would be cut-off dates for completing trial preparation and a certain trial date within six months of entry. This program has been quite successful in dealing with small

cases such as District Court appeals. It is expected that the program will be expanded in 1985 to include the average cases on the docket and to require that they be ready for trial in not more than two years from entry. Depending on the success of this effort, the system may be expanded to include all Superior Courts. It is apparent that time standards for the processing of cases should be implemented as soon as possible to aid in the prompt disposition of civil litigation.



Chief Justice Thomas R. Morse, Jr.

Trial Court

Caseload

Statistical Appendix

Introduction

The following section presents detailed data on the various caseloads of the seven departments of the Trial Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Different departments record and report caseload-related data at various levels of detail and in categories best suited to explain caseflow within their respective legal jurisdiction.

This report presents tables and graphs which, as data permits, includes the following:

- cases pending at the start of the fiscal year
- cases added
- cases disposed of or otherwise terminated
- cases pending at the end of the fiscal year
- age of the caseload pending at year-end.

These five basic data elements are also used to generate a series of indicators of a department's effectiveness in meeting its demands. These indicators include:

- comparison of end pending volume to start pending volume
- throughput or rate of dispositions relative to rate of cases added
- historic comparisons of cases pending, cases added or cases disposed
- analyses of the composition of the categories of cases pending or added and of the types of dispositions.

Finally, the report presents a brief narrative overview of the data from each department. The narrative points out and attempts to explain any trends, highlights, and peculiarities of the data which follow.

Boston

Municipal

Court

Department

Boston Municipal Court Department

This section contains the data tables and graphs displaying information on the movement of cases in five casetype areas through the Boston Municipal Court Department during Fiscal Year 1984.

Criminal

Criminal caseload data in the Boston Municipal Court Department are recorded in three categories: motor vehicle, domestic relations, and other criminal complaints.

During Fiscal Year 1984, the Boston Municipal Court Department received 17,985 criminal complaints. This is an increase of 1,415 complaints or 8.6 percent over Fiscal Year 1983. Motor vehicle related complaints increased by 22 percent over Fiscal Year 1983 levels, the largest increase of the three complaint categories. This increase in motor vehicle related complaints parallels the trend in the District Court Department. However, motor vehicle related complaints account for only 30 percent of the Boston Municipal Court Department's 1984 caseload while accounting for 69.4 percent of the District Court Departments Fiscal Year 1984 caseload.

The number of complaints pending trial for which a defendant is not currently incarcerated increased over the Fiscal Year 1983 level by 1,825 complaints or 22 percent.

In Fiscal Year 1984 the Boston Municipal Court Department disposed of 8,048 complaints at trial. This is a continuation of the past five years of decreasing complaint dispositions. The Fiscal Year 1984 disposition-at-trial level is down 4 percent from Fiscal Year 1983 and 21 percent from Fiscal Year 1980.

Only 4 percent of the Fiscal Year 1984 dispositions-at-trial were by guilty plea. The decrease in guilty pleas contributes to the overall trend toward decreasing dispositions by causing the court to commit more time and other resources to each case.

The 8,048 complaints disposed of at trial, resulted in the following breakdown in the sentence types imposed:

- 41 percent were Placed on File, Dismissed, etc.
- 28 percent were Placed on Probation
- 6 percent were Bound Over to the Grand Jury
- 5 percent were Defendant Fined
- 5 percent were Defendant Acquitted
- 4 percent were Defendant Imprisoned
- 11 percent were disposed of by other means

The number of complaint dispositions which have resulted in Defendants Acquitted, Defendants Fined, or Defendants Bound Over to the Grand Jury have generally decreased in each of the past five year.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

The department began Fiscal Year 1984 with 480 active jury request awaiting trial.

During the next 12 months, the department received 2,588 additional requests for jury trial. Forty-seven percent of these requests were for a jury trial in the first instance. The remainder of these requests were de novo appeals. All juvenile delinquency cases initiated in Boston are under the jurisdiction of the Boston Juvenile Court Division.

Requests received have steadily increased in each of the four years since the establishment of the jury-of-six caseload in the department. The most consistent aspect of this growth has been in the increase of first instance jury requests. First instance requests have more than doubled in four years and are up 26 percent from Fiscal Year 1983 levels.

There are three ways in which a jury request can be terminated as an active status case: withdrawal of appeal, disposition by the court, and failure to appear or default by the defendant.

Fiscal Year 1984 withdrawal of appeals are more than double last fiscal years volume. The 130 withdrawals equal 11 percent of the total de novo appeal requests received.

Total dispositions were down in Fiscal Year 1984 from Fiscal Year 1983. The only category of dispositions for which an increase was reported in Fiscal Year 1984 was that of initial jury requests disposed of at a nonjury or bench trial.

Jury session dispositions for Fiscal Year 1984 break down as follows:

- 45 percent after bench trial
- 28 percent after guilty plea
- 8 percent after jury trial
- 18 percent by other means of disposition

Relative to the District Court Department jury sessions, the Boston Municipal Court Department disposes of a much larger proportion of its jury caseload by bench trial and a much smaller proportion by guilty pleas.

There was a net increase of 47 defaults during Fiscal Year 1984. At year's end, a total of 1,023 defaults were pending in the department.

At the close of Fiscal Year 1984, the department reported 542 active jury requests awaiting trial. This is an increase of 62 cases from the start of the fiscal year. The median age of a jury request pending in the department was 47.6 days.

Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Violations

Effective on January 1, 1979, all motor vehicle violations which do not carry the penalty of imprisonment and for which the maximum penalty (see G.L.c.90, s.20F) does not exceed \$100 for the first offense are classified as decriminalized matters.

In Fiscal Year 1984, the department recorded 6,663 citations returned from area law enforcement agencies. This is an increase of 3,561 citations or 115 percent over Fiscal Year 1983. This volume marks an increase to pre-Fiscal Year 1982 levels after two years of decreased activity.

Non-Criminal Caseload

A total of 37,871 non-criminal matters were initiated during the year. This is an increase of 3,569 cases or 10 percent over Fiscal Year 1983 and continues the pattern of annual increases in non-criminal filings begun in Fiscal Year 1982.

Civil cases, small claims, and small claims supplementary process, which comprise 95.6 percent of the department's non-criminal case filings, have risen consistently over the past five years.

One case category, summary process, has decreased consistently since Fiscal Year 1980, an indication of the emergence of the Housing Court Department as the forum of choice for landlord-tenant conflicts.

During Fiscal Year 1984, the Probation Department received 296 U.R.E.S.A. cases. This added to a workload of 138 cases already open and under supervision and 69 cases under investigation. Two hundred and seventy-six cases were redirected to the court division with the appropriate geographic jurisdiction. Total collections of support payments for the year totalled \$131,145.57.

Dispositions have paralleled filings over the past five years. In Fiscal Year 1984, the department disposed of 28,227 non-criminal matters. This is up 3,939 dispositions over last year and a 69 percent increase over four years ago. The Fiscal Year 1984 disposition volume resulted in a throughput ratio (dispositions per 100 filings) of 75 percent.

Appellate Division

The Boston Municipal Court Department Appellate Division is composed of three justices. Panels are composed of not more than three justices; two justices constitute a quorum. The panel is authorized to hear appeals on points of law only from the department's civil session.

Data is included for Fiscal Year 1984 division activity.

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Criminal Business
Entries


<u>Complaint Types</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Motor Vehicle Complaints	6,097	6,241	4,528	4,383	5,327	944	22
Domestic Relations	57	41	64	41	49	8	20
Other Criminal	<u>11,594</u>	<u>13,328</u>	<u>11,998</u>	<u>12,114</u>	<u>12,577</u>	<u>463</u>	<u>4</u>
TOTAL	17,748	19,610	16,590	16,538	17,953	1,415	8.6

Five Year Trend in Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Caseload

Citations Issued	9,405	6,536	4,744	3,102	6,663	3,561	115%
------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	------


BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

FIVE YEAR TREND IN CRIMINAL ENTRIES



FY'84 COMPLAINTS ENTERED

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Criminal Business
Dispositions

						Change FY'83 - FY'84	
<u>Criminal Complaints</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Not Arrested, Pending Trial</u>	7,517	9,999	8,214	8,133	9,958	1,825	22
<u>Tried by the Court</u>	10,231	9,863	8,107	8,405	8,048	-357	-4
Pleaded Guilty	1,355	1,009	620	539	329	-210	-39
Pleaded Not Guilty	8,876	8,854	7,487	7,866	7,695	-171	-2
<u>Dispositions of Complaints Tried</u>							
Placed on File, Dismissed, etc.	4,158	3,980	3,231	3,333	3,281	-52	-2
Defendants Acquitted	968	899	766	629	427	-202	-32
Bound Over to Grand Jury	695	636	668	500	464	-36	-7
Placed on Probation	2,001	1,854	1,433	1,989	2,290	301	15
Straight Probation	783	689	606	685	905	220	32
Imprisonment Probation	834	722	582	816	863	47	6
Fine Probation	384	443	245	488	522	34	7
Defendants Fined	1,539	1,319	791	915	507	-408	-45
Fines Appealed	143	81	48	118	59	-59	-50
Imprisonments	212	210	329	315	302	-13	-4
Imprisonments Appealed	411	445	335	307	325	18	6
Probation Appealed	50	77	87	69	91	22	32
Imprisonment Probation Appealed	90	84	138	62	55	-7	-11
Initial Trial by Jury Claimed	--	<u>268</u>	<u>309</u>	<u>168</u>	<u>247</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>47</u>
TOTAL	10,267	9,853	8,135	8,405	8,048	-357	-4

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT


Jury-of-Six Caseload Analysis
(Based on a Count of Defendants)

	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
					<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Active Start Pending</u>	288	465	370	480	110	30
<u>Jury Requests Received</u>						
First Instance	522	820	955	1,205	250	26
De Novo	<u>1,474</u>	<u>1,647</u>	<u>1,563</u>	<u>1,383</u>	<u>-108</u>	<u>-12</u>
Total	1,996	2,467	2,518	2,588	70	3
<u>Appeals Withdrawn</u>	41	57	62	130	68	110
<u>Net Total Caseload*</u>	2,243	2,875	2,826	2,983	157	6
<u>Jury Requests Disposed Of</u>						
After Guilty Plea	496	630	771	633	-138	-18
After Jury Trial	120	214	223	187	-36	-16
After Bench Trial	651	851	840	1,036	196	23
Other	<u>240</u>	<u>446</u>	<u>474</u>	<u>421</u>	<u>-53</u>	<u>-11</u>
Total	1,507	2,141	2,308	2,277	-31	-1
<u>Defaults Pending at Year End</u>	450	814	976	1,023	47	5
<u>Active End Pending</u>	465	370	480	542	62	13
<u>Throughput-Ratio of Dispositions per 100 Requests</u>	76%	87%	92%	88%	--	--

*Net Total Caseload = Active start pending + total Jury Requests - Appeals withdrawn


BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

TREND IN JURY REQUESTS RECEIVED



JURY OF SIX DISPOSITIONS

ANALYSIS OF DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
Jury-of-Six Caseload Analysis
Based on a Count of Defendants
Fiscal Year 1984

Caseload Received by Court of Origin:

<u>Division</u>	<u>1st Instance</u> <u>Requests</u>		<u>Appeals</u> <u>De Novo</u>		<u>Total</u> <u>Jury</u> <u>Requests</u>	<u>% of</u> <u>Total</u> <u>Requests</u>
	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>		
Boston Municipal Court	147	27	402	73	549	21.2
Brighton	40	41	57	59	97	3.7
Charlestown	163	67	28	23	191	7.4
Chelsea	328	65	174	35	502	19.4
Dorchester	139	36	244	64	383	14.8
East Boston	110	39	172	61	282	10.9
Roxbury	77	49	81	51	158	6.1
South Boston	124	47	138	53	262	10.1
West Roxbury	77	47	87	53	164	6.4
TOTAL	1,205	47	1,383	53	2,588	100%

Age of Caseload Pending at the End of the Year

<u>Age Breakdown</u>	<u>FY'81</u>		<u>FY'82</u>		<u>FY'83</u>		<u>FY'84</u>	
	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Default removed*	--	--	--	--	38	8	53	10
Under 30 days	164	35	177	48	197	41	190	35
31 to 60 days	134	29	141	38	113	23	138	25
61 to 90 days	115	25	39	11	56	12	80	15
91 to 120 days	34	7	8	2	27	6	29	5
Over 120 days	<u>18</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>10</u>
TOTAL	465	100%	370	100%	480	100%	542	100%

*Defaults Removed - Fiscal Year 1983 is the first year for which default removed data is available.

BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Non-Criminal Caseload
Fiscal Year 1980 through Fiscal Year 1984

ENTRIES


<u>Case Type</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Civil Cases	27,585	20,042	22,229	25,410	25,873	463	2
Transfer Cases	368	256	205	166	199	33	20
Mental Commitments	23	32	50	85	71	-14	-16
Summary Process	691	561	534	411	401	-10	-2
Small Claims	2,481	2,402	3,144	5,774	6,457	683	12
Supplementary Process							
Civil	1,247	940	790	705	653	-52	-7
Small Claims	502	660	675	1,465	3,901	2,436	166
URESA Cases Received	225	119	362	269	296	27	10
Victims of Violent Crimes	--	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>18</u>
TOTAL	33,125	24,948	28,015	34,302	37,871	3,569	10

DISPOSITIONS

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Civil Cases	15,076	13,973	16,370	18,128	18,697	569	3
Transfer Cases	610	403	234	153	122	-31	-20
Mental Commitments	23	32	50	85	71	-14	-16
Summary Process	362	389	500	404	387	-17	-4
Small Claims	1,529	917	1,202	2,420	4,051	1,631	67
Supplementary Process							
Civil	393	478	325	306	276	-30	-10
Small Claims	395	488	1,024	2,509	4,316	1,807	72
URESA Cases Processed	225	18	362	269	296	27	10
Victims of Violent Crimes	--	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>-3</u>	<u>-21</u>
TOTAL	18,613	16,708	20,087	24,288	28,227	3,939	16


BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

NON-CRIMINAL ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS



FY'84 NON-CRIMINAL ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENT

Appellate Division Report

From July 1, 1983, through June 30, 1984

Request for Report	16
Reports Allowed	13
Reports Disallowed	3
Petitions to Establish	1
Cases	8
Cases Decided	5
Affirmed	4
Reversed	1
Entire Retrial Ordered	0
Appeals to Supreme Judicial Court	2
Appeals to Supreme Judicial Court - Perfected	2

District

Court

Department

District Court Department

The District Court Department records detailed caseload data in six areas of the legal jurisdiction of its 69 divisions.

Criminal Caseload

Criminal complaints filed and disposed of in the District Court Department were at the highest levels of the past five years in Fiscal Year 1984.

An increase in motor vehicle related complaints underlies this increased activity. Criminal complaints filed in Fiscal Year 1984 totalled 651,375. Motor vehicle related complaints accounted for 68 percent of all complaints filed. This single complaint category has increased by 90,754 complaints or 26 percent over Fiscal Year 1983.

The remaining 31 percent, non-motor vehicle related complaints, was down slightly from last year.

The complaints comprising the caseload break down as follows:

- 6% Operating Under the Influence
- 3% Serious Motor Vehicle
- 61% Other Motor Vehicle Complaints
- 1% Nonsupport
- 3% Assault Crimes
- 1% Breaking and Entering
- 7% Larceny and Fraud
- 3% Narcotics
- 2% Disorderly Conduct
- 12% Other Criminal Complaints

There were 525,616 criminal complaints disposed of by the department in Fiscal Year 1984. This is up 21 percent from last year. Throughput for the fiscal year was 79 percent.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

There were 2,550 active jury requests pending before the 18 jury session divisions at the start of the fiscal year.

During the year, 16,126 requests for jury trial were received. More than half of these requests, 54.3 percent, were requests for a jury trial in the first instance by adult defendants. Adult defendants requesting a de novo jury trial on appeal accounted for an additional 44.2 percent of all requests. Requests for jury trials both de novo and in the first instance for juvenile delinquency and children in Need of service cases made up the remaining 1.5 percent.

The Fiscal Year 1984 jury request volume is 2 percent above last year's 15,874 requests.

There were 931 appeals withdrawn in Fiscal Year 1984. This is 6 percent of all requests received, and it is 12.8 percent of all de novo appeal jury requests received.

Dispositions totalled 14,493, up for the third consecutive year. The majority of jury requests, 58 percent, were disposed of after guilty plea. Fifteen percent were disposed of after a complete jury trial, and 18 percent were disposed of by all other means of disposition.

The department began the year with 2,486 defaults pending and closed the year with 2,751 defaults pending, a net increase of 265 defaults.

At the end of Fiscal Year 1984, there were 2,696 jury requests actively awaiting action by the District Court Department, up 146 pending requests from the start of the year. Eighty-two percent of this end pending caseload has been pending for less than 90 days. The median age of an active pending request for the department is 39.8 days.

Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Activity

In Fiscal Year 1984, law enforcement agencies issued 678,435 citations for decriminalized motor vehicle violations. This is an increase of 82,191 citations or 14 percent over Fiscal Year 1983.

During the same period, 397,196 citations were disposed of non-criminally through the payment of a fine. This is a throughput rate of 58.5 percent for the year.

For the same period, there were 95,945 clerk-magistrate hearings to dispose of contested decriminalized motor vehicle complaints. This is an increase of 37,427 hearing or 64 percent over the Fiscal Year 1983 level.

Non-Criminal Caseload

The District Court Department reports data for 10 categories of non-criminal case activity. Overall, the changes in these case categories in Fiscal Year 1984

relative to the past several years were minor. However, several subtle but important changes are apparent in both the volume and composition of the caseload.

New cases entered for all types of non-criminal case categories totalled 230,300 for Fiscal Year 1984. This is down 2 percent from last year and continues the general trend toward small decreases in the number of non-criminal cases filed each year.

In terms of composition, the department's Fiscal Year 1984 caseload is as follows:

- 53% Small Claims Cases
- 20% Civil Cases
- 8% Summary Process Cases
- 7% Civil Supplementary Process Cases
- 7% Spousal Abuse Petitions
- 2% U.R.E.S.A. Cases
- 2% Mental Commitment Cases
- 1% Transfer Cases, Victims of Violent Crimes, etc.

Both in number and in proportion of the total caseload, civil, summary process, and civil supplementary process filings are declining, while small claims, spousal abuse, and mental commitments have increased over the past five years.

District Court Department dispositions totalled 171,259 for the fiscal year. This brings the ratio of cases disposed to entries to 74 percent.

Juvenile Caseload

Three categories of juvenile related business are reported: juvenile delinquency, children in need of services (CHINS), and care and protection cases.

In Fiscal Year 1984, there was less juvenile delinquency activity than there has been in past years. Relative to last year, total juvenile delinquency complaints filed were down by 14 percent to 27,296 complaints. motor vehicle related delinquency complaints, 23 percent of the total, were also down from Fiscal Year 1983 by 24 percent.

Disposition of delinquency complaints corresponded to this decrease in filings. Dispositions decreased by 3,700 or 14 percent from the previous year's disposition volume.

Both CHINS and care and protection case activity was up over last year. Fiscal Year 1984 is the third consecutive year in which the number of CHINS applications received and petitions issued have increased. Dispositions held roughly consistent with the past several years at between 1,800 and 2,000 per year.

Care and protection petitions received were up 10 percent over last year. In Fiscal Year 1984, 1,027 petitions were received and 555 petitions were disposed of by the court.

Appellate Division

There are three regional appellate division locations for the District Court Department. Each of these divisions are composed of five justices. The panels are authorized to hear appeals on points of law only in non-criminal cases from the divisions of the District Court Department. Panels are composed of not more than three justices; two justices constitute a quorum.

Appellate Division activity was down, in general, from Fiscal Year 1983. average time from trial court judgment to appellate division entry decreased by 147 days from last year's average, while average days from appellate division entry to disposition increased by 11 days.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary Report of Criminal Business

	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84 <u>#</u> <u>%</u>
Motor Vehicle Complaints	448,010	391,912	321,432	351,692	442,446	90,754 26
All Other Complaints	207,533	220,337	222,439	211,437	208,929	-2,508 -1
Total Criminal Complaints	655,543	612,249	543,871	563,129	651,375	88,246 16
Criminal Complaint Dispositions	478,695	419,604	379,368	436,122	525,616	89,494 21
Throughput-Dispositions per 100 Entries	73%	69%	70%	77%	79%	

Summary Report of Decriminalized Business*


Decriminalized Business

Citations Returned	----	539,241	535,950	596,244	678,435	82,191	14
Citations Disposed Of	----	307,608	293,546	332,792	397,196	64,404	19
Clerk-Magistrate Hearings	----	56,642	59,713	58,518	95,945	37,427	64

*The decriminalization of certain motor vehicle offenses (see G.L.c.90, section 20F) was established by Chapter 478 of the Acts of 1978 to become effective January 1, 1979. Fiscal Year 1981 is the first year for which decriminalized figures are available.


DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

CRIMINAL CASEFLOW TRENDS




CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED

BY CATEGORY




DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

DECRIMINALIZED MOTOR VEHICLE CITATIONS



CLERK-MAGISTRATE HEARINGS



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Criminal Caseload
Motor Vehicle Related Complaints

	<u>Operating Under the Influence</u>	<u>Serious Motor Vehicle</u>	<u>Other Motor Vehicle Complaints</u>	<u>FY'84 Total Motor Vehicle Complaints</u>
Amesbury	650	133	1,631	2,414
Attleboro	612	681	3,894	5,187
Ayer	640	210	11,671	12,521
Barnstable	1,045	559	8,118	9,722
Brighton	219	90	3,990	4,299
Brockton	971	1,001	10,166	12,138
Brookline	222	76	9,356	9,654
Cambridge	759	253	13,604	14,616
Charlestown	573	217	2,381	3,171
Chelsea	1,475	1,239	5,247	7,961
Chicopee	276	82	3,943	4,301
Clinton	454	111	4,832	5,397
Concord	803	178	5,830	6,811
Dedham	996	300	8,489	9,785
Dorchester	460	236	6,927	7,623
Dudley	588	179	4,439	5,206
East Boston	121	117	2,339	2,577
Edgartown	119	54	1,656	1,829
Fall River	754	929	5,227	6,910
Fitchburg	251	53	559	863
Framingham	1,097	252	8,655	10,004
Gardner	236	68	8,505	8,809
Gloucester	230	170	1,323	1,723
Greenfield	417	148	2,610	3,175
Haverhill	402	101	3,850	4,353
Hingham	897	562	11,066	12,525
Holyoke	247	77	2,806	3,130
Ipswich	77	24	396	497
Lawrence	1,372	390	5,640	7,402
Leominster	308	86	1,496	1,890
Lowell	1,072	230	12,650	13,952
Lynn	770	532	7,125	8,427
Malden	692	156	5,850	6,698
Marlborough	291	112	2,917	3,320
Milford	424	105	4,503	5,032

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Criminal Caseload
Motor Vehicle Related Complaints Continued

	<u>Operating Under the Influence</u>	<u>Serious Motor Vehicle</u>	<u>Other Motor Vehicle Complaints</u>	<u>FY'84 Total Motor Vehicle Complaints</u>
Nantucket	44	17	162	223
Natick	210	109	3,900	4,219
New Bedford	673	203	1,774	2,650
Newburyport	461	113	2,408	2,982
Newton	337	81	3,590	4,008
Northampton	771	264	6,357	7,392
No. Berkshire	228	136	1,254	1,618
Orange	134	40	759	933
Orleans	607	236	2,197	3,040
Palmer	495	110	2,610	3,215
Peabody	817	206	3,174	4,197
Pittsfield	325	333	2,073	2,731
Plymouth	673	435	4,325	5,433
Quincy	1,401	932	9,642	11,975
Roxbury	475	278	2,810	3,563
Salem	732	218	14,000	14,950
Somerville	608	209	4,696	5,513
South Boston	237	149	2,205	2,591
So. Berkshire	239	87	1,915	2,241
Spencer	281	74	1,972	2,327
Springfield	1,415	323	19,036	20,774
Stoughton	321	192	4,750	5,263
Taunton	786	879	5,657	7,322
Uxbridge	291	60	2,053	2,404
Waltham	554	93	7,416	8,063
Ware	143	557	6,462	7,633
Wareham	614	27	815	985
Westborough	588	113	9,687	10,388
Westfield	360	138	2,429	2,927
West Roxbury	409	114	14,240	14,763
Winchendon	18	40	159	217
Woburn	733	260	7,994	8,987
Worcester	1,321	285	34,359	35,965
Wrentham	599	238	6,195	7,032
TOTALS	38,420	17,260	386,766	442,446

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Criminal Caseload
Non-Motor Vehicle Complaints

	<u>Non-Support</u>	<u>Assault Crimes</u>	<u>Breaking and Entering</u>	<u>Larceny and Fraud</u>	<u>Negligent Homicide</u>
Amesbury	22	71	96	187	0
Attleboro	74	296	191	572	4
Ayer	33	149	72	283	1
Barnstable	130	520	432	2,220	15
Brighton	39	229	79	239	2
Brockton	182	1,146	233	1,910	5
Brookline	11	197	76	781	4
Cambridge	236	739	288	984	3
Charlestown	37	113	41	128	2
Chelsea	34	554	77	509	1
Chicopee	26	79	43	178	1
Clinton	65	116	54	256	3
Concord	63	104	60	501	8
Dedham	36	165	89	704	4
Dorchester	1,303	1,023	204	2,030	0
Dudley	92	206	141	440	6
East Boston	70	345	85	300	8
Edgartown	5	80	56	229	0
Fall River	386	1,202	539	1,620	7
Fitchburg	164	153	96	303	0
Framingham	94	358	185	1,897	5
Gardner	43	131	62	191	0
Gloucester	46	111	44	189	2
Greenfield	26	242	114	361	0
Haverhill	46	240	71	473	0
Hingham	129	389	158	1,209	5
Holyoke	128	270	142	614	0
Ipswich	8	16	8	69	0
Lawrence	451	714	380	1,256	0
Leominster	56	154	65	530	1
Lowell	427	794	307	748	31
Lynn	362	989	333	1,274	13
Malden	174	471	167	489	4
Marlborough	72	161	43	506	2
Milford	60	166	80	472	3

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Criminal Caseload
Non-Motor Vehicle Complaints Continued

	<u>Non- Support</u>	<u>Assault Crimes</u>	<u>Breaking and Entering</u>	<u>Larceny and Fraud</u>	<u>Negligent Homicide</u>
Nantucket	0	42	21	130	1
Natick	18	86	39	540	0
New Bedford	54	533	344	324	1
Newburyport	40	77	28	197	6
Newton	25	107	47	404	0
Northampton	111	375	174	620	5
No. Berkshire	51	109	75	417	3
Orange	44	101	72	191	1
Orleans	44	214	118	644	0
Palmer	61	105	148	303	1
Peabody	20	294	53	598	4
Pittsfield	105	187	141	498	2
Plymouth	99	407	277	940	8
Quincy	215	1,066	310	2,039	9
Roxbury	322	1,127	353	1,032	3
Salem	284	309	178	674	0
Somerville	131	344	109	503	5
South Boston	81	240	52	347	2
So. Berkshire	18	106	62	200	2
Spencer	36	91	97	198	5
Springfield	486	1,301	638	1,991	6
Stoughton	8	67	67	433	8
Taunton	99	399	200	849	8
Uxbridge	52	111	66	185	2
Waltham	44	197	94	211	1
Ware	29	29	32	68	0
Wareham	50	303	118	625	4
Westborough	24	81	78	378	2
Westfield	29	115	74	104	4
West Roxbury	314	619	175	940	4
Winchendon	7	17	23	42	0
Woburn	73	227	89	1,133	3
Worcester	480	1,111	346	1,831	7
Wrentham	878	251	85	591	8
TOTALS	9,462	23,441	9,894	44,832	255

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Criminal Complaints
Non-Motor Vehicle Criminal Complaints Continued

	<u>Narcotics</u>	<u>Disorderly Conduct</u>	<u>All Other Complaints</u>	<u>Total* Complaints Entered</u>	<u>Total* Complaints Disposed</u>
Amesbury	556	188	1,048	4,582	1,753
Attleboro	292	280	1,431	8,327	8,627
Ayer	238	186	905	14,388	6,201
Barnstable	608	277	2,768	16,692	16,692
Brighton	123	77	595	5,682	2,281
Brockton	606	687	2,631	19,538	20,178
Brookline	101	6	205	11,035	7,707
Cambridge	483	417	3,036	20,802	16,361
Charlestown	86	89	352	4,019	2,381
Chelsea	755	422	2,038	12,371	5,811
Chicopee	214	124	450	5,416	5,884
Clinton	218	153	822	7,084	8,059
Concord	304	128	785	8,764	5,758
Dedham	417	136	1,097	12,433	7,352
Dorchester	698	208	1,706	14,795	10,946
Dudley	420	144	1,543	8,198	18,647
East Boston	212	101	1,553	5,251	4,828
Edgartown	33	75	333	2,640	1,528
Fall River	704	857	2,388	14,613	10,824
Fitchburg	143	114	659	2,495	2,937
Framingham	680	500	1,651	15,374	11,878
Gardner	163	26	538	9,963	8,863
Gloucester	246	207	897	3,465	2,876
Greenfield	192	124	493	4,727	4,037
Haverhill	184	101	605	6,073	5,324
Hingham	364	230	1,158	16,167	11,363
Holyoke	182	300	595	5,361	2,338
Ipswich	34	33	143	808	757
Lawrence	964	554	1,435	13,156	10,852
Leominster	144	224	836	3,900	3,463
Lowell	792	1,005	1,422	19,478	19,182
Lynn	484	332	2,595	14,809	12,894
Malden	335	158	1,128	9,624	6,944
Marlborough	195	142	578	5,019	3,364
Milford	170	222	519	6,724	5,929

*Includes Motor Vehicle and Non-Motor Vehicle Criminal Complaints.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Criminal Complaints
Non-Motor Vehicle Criminal Complaints Continued

	<u>Narcotics</u>	<u>Disorderly Conduct</u>	<u>All Other Complaints</u>	<u>Total* Complaints Entered</u>	<u>Total* Complaints Disposed</u>
Nantucket	7	9	44	477	385
Natick	51	60	449	5,462	2,720
New Bedford	737	594	1,477	6,714	9,230
Newburyport	290	92	597	4,309	3,725
Newton	177	42	496	5,306	4,101
Northampton	291	219	799	9,986	8,956
No. Berkshire	114	79	497	2,963	2,736
Orange	104	110	363	1,919	1,381
Orleans	381	309	1,070	5,820	6,154
Palmer	115	58	511	4,517	8,607
Peabody	362	243	825	6,596	4,107
Pittsfield	420	384	1,180	5,648	4,680
Plymouth	378	204	1,456	9,202	7,455
Quincy	650	385	1,819	18,468	13,653
Roxbury	1,156	528	3,119	11,203	10,187
Salem	209	310	1,521	18,435	9,645
Somerville	253	64	1,137	8,059	3,478
South Boston	155	52	579	4,099	4,463
So. Berkshire	86	85	339	3,139	1,903
Spencer	202	169	439	3,564	2,841
Springfield	1,528	734	3,413	30,871	14,467
Stoughton	119	88	318	6,371	6,025
Taunton	339	165	1,164	10,545	14,409
Uxbridge	176	121	476	3,593	2,668
Waltham	225	67	3,367	12,269	6,776
Ware	40	22	193	1,398	1,202
Wareham	296	294	1,585	10,908	10,640
Westborough	214	80	1,039	12,284	12,245
Westfield	76	98	358	3,785	1,673
West Roxbury	542	142	1,467	18,966	5,029
Winchendon	15	11	134	466	419
Woburn	431	118	1,186	12,247	6,550
Worcester	1,107	1,612	6,050	48,509	50,684
Wrentham	291	221	147	9,504	7,603
TOTALS	23,877	16,616	80,552	651,375	525,616

*Includes Motor Vehicle and Non-Motor Vehicle Criminal Complaints.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Jury-of-Six Caseload
Fiscal Year 1984 Summary Sheet

Start Pending (Active) 2,550

Requests Received	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Criminal, 1st Instance	8,754	54.3
Criminal, De Novo Appeal	7,125	44.2
Juvenile/CHINS, 1st Instance	72	.4
Juvenile/CHINS, De Novo Appeal	<u>175</u>	<u>1.1</u>
TOTAL RECEIVED	16,126	100%

Appeals Withdrawn 931

Dispositions	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
After Guilty Plea	8,374	57.8
After Jury Trial	2,147	14.8
After Bench Trial	1,387	9.6
Other	<u>2,585</u>	<u>17.8</u>
TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	14,493	100%


Defaults Pending 2,751

End Pending (Active) 2,696

Age of Pending (Cumulative)	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Under 30 days	1,102	41
Under 60 days	1,855	69
Under 90 days	2,200	82
Under 120 days	2,395	89
Over 120 days	301	11

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

TREND IN JURY REQUESTS RECEIVED



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Jury-of-Six Caseload
Fiscal Year 1984 Caseflow

	<u>Active Start Pending</u>	<u>Jury Requests</u>	<u>Requests Disposed of</u>	<u>Active End Pending</u>	<u>Change in Pending</u>	<u>Through- put*</u>
Barnstable	95	513	405	132	37	79%
Cambridge	193	1,646	1,528	243	50	93%
Dedham	237	1,557	1,415	265	28	91%
Edgartown	3	13	28	0	-3	215%
Fall River	141	1,082	927	216	75	86%
Fitchburg	108	920	796	138	30	87%
Framingham	205	1,038	896	102	-103	86%
Greenfield	19	224	181	39	20	81%
Haverhill	208	1,411	1,037	488	280	73%
Hingham	58	427	416	67	9	97%
Lowell	256	960	885	135	-121	92%
Nantucket	7	12	19	1	-6	158%
Northampton	25	453	328	57	32	72%
Pittsfield	100	529	489	114	14	92%
Salem	394	1,411	1,465	175	-219	104%
Springfield	120	998	814	127	7	82%
Wareham	228	741	803	120	-108	108%
Worcester	153	2,191	2,061	277	124	94%
Department	2,550	16,126	14,493	2,696	146	90%


*Throughput = ratio of dispositions per 100 requests.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Jury-of-Six Caseload
Fiscal Year 1984
Requests Disposed Of

	<u>Guilty Plea</u>		<u>Jury Trial</u>		<u>Bench Trial</u>		<u>Other</u>		<u>Total Dispositions</u>
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Barnstable	309	76	53	13	2	0.5	41	10	405
Cambridge	868	57	129	8	284	19	247	16	1,528
Dedham	883	62	143	10	49	3	340	24	1,415
Edgartown	20	71	4	14	0	0	4	14	28
Fall River	623	67	146	16	8	1	150	16	927
Fitchburg	378	47	120	15	177	22	121	15	796
Framingham	374	42	129	14	205	23	188	21	896
Greenfield	30	17	32	18	12	7	107	59	181
Haverhill	752	73	140	14	23	2	122	12	1,037
Hingham	284	68	99	24	1	0.2	32	8	416
Lowell	584	66	149	17	18	2	134	15	885
Nantucket	13	68	3	16	0	0	3	16	19
Northampton	43	13	77	23	148	45	60	18	328
Pittsfield	240	49	105	21	9	2	135	28	489
Salem	1,017	69	208	14	17	1	223	15	1,465
Springfield	217	27	166	20	300	37	131	16	814
Wareham	472	59	187	23	27	3	117	15	803
Worcester	1,267	61	257	12	107	5	430	21	2,061
Department	8,374	57.8	2,147	14.8	1,387	9.6	2,585	17.8	14,493

FY'84 JURY OF SIX CASELOAD

COMPOSITION OF DISPOSITIONS



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Jury-of-Six Caseload
Fiscal Year 1984
Age of Active Pending Caseload at Year-End
(In Days)

	0 - 30		31 - 60		61 - 90		91 - 120		Over 120		Total	Median Age
	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>		
Barnstable	56	42	29	22	15	12	8	6	24	18	132	40.3
Cambridge	93	38	69	28	41	17	21	9	19	8	243	42.4
Dedham	115	43	77	29	38	15	18	7	17	6	265	36.8
Edgartown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
Fall River	95	44	62	29	29	13	15	7	15	7	216	36.3
Fitchburg	84	61	43	31	7	5	1	1	3	2	138	24.6
Framingham	53	52	31	30	9	9	3	3	6	6	102	28.9
Greenfield	19	49	10	26	4	10	0	0	6	15	39	31.5
Haverhill	96	20	110	22	73	15	74	15	135	28	488	75.6
Hingham	25	38	16	24	11	16	4	6	11	16	67	45.9
Lowell	70	52	32	24	16	12	9	6	9	6	135	28.9
Nantucket	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	15.0
Northampton	23	40	20	35	11	19	1	2	2	4	57	38.3
Pittsfield	39	34	35	31	21	18	7	6	12	11	114	45.4
Salem	104	59	28	16	19	11	16	9	8	5	175	25.2
Springfield	50	40	41	32	18	14	5	4	13	10	127	39.9
Wareham	35	29	38	32	19	16	9	7	19	16	120	49.7
Worcester	144	52	112	41	14	5	4	1	3	1	277	28.9
Department	1,102	41	753	28	345	13	195	7	301	11	2,696	39.8 day

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Offenses

	<u>Citations Returned</u>	<u>Citations Disposed Of Non-Criminally</u>	<u>Hearings Conducted By Clerk-Magistrate</u>
Amesbury	8,680	6,970	633
Attleboro	6,206	5,375	1,211
Ayer	13,431	7,905	985
Barnstable	13,359	8,192	1,516
Brighton	6,082	3,547	831
Brockton	12,605	6,408	1,826
Brookline	15,324	7,498	1,796
Cambridge	20,843	13,894	2,524
Charlestown	11,256	3,367	1,872
Chelsea	7,562	4,586	1,137
Chicopee	8,327	5,110	1,200
Clinton	9,522	5,515	1,034
Concord	12,818	7,821	1,338
Dedham	19,593	11,058	2,497
Dorchester	7,911	2,942	638
Dudley	17,654	11,431	1,392
East Boston	2,113	1,297	524
Edgartown	3,098	1,356	184
Fall River	9,172	7,139	1,450
Fitchburg	2,538	1,876	384
Framingham	24,309	18,026	1,963
Gardner	5,704	4,727	583
Gloucester	3,857	819	350
Greenfield	6,485	4,832	684
Haverhill	5,472	2,739	1,365
Hingham	11,228	5,995	1,485
Holyoke	4,633	3,269	254
Ipswich	904	406	49
Lawrence	14,347	9,472	2,950
Leominster	2,169	2,070	327
Lowell	20,430	11,815	410
Lynn	11,750	5,316	2,880
Malden	6,987	2,565	1,161
Marlborough	6,163	3,507	574
Milford	5,132	3,263	676

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Decriminalized Motor Vehicle Offenses Continued

	<u>Citations Returned</u>	<u>Citations Disposed Of Non-Criminally</u>	<u>Hearings Conducted By Clerk-Magistrate</u>
Nantucket	423	279	17
Natick	8,571	5,836	1,422
New Bedford	6,838	4,930	1,114
Newburyport	6,979	4,996	661
Newton	12,928	9,259	1,146
Northampton	13,057	9,491	852
No. Berkshire	1,945	1,625	520
Orange	1,609	1,146	131
Orleans	7,208	6,170	683
Palmer	7,482	4,752	376
Peabody	8,549	5,943	2,543
Pittsfield	5,164	4,143	985
Plymouth	13,849	5,200	6,000
Quincy	17,880	8,933	2,713
Roxbury	12,682	3,405	1,560
Salem	20,755	7,452	3,244
Somerville	6,667	4,089	1,212
South Boston	2,392	1,124	181
So. Berkshire	4,804	3,655	665
Spencer	3,574	3,410	389
Springfield	20,981	12,039	2,424
Stoughton	6,093	3,296	822
Taunton	9,542	11,033	1,491
Uxbridge	3,067	2,248	282
Waltham	14,626	8,822	2,471
Ware	1,890	1,394	227
Wareham	11,786	7,480	2,246
Westborough	20,854	14,819	2,040
Westfield	6,330	4,600	614
West Roxbury	15,985	4,796	1,823
Winchendon	92	63	2
Woburn	15,602	9,580	1,548
Worcester	37,807	10,324	2,361
Wrentham	12,760	8,756	10,497
TOTALS	678,435	397,196	95,945

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary of Non-Criminal Business

						Change FY'83 - FY'84	
	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Civil Caseload</u>							
Entries	76,661	59,206	56,707	51,587	46,184	-5,403	-10
Dispositions	45,985	36,116	37,633	35,396	30,288	-5,108	-14
 <u>Transfer Caseload</u>							
Received	3,001	2,261	1,930	1,539	1,863	324	21
Dispositions	2,500	2,524	2,271	1,933	1,436	-497	-26
 <u>Inquests Held</u>							
	19	27	27	18	16	-2	-11
 <u>Violent Crime Victims</u>							
Claims	427	540	480	480	420	-60	-13
Dispositions	259	258	314	253	220	-33	-13
 <u>Mental Commitments</u>							
Petitions	2,514	3,053	3,772	3,854	4,057	203	5
Dispositions	2,300	2,733	3,562	3,509	3,650	141	4
 <u>Summary Process Caseload</u>							
Entries	24,378	19,230	18,254	16,855	17,569	714	4
Dispositions	18,527	16,818	15,614	14,533	15,533	1,000	7


DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary of Non-Criminal Business

						Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						#	%
<u>Small Claims Caseload</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>		
Entries	117,801	118,430	121,686	121,646	122,041	395	.3
Dispositions	81,204	75,337	87,956	85,758	95,635	9,877	12
<u>Supplementary Process Caseload (Civil)*</u>							
Entries	27,460	24,499	21,795	18,939	16,819	-2,120	-11
Dispositions	11,958	10,712	9,416	9,078	7,829	-1,249	-14
<u>U.R.E.S.A.</u>							
Entries	4,457	4,930	5,396	5,297	4,716	-581	-11
Dispositions	2,302	2,530	3,042	3,450	2,714	-736	-21
<u>Spousal Abuse Caseload</u>							
Entries	---	13,385	15,283	15,017	16,631	1,614	11
Dispositions	---	9,082	10,884	12,557	13,954	1,397	11
<u>Total Non-Criminal Caseload*</u>							
Entries, Petitions, etc.	256,718	241,561	245,330	235,232	230,300	-4,932	-2
Dispositions	165,035	156,110	170,692	166,467	171,259	4,792	3
Ratio: Dispositions per 100 Entries	64%	65%	70%	71%	74%		

*Note that in past years, this figure included both civil and small claims supplementary process cases. Small Claims Supplementary Process were not included this year. All figures are adjusted for comparability.


DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

NON-CRIMINAL ENTRIES AND DISPOSITIONS




FY'84 NON-CRIMINAL ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION




DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

CIVIL CASELOAD



SMALL CLAIMS CASELOAD



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

Fiscal Year 1984

Non-Criminal Caseload

	Civil Cases		Summary Process Cases		Small Claims Cases	
	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>
Amesbury	165	50	158	75	533	202
Attleboro	393	331	224	191	1,555	1,335
Ayer	220	143	151	96	1,449	715
Barnstable	1,113	626	215	103	4,250	2,185
Brighton	156	108	283	220	955	600
Brockton	1,389	782	1,060	996	3,446	1,849
Brookline	683	280	114	98	971	711
Cambridge	1,728	1,197	526	320	3,133	2,797
Charlestown	318	131	110	66	406	268
Chelsea	455	258	445	309	1,897	1,408
Chicopee	145	83	7	6	811	419
Clinton	156	63	48	44	731	581
Concord	672	708	78	71	1,451	1,276
Dedham	1,148	1,182	166	142	2,238	1,793
Dorchester	342	256	733	710	1,942	1,381
Dudley	308	132	204	205	1,529	1,382
East Boston	242	139	130	97	1,761	738
Edgartown	104	60	5	2	702	956
Fall River	912	705	449	398	4,931	3,813
Fitchburg	406	266	205	130	2,570	2,478
Framingham	1,298	934	474	619	2,470	992
Gardner	231	244	122	75	937	824
Gloucester	219	94	117	107	852	635
Greenfield	251	180	211	193	1,030	812
Haverhill	1,610	1,237	316	355	1,170	1,094
Hingham	663	386	151	109	1,689	1,614
Holyoke	142	70	10	2	575	569
Ipswich	77	36	13	9	196	107
Lawrence	2,122	1,555	732	737	2,779	4,324
Leominster	248	170	135	127	1,274	1,086
Lowell	1,617	980	1,180	1,073	5,633	5,597
Lynn	1,862	1,057	701	617	3,355	3,082
Malden	1,805	569	501	484	2,802	1,627
Marlborough	305	213	251	232	892	807
Milford	309	204	138	100	976	737

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Non-Criminal Caseload Continued

	Civil Cases		Summary Process Cases		Small Claims Cases	
	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>
Nantucket	109	150	16	13	324	202
Natick	231	110	46	32	896	420
New Bedford	927	665	765	714	6,401	5,087
Newburyport	270	198	112	107	738	651
Newton	575	482	84	77	1,458	1,518
Northampton	738	156	246	239	1,487	1,198
No. Berkshire	234	168	64	55	1,329	1,131
Orange	62	20	44	28	574	472
Orleans	338	275	83	76	1,940	906
Palmer	84	410	28	29	779	741
Peabody	682	295	111	98	1,341	959
Pittsfield	534	373	167	156	1,260	994
Plymouth	585	271	285	259	2,550	2,489
Quincy	2,195	808	581	539	4,536	3,184
Roxbury	108	31	700	497	727	277
Salem	1,534	694	414	364	3,079	3,079
Somerville	871	576	458	420	2,961	1,664
South Boston	82	77	93	92	645	650
So. Berkshire	160	72	30	25	739	332
Spencer	99	83	60	54	622	472
Springfield	2,497	2,634	61	225	4,746	3,989
Stoughton	712	597	87	63	1,017	1,081
Taunton	561	390	295	240	1,832	1,649
Uxbridge	87	72	69	47	559	790
Waltham	1,425	504	205	120	2230	338
Ware	68	36	38	27	210	109
Wareham	366	704	158	129	1,967	1,665
Westborough	410	384	77	121	959	451
Westfield	321	286	81	83	816	654
West Roxbury	249	91	411	237	1,085	620
Winchendon	47	26	12	10	333	279
Woburn	1,555	749	196	132	2,603	2,192
Worcester	3,274	2,084	1,000	960	4,091	3,539
Wrentham	380	388	129	117	1,316	1,059
TOTALS	46,184	30,288	17,569	15,533	122,041	95,635

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Non-Criminal Caseload Continued

	Civil Supplementary Process		Mental Commitments Petitions		Spousal Abuse Petitions	
	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>
Amesbury	57	11	8	8	87	75
Attleboro	189	136	41	41	209	188
Ayer	120	88	25	23	202	193
Barnstable	432	62	95	95	210	123
Brighton	170	45	4	4	184	184
Brockton	649	553	804	769	283	278
Brookline	170	23	31	29	82	53
Cambridge	436	384	161	157	208	58
Charlestown	143	86	9	9	81	67
Chelsea	371	95	0	0	261	261
Chicopee	81	39	24	12	504	402
Clinton	97	267	14	14	122	43
Concord	227	116	44	46	90	90
Dedham	338	219	4	3	110	104
Dorchester	679	274	62	41	634	634
Dudley	159	43	24	8	150	114
East Boston	239	45	7	6	182	150
Edgartown	30	0	0	0	23	23
Fall River	261	126	65	64	294	129
Fitchburg	161	65	23	22	184	140
Framingham	318	72	0	0	235	176
Gardner	70	28	1	1	67	58
Gloucester	107	29	4	4	145	127
Greenfield	54	10	16	13	131	135
Haverhill	241	154	28	28	246	246
Hingham	303	140	39	39	183	151
Holyoke	84	57	10	10	229	202
Ipswich	24	0	3	3	26	11
Lawrence	408	103	15	15	689	689
Leominster	92	74	6	6	91	74
Lowell	648	135	83	23	633	633
Lynn	583	36	13	13	690	547
Malden	532	370	5	5	628	509
Marlborough	133	115	10	10	158	158
Milford	169	29	18	15	134	111

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Non-Criminal Caseload Continued

	Civil Supplementary Process		Mental Commitments Petitions		Spousal Abuse Petitions	
	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>	<u>Entered</u>	<u>Disposed</u>
Nantucket	16	9	0	0	14	6
Natick	161	48	7	7	46	44
New Bedford	238	65	0	0	290	50
Newburyport	101	60	3	3	85	85
Newton	269	142	8	8	46	36
Northampton	123	11	220	214	212	161
No. Berkshire	22	11	3	3	113	102
Orange	24	17	12	8	71	47
Orleans	153	64	31	31	102	76
Palmer	86	21	17	5	135	56
Peabody	179	43	20	20	208	208
Pittsfield	151	60	3	3	183	153
Plymouth	577	93	35	35	314	264
Quincy	726	67	116	116	738	738
Roxbury	421	282	48	30	376	244
Salem	373	39	237	237	1,435	1,435
Somerville	485	67	11	11	268	196
South Boston	141	138	5	5	133	100
So. Berkshire	24	10	0	0	64	29
Spencer	93	69	1	1	104	98
Springfield	452	127	579	440	731	469
Stoughton	240	74	29	24	88	74
Taunton	267	110	152	149	230	230
Uxbridge	77	42	1	1	103	103
Waltham	326	83	216	216	269	157
Ware	29	5	4	2	62	61
Wareham	209	409	30	29	148	117
Westborough	227	70	53	48	53	59
Westfield	88	36	14	14	144	98
West Roxbury	433	104	263	263	521	521
Winchendon	29	14	2	2	23	23
Woburn	510	881	31	31	373	259
Worcester	591	389	169	117	387	387
Wrentham	203	140	41	41	177	132
TOTALS	16,819	7,829	4,057	3,650	16,631	13,954

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Non-Criminal Caseload Continued

U.R.E.S.A. Cases

	<u>Initiated Locally</u>	<u>Received From Out Of State</u>	<u>Disposed</u>
Amesbury	20	21	8
Attleboro	59	61	79
Ayer	45	45	88
Barnstable	90	50	116
Brighton	23	19	40
Brockton	43	33	52
Brookline	17	5	4
Cambridge	32	39	46
Charlestown	3	13	9
Chelsea	14	12	9
Chicopee	48	68	10
Clinton	18	19	17
Concord	32	26	64
Dedham	31	24	44
Dorchester	91	84	81
Dudley	52	33	12
East Boston	9	10	7
Edgartown	6	7	1
Fall River	57	48	0
Fitchburg	34	31	47
Framingham	39	46	57
Gardner	18	16	17
Gloucester	21	13	15
Greenfield	61	22	127
Haverhill	16	45	61
Hingham	28	26	40
Holyoke	28	39	40
Ipswich	5	2	4
Lawrence	46	99	91
Leominster	36	21	25
Lowell	115	85	65
Lynn	46	42	66
Malden	41	27	28
Marlborough	19	17	18
Milford	13	12	25

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Non-Criminal Caseload Continued

U.R.E.S.A. Cases

	<u>Initiated Locally</u>	<u>Received From Out Of State</u>	<u>Disposed</u>
Nantucket	0	4	1
Natick	2	13	0
New Bedford	73	35	91
Newburyport	8	18	22
Newton	21	10	14
Northampton	71	32	19
No. Berkshire	34	27	41
Orange	18	16	20
Orleans	33	18	38
Palmer	32	22	27
Peabody	5	8	5
Pittsfield	65	39	22
Plymouth	46	50	36
Quincy	41	36	25
Roxbury	22	44	20
Salem	32	41	24
Somerville	34	25	64
South Boston	15	50	61
So. Berkshire	21	31	21
Spencer	19	18	31
Springfield	127	163	168
Stoughton	7	20	59
Taunton	20	35	71
Uxbridge	22	30	52
Waltham	29	24	5
Ware	5	7	8
Wareham	29	22	33
Westborough	24	30	18
Westfield	44	17	65
West Roxbury	64	54	20
Winchendon	1	5	5
Woburn	48	51	25
Worcester	116	102	160
Wrentham	45	30	30
TOTALS	2,429	2,287	2,714

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Miscellaneous Statistical Information

	Criminal Showcause <u>Hearings</u>	Inquest Hearings <u>Held</u>	Victims Of Violent Crimes		Civil Transfer Hearings		
			<u>Ent.</u>	<u>Disp.</u>	<u>Rec'd</u>	<u>Disp.</u>	<u>Retrans.*</u>
Amesbury	474	0	0	0	6	0	3
Attleboro	1,827	0	1	1	10	8	2
Ayer	621	0	1	0	17	9	0
Barnstable	4,510	0	7	3	45	36	1
Brighton	1,764	0	6	5	3	5	0
Brockton	2,363	0	12	4	19	16	3
Brookline	1,204	0	4	3	13	52	9
Cambridge	4,142	0	18	8	63	40	18
Charlestown	468	0	15	8	5	1	1
Chelsea	1,761	0	18	6	18	17	0
Chicopee	240	0	0	0	4	0	0
Clinton	2,105	0	1	1	31	48	4
Concord	1,347	0	1	3	30	18	4
Dedham	2,262	0	4	3	50	41	2
Dorchester	8,155	0	41	25	17	7	0
Dudley	991	0	3	3	31	32	3
East Boston	1,360	0	12	11	7	4	2
Edgartown	489	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fall River	2,955	0	11	7	28	17	4
Fitchburg	900	1	3	0	34	26	3
Framingham	2,068	0	6	10	60	32	6
Gardner	730	1	2	2	10	12	3
Gloucester	589	0	2	0	16	14	0
Greenfield	800	0	3	0	2	3	0
Haverhill	1,125	0	5	5	40	24	1
Hingham	1,596	0	1	3	6	1	0
Holyoke	538	1	2	0	2	2	0
Ipswich	158	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lawrence	3,025	0	6	2	57	39	2
Leominster	527	0	0	0	19	21	4
Lowell	2,934	2	15	9	72	90	3
Lynn	2,460	0	5	5	79	35	16
Malden	2,530	0	17	0	72	41	7
Marlborough	1,236	0	2	1	17	8	0
Milford	785	0	3	1	31	28	6

*Retransferred after trial.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Miscellaneous Statistical Information

	Criminal Showcause <u>Hearings</u>	Inquest Hearings <u>Held</u>	Victims Of Violent Crimes		Civil Transfer Hearings		
			<u>Ent.</u>	<u>Disp.</u>	<u>Rec'd</u>	<u>Disp.</u>	<u>Retrans.*</u>
Nantucket	52	0	0	0	0	0	0
Natick	1,302	0	2	0	12	8	0
New Bedford	3,509	0	19	6	15	12	0
Newburyport	581	0	1	0	14	3	2
Newton	1,163	0	1	4	40	22	5
Northampton	2,213	0	4	0	23	10	2
No. Berkshire	485	0	1	1	0	0	0
Orange	396	0	1	0	0	0	0
Orleans	799	0	0	6	13	21	3
Palmer	379	0	1	0	3	7	0
Peabody	1,914	0	1	0	28	28	0
Pittsfield	1,286	0	1	2	7	9	3
Plymouth	260	0	7	1	8	2	2
Quincy	6,927	0	15	4	86	52	11
Roxbury	12,488	1	22	8	6	0	1
Salem	1,850	0	4	3	40	13	10
Somerville	3,478	0	19	7	74	65	1
South Boston	1,526	0	5	7	2	3	0
So. Berkshire	551	0	0	0	1	0	1
Spencer	579	0	1	2	10	13	3
Springfield	3,286	6	23	13	179	187	45
Stoughton	2,103	0	8	4	24	16	4
Taunton	2,133	1	2	4	12	8	2
Uxbridge	276	0	2	2	15	7	1
Waltham	1,204	1	9	5	30	9	1
Ware	313	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wareham	1,691	0	2	4	4	3	0
Westborough	303	0	0	0	76	92	10
Westfield	367	0	0	0	2	2	0
West Roxbury	3,099	0	23	6	9	7	0
Winchendon	141	0	0	0	2	2	0
Woburn	50	0	8	0	70	4	2
Worcester	4,184	2	7	1	128	87	5
Wrentham	1,720	0	3	1	16	17	3
TOTALS	123,647	16	420	220	1,863	1,436	224

*Retransferred after trial.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Report on Appellate Division Statistics for Fiscal Year 1984

	Northern		Western		Southern		Total	Total	Change
	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>No.</u>
Appeals Received	25	29	40	29	26	26	91	84	7
Proceedings on Appeals									
On Merits	15	34	34	19	21	34	70	87	-17
On Petition to Establish a Report	7	10	0	1	5	3	12	14	-2
Other	0	1	6	4	3	2	9	7	2
TOTAL Proceedings on Appeal	22	45	40	24	29	39	91	108	-17
Dispositions of Appeals									
Report Dismissed	13	30	16	4	16	20	45	54	-9
New Trial Ordered	3	7	0	2	1	1	4	10	-6
Finding Reversed	5	8	5	5	4	9	14	22	-8
Petition Allowed	4	2	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
Petition Denied/Dismissed	2	9	1	1	5	3	8	13	-5
Other	2	5	5	4	5	6	12	15	-3
TOTAL Appeals Disposed Of	29	61	27	16	29	39	85	116	-31
Average Duration of Appeals (Days)									
Trial Court Judgment to Appellate Division Entry	164	154	170	41	156	238	163	278	-95
Appellate Division Entry to Disposition	199*	336	149	94	245	311	198	247	-49
Motions									
Motions to Consolidate	5	5	3	5	1	5	9	15	-6
Other Motions	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Total Motions Received	5	5	6	5	1	5	12	15	-3
Proceedings on Motions	4	4	0	0	1	5	5	9	-4
Motions Disposed Of	5	5	0	5	1	5	11	17	-6

*For seventeen (17) cases heard after imposition of "130 day" Rule (Chief Justice Zoll memorandum 6/3/83), Appellate Division Entry to Disposition average was 109 days.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Summary Report of Juvenile Business

<u>Juvenile Delinquency</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84 <u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Motor Vehicle Complaints	9,792	8,279	7,705	8,286	6,298	-1,988	-24
Total Juvenile Complaints	37,337	34,851	36,254	31,712	27,296	-4,416	-14
Complaints Disposed Of	28,363	25,246	28,603	25,968	22,268	-3,700	-14

85

Children In Need Of Services


Applications	3,218	2,770	2,847	2,849	2,974	125	4
Petitions Issued	1,586	1,621	1,760	1,842	1,855	13	1
Petitions Disposed Of	1,839	1,879	2,004	1,859	1,961	102	5

Care and Protection


Petitions Received	1,237	1,017	894	934	1,027	93	10
Petitions Disposed Of	671	813	668	515	555	40	8

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY CASELOAD TRENDS



CHINS/CARE AND PROTECTION CASELOAD



DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Juvenile Delinquency Caseload

	<u>Motor Vehicle Offenses</u>	<u>Assault Crimes</u>	<u>Breaking and Entering</u>	<u>Larceny and Fraud</u>	<u>Narcotics Offenses</u>
Amesbury	27	3	19	44	7
Attleboro		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Ayer	130	28	55	82	20
Barnstable	348	52	144	193	30
Brighton	9	11	3	14	2
Brockton	210	114	179	251	48
Brookline	25	23	38	52	2
Cambridge	52	73	75	142	26
Charlestown	53	17	8	36	4
Chelsea	233	32	52	75	43
Chicopee	79	23	25	35	18
Clinton	66	5	44	20	6
Concord	120	16	47	62	11
Dedham	113	6	26	27	6
Dorchester	63	155	66	141	85
Dudley	154	55	71	92	10
East Boston	100	25	24	39	9
Edgartown	5	3	6	18	0
Fall River		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Fitchburg	91	15	58	25	9
Framingham	130	29	124	162	39
Garnder	56	11	16	33	6
Gloucester	69	13	24	18	10
Greenfield	66	25	45	91	15
Haverhill	60	21	30	35	20
Hingham	341	51	114	122	33
Holyoke	41	41	75	100	5
Ipswich	12	4	12	5	5
Lawrence	216	66	159	277	57
Leominster	39	11	12	95	17
Lowell	262	94	149	158	38
Lynn	160	116	112	226	33
Malden	228	43	61	61	29
Marlborough	73	19	61	53	20
Milford	140	17	41	65	13

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Juvenile Delinquency Caseload Continued

	<u>Motor Vehicle Offenses</u>	<u>Assault Crimes</u>	<u>Breaking and Entering</u>	<u>Larceny and Fraud</u>	<u>Narcotics Offenses</u>
Nantucket	23	2	1	2	0
Natick	243	28	46	29	6
New Bedford		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Newburyport	56	4	16	24	9
Newton	30	15	42	38	2
Northampton	114	30	61	73	8
No. Berkshire	106	21	35	58	18
Orange	61	20	44	62	10
Orleans	76	31	114	108	28
Palmer	97	5	46	57	10
Peabody	98	18	50	60	10
Pittsfield	88	31	64	53	73
Plymouth	212	18	89	103	36
Quincy	156	85	94	181	43
Roxbury	38	162	31	71	35
Salem	118	22	85	74	12
Somerville	80	12	33	56	16
South Boston	28	18	22	38	4
So. Berkshire	52	17	22	41	9
Spencer	69	10	38	48	3
Springfield		SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE COURT			
Stoughton	27	11	39	27	11
Taunton		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Uxbridge	62	6	23	47	14
Waltham	141	17	42	74	7
Ware	10	14	7	26	1
Wareham	122	23	120	130	26
Westborough	72	11	37	43	6
Westfield	58	22	40	77	3
West Roxbury	48	97	55	76	8
Winchendon	5	8	11	5	2
Woburn	121	33	48	66	34
Worcester		WORCESTER JUVENILE COURT			
Wrentham	116	20	29	30	10
TOTALS	6,298	2,028	3,359	4,626	1,130

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Juvenile Delinquency Caseload Continued

	<u>Disorderly Conduct</u>	<u>All Other Offenses</u>	<u>Total Complaints Entered</u>	<u>Total Complaints Disposed Of</u>
Amesbury	2	52	154	116
Attleboro	BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Ayer	8	127	450	449
Barnstable	32	278	1,077	701
Brighton	5	42	86	41
Brockton	70	297	1,169	881
Brookline	0	24	164	123
Cambridge	11	270	649	583
Charlestown	13	62	193	101
Chelsea	53	252	740	333
Chicopee	11	141	332	359
Clinton	15	81	237	433
Concord	21	116	393	391
Dedham	17	174	369	313
Dorchester	37	237	784	704
Dudley	15	193	590	520
East Boston	20	86	303	278
Edgartown	1	12	45	45
Fall River	BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Fitchburg	9	105	312	297
Framingham	24	114	622	419
Gardner	3	49	174	122
Gloucester	6	109	249	137
Greenfield	2	44	288	328
Haverhill	17	56	239	279
Hingham	25	245	931	1,176
Holyoke	28	107	397	347
Ipswich	1	15	54	27
Lawrence	117	338	1,230	870
Leominster	16	85	275	233
Lowell	67	281	1,049	1,220
Lynn	29	448	1,124	706
Malden	23	158	603	456
Marlborough	9	101	336	323
Milford	27	116	419	428

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Juvenile Delinquency Caseload Continued

	<u>Disorderly Conduct</u>	<u>All Other Offenses</u>	<u>Total Complaints Entered</u>	<u>Total Complaints Disposed Of</u>
Nantucket	1	2	31	23
Natick	4	80	436	208
New Bedford	BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Newburyport	13	84	206	204
Newton	4	45	176	187
Northampton	4	175	465	390
No. Berkshire	6	90	334	258
Orange	11	74	282	134
Orleans	16	141	514	164
Palmer	5	154	374	182
Peabody	6	102	344	271
Pittsfield	19	84	412	277
Plymouth	21	270	749	526
Quincy	23	347	929	858
Roxbury	17	172	526	420
Salem	15	285	611	324
Somerville	12	142	351	188
South Boston	7	77	194	190
So. Berkshire	3	82	226	160
Spencer	10	74	252	181
Springfield	SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE COURT			
Stoughton	3	49	167	343
Taunton	BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Uxbridge	4	80	236	310
Waltham	6	187	474	266
Ware	0	22	80	69
Wareham	32	264	717	675
Westborough	5	82	256	310
Westfield	1	40	241	174
West Roxbury	23	144	451	312
Winchendon	1	19	51	18
Woburn	4	531	837	581
Worcester	WORCESTER JUVENILE COURT			
Wrentham	9	123	337	326
TOTALS	1,019	8,836	27,296	22,268

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Juvenile Caseload Continued

	<u>Children in Need of Services</u>			<u>Care and Protection</u>	
	<u>Applications Received</u>	<u>Petitions Issued</u>	<u>Petitions Disposed</u>	<u>Petitions Received</u>	<u>Petitions Disposed</u>
Amesbury	20	20	9	5	2
Attleboro		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Ayer	28	17	36	10	13
Barnstable	77	71	56	29	13
Brighton		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
Brockton	103	95	61	77	81
Brookline	18	18	14	4	0
Cambridge	122	122	71	17	14
Charlestown		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
Chelsea		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
Chicopee	156	156	19	45	4
Clinton	30	8	31	1	0
Concord	17	0	17	11	4
Dedham	56	4	62	3	1
Dorchester	0	0	0	0	0
Dudley	77	44	80	9	3
East Boston		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
Edgartown	2	2	1	1	1
Fall River		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Fitchburg	78	25	81	9	3
Framingham	43	8	45	15	6
Gardner	33	15	34	14	14
Gloucester	19	7	12	4	0
Greenfield	45	32	45	29	25
Haverhill	57	23	18	62	3
Hingham	22	9	18	4	2
Holyoke	167	35	184	36	6
Ipswich	4	4	3	0	0
Lawrence	113	108	31	95	44
Leominster	30	6	21	4	1
Lowell	190	70	145	65	44
Lynn	230	51	18	31	12
Malden	70	72	45	38	20
Marlborough	54	31	46	23	8
Milford	54	21	54	8	2

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Juvenile Caseload Continued

	<u>Children in Need of Services</u>			<u>Care and Protection</u>	
	<u>Applications Received</u>	<u>Petitions Issued</u>	<u>Petitions Disposed</u>	<u>Petitions Received</u>	<u>Petitions Disposed</u>
Nantucket	0	0	1	0	0
Natick	29	29	10	3	0
New Bedford		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Newburyport	13	13	10	8	7
Newton	19	19	4	3	3
Northampton	78	50	30	23	8
No. Berkshire	67	67	54	25	20
Orange	21	21	6	18	4
Orleans	25	18	26	7	10
Palmer	31	31	16	8	5
Peabody	42	16	9	5	4
Pittsfield	70	61	37	45	23
Plymouth	61	57	25	24	10
Quincy	93	75	65	84	45
Roxbury		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
Salem	35	35	21	14	8
Somerville	86	33	40	11	3
South Boston		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
So. Berkshire	7	7	1	2	1
Spencer	39	20	18	8	5
Springfield		SPRINGFIELD JUVENILE COURT			
Stoughton	28	18	49	1	4
Taunton		BRISTOL COUNTY JUVENILE COURT			
Uxbridge	24	27	23	5	4
Waltham	57	57	56	11	4
Ware	11	7	3	4	2
Wareham	37	15	45	17	16
Westborough	20	25	29	2	1
Westfield	31	8	13	15	8
West Roxbury		BOSTON DIVISION JUVENILE COURT			
Winchendon	29	18	18	1	0
Woburn	55	40	51	23	20
Worcester		WORCESTER JUVENILE COURT			
Wrentham	51	14	44	11	13
TOTALS	2,974	1,855	1,961	1,027	555

Housing

Court

Department

Housing Court Department

Four categories of caseload data are included for two divisions of the Housing Court Department. The section contains a data table and graphs which present criminal, summary process, small claims, and civil cases entered in the department during Fiscal Year 1984.

The department received a total of 16,019 new entries in four case categories in Fiscal Year 1984, down 9 percent from last year. Sixty-four percent of these entries were in the Boston Division.

Fiscal Year 1984 total entries are comprised of the following:

- 40% summary process cases
- 36% criminal cases
- 12% civil cases
- 12% small claims cases

Decreased entries relative to Fiscal Year 1983 were reported in both the criminal (-26%) and the small claims (-19%) case categories. Increased entries were reported in the summary process (+13%) and civil (+9%) case categories. This pattern was true for the caseloads in the individual divisions as well.


Overall, Boston Division entries increased from the Fiscal Year 1983 volume by 215 to a total of 10,228 entries. While the Hampden County Division entries appeared to decrease by 24 percent in Fiscal Year 1984, it should be noted that the division's figures are not directly comparable. Hampden's Fiscal Year 1984 criminal entry figure does not include show cause hearings, while the division did include them in its Fiscal Year 1983 figure.

HOUSING COURT DEPARTMENT
Comparison of Entries by Fiscal Year

						Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						#	%
<u>Housing Court Department</u>	<u>1980</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>		
Criminal Cases	5,258	5,048	5,279	7,838	5,808	-2,030	-26
Summary Process Cases	5,629	6,305	5,767	5,720	6,452	732	13
Small Claims Cases	1,979	2,299	2,113	2,301	1,855	-446	-19
Civil Cases	2,051	1,805	1,797	1,749	1,904	155	9
TOTAL New Entries	14,917	15,457	14,956	17,608	16,019	-1,589	-9
 <u>Boston Division</u>							
<u>Housing Court Department</u>							
Criminal Cases	4,030	3,733	3,696	4,571	4,057	-566	-14
Summary Process Cases	3,201	3,160	3,426	3,506	4,035	529	13
Small Claims Cases	494	477	367	426	422	-4	-1
Civil Cases	1,799	1,571	1,612	1,564	1,714	150	9
TOTAL New Entries	9,524	8,941	9,101	10,013	10,228	215	2
 <u>Hampden Division</u>							
<u>Housing Court Department</u>							
Criminal Cases	1,228	1,315	1,583	3,321	1,751	-1,570	-47
Summary Process Cases	2,428	3,145	2,341	2,214	2,417	203	9
Small Claims Cases	1,485	1,822	1,746	1,875	1,433	-442	-24
Civil Cases	252	234	185	185	190	5	3
TOTAL New Entries	5,393	6,516	5,855	7,595	5,791	-1,804	-24


HOUSING COURT DEPARTMENT

TREND IN ENTRIES



FY'84 DEPARTMENTAL ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



Juvenile

Court

Department

Juvenile Court Department

The Juvenile Court Department is composed of four divisions which have juvenile jurisdiction in four geographic areas: the cities of Boston, Springfield, and Worcester and the County of Bristol. The department collects and reports data on five casetypes, a count of judicial determinations, and, for the first time, Juvenile Court Department jury-of-six activity for the fiscal year.

Juvenile Caseload

Within the five casetypes there has been considerable fluctuation in the number of filings in each of the past five fiscal years. In Fiscal Year 1984, decreases were reported for four of five casetypes.

Juvenile delinquency complaints, 6,242 in Fiscal Year 1984, have declined in each of the past five years, while the volume in the remaining casetypes present no clear trend.

Two of the decreases in case filings were minor. Criminal (Adult) cases, juveniles bound over for hearing as an adult, a relatively small portion of the total caseload, decreased from 38 in Fiscal Year 1983 to just 9 in Fiscal Year 1984. Children in need of service filings, 1,698 in Fiscal Year 1984, decreased by 30 from Fiscal Year 1983 levels.

In Fiscal Year 1984, 490 care and protection petitions were filed representing 798 children. In Fiscal Year 1983, the comparable figures were 552 petitions involving 980 children.

An area where a significant increase in filings was reported was contributing to the delinquency of a minor. Cases initiated against adults almost doubled from last year and more than doubled over previous years filings.

Jury-of-Six Caseload

Jury-of-Six caseload data is reported for three divisions of the department. Bristol Division cases are heard and reported by the New Bedford Division of the District Court Department.

The department began the fiscal year with 77 jury requests actively pending before the court. During the year, an additional 209 jury requests were received. Eighty-two percent of these requests were for a jury on appeal de novo. The

remaining were first instance requests. Juvenile/CHINS requests accounted for 201 of the 209 total requests.

Thirty appeals were withdrawn during Fiscal Year 1984. This amounted to 17.5 percent of all de novo appeal requests filed and 14.4 percent of total requests filed. This brought the net total caseload available for action by the court to 256 requests.

The department disposed of 196 requests during the year.

The disposition categories are:

- 40% by Guilty Plea/Admission of Guilt
- 16% by Jury-Waived Trial
- 14% by Jury Trial
- 29% by Other Means of Disposition


At the end of the fiscal year, there were 41 cases actively pending and 19 cases on default bringing the total pending request to 60 cases. Of the 41 active requests pending, 23 had been pending for less than 60 days.

JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
Cases Initiated During Fiscal Year 1984
Based on a Count of Complaints/Petitions

105	Juvenile Case Type	<u>Boston</u>	<u>Bristol</u>	<u>Springfield</u>	<u>Worcester</u>	Department <u>Totals</u>
	Delinquency	1,219	3,008	958	1,057	6,242
	Criminal (Adult)	4	--	--	5	9
	Children in Need of Service	894	340	294	170	1,698
	Contributing to Delinquency of Minor	62	31	2	--	95
	Care and Protection Petitions Filed	227	123	93	47	490
	Children Represented	319	207	184	88	798
	Judicial Determinations	21,748	15,568	8,381	7,172	52,869


JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT

DELINQUENCY CASELOAD TREND



NON-DELINQUENCY CASELOAD

CHINS/CARE & PROTECTION CASELOAD



JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
Count of Cases Initiated During Fiscal Year 1984
Complaints/Petitions

Five-Year Trend in the Departmental Caseload

Juvenile Case Type	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>
Delinquency	10,352	9,936	7,169	7,151	6,242
Criminal (Adult)	37	35	15	38	9
Children in Need of Service	1,846	1,660	1,473	1,728	1,698
Contributing to Delinquency of Minor	29	33	26	46	95
Care and Protection Petitions Filed	592	417	296	552	490
Children Represented	1,305	723	453	980	798
Judicial Determinations	74,523	69,374	64,653	60,637	52,869

JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1984
Jury-of-Six Caseflow Analysis

	<u>Boston</u>	<u>Springfield</u>	<u>Worcester</u>	<u>Department*</u>
<u>START PENDING</u>	51	18	8	77
<u>REQUESTS</u>				
<u>1st instance</u>				
Criminal	0	0	1	1
Juvenile/CHINS	<u>21</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>37</u>
TOTAL	21	6	11	38
<u>De Novo Appeals</u>				
Criminal	1	1	5	7
Juvenile/CHINS	<u>133</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>164</u>
TOTAL	134	17	20	171
TOTAL REQUESTS	155	23	31	209
<u>APPEALS WITHDRAWN</u>	19	6	5	30
<u>DISPOSITIONS</u>				
Plea/Admission	42	13	24	79
Jury Trial	19	6	3	28
Jury Waived	31	1	0	32
Other	<u>46</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>57</u>
TOTAL	138	29	29	196
<u>END PENDING</u>	49	6	5	60
<u>DEFAULTS PENDING</u>	15	1	3	19
<u>ACTIVE END PENDING</u>	34	5	2	41
<u>AGE OF PENDING</u>				
60 days or under	16	5	2	23
Over 60 days	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>18</u>
TOTAL	34	5	2	41

*Bristol Division cases heard and reported by the New Bedford District Court Division.

Land

Court

Department

Land Court Department

The Land Court Department reports caseload data in four categories. In addition, the department presents a count of the number of decree and subdivision plans drawn up by its Engineering Department as well as a general report of the department's cost to the Commonwealth.

Caseload

The Land Court Department began Fiscal Year 1984 with 21,682 cases awaiting action by the court. During the year, an additional 9,918 cases were entered bringing the total caseload available for action by the court to 31,600 cases.

Case entries increased by 8 percent in Fiscal Year 1984 relative to Fiscal Year 1983. While entries in the land registration, subsequent and tax liens categories have increased in recent years, the opposite trend is indicated for land registration/confirmation, and equity/miscellaneous filings.

The Department disposed of 8,237 cases in Fiscal Year 1984, a 12 percent increase over last year. This disposition level gives the department an annual throughput rate of 83 dispositions per 100 cases entered.

Calculating this throughput ratio for each of the individual casetypes, it is clear that the department disposes of three of its four casetypes with a high degree of efficiency. As a result, the department's pending caseload increased by 8 percent during Fiscal Year 1984. The 20 percent increase in the tax lien pending caseload accounted for 96 percent of the overall increase in the department's pending caseload.

Plans

In recent years, there has been a shift in the workload of the Land Court Department's Engineering staff from decree plans to subdivision plans. The number of decree plans required of the department has decreased in the past several years, while subdivision plans increased by 20 percent over the Fiscal Year 1983 plan production level. As a result, total plan production for Fiscal Year 1984 was up by 143 plans over last fiscal year.

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT

Report on Court Statistics for the Period July 1, 1983 through June 30, 1984

	Cases Pending <u>7/1/83</u>	Cases Entered <u>During FY'84</u>	Total Yearly <u>Caseload</u>	Cases Disposed of <u>During FY'84</u>	Cases Pending on <u>6/30/84</u>	Change in Pending <u>Caseload</u>	Ratio of Dispositions to Cases <u>Entered</u>
Land Reg./Conf.	1,214	213	1,427	279*	1,148	-66	131%
Land Reg., Sub.	127	2,542	2,669	2,470	199	72	97%
Tax Liens	7,886	4,027	11,913	2,311**	9,602	1,716	57%
Equity & Miscellaneous	12,455	3,136	15,591	3,177***	12,414	-41	101%
TOTAL	21,682	9,918	31,600	8,237	23,363	1,681	83%

	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change <u>FY'83 - FY'84</u>
Decree Plans Made	297	222	201	-21
Subdivision Plans Made	602	582	746	164
Total Plans Made	899	804	947	143

Total Appropriation	FY'84 \$1,557,276.00
Total Expenditures:	1,536,064.00
Less: Fees Sent State Treasurer	503,284.25
Income from Assurance Fund	
Applicable to Expenses	32,485.95
Net Cost to Commonwealth	1,000,293.80
Claims Paid from Assurance Fund During Fiscal Year	NONE
Assurance Fund Balance, June 30 of Fiscal Year	401,046.66
Assessed Value of Land on Petitions in Land Registration Cases Entered during Fiscal Year:	\$18,324,681.00


*Includes 83 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

**Includes 10 cases dismissed by Order of Court.

***Includes 802 cases dismissed by Order of Court.


LAND COURT DEPARTMENT

CASELOAD TRENDS



FY'84 CASE ENTRIES

CASELOAD COMPOSITION



LAND COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Caseload Analysis
(Fiscal Years)

<u>Entries</u>	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Land Registration & Confirmation	301	278	280	246	213	-33	-13
Land Registration, Subsequent	1,810	1,839	1,840	2,067	2,542	475	23
Tax Liens	2,630	2,879	2,696	3,531	4,027	496	14
Equity & Miscellaneous	<u>4,116</u>	<u>3,923</u>	<u>4,017</u>	<u>3,334</u>	<u>3,136</u>	<u>-198</u>	<u>-6</u>
TOTAL	8,857	8,919	8,833	9,178	9,918	740	8
<u>Dispositions</u>							
Land Registration & Confirmation	541	334	263	283	279*	-4	-1
Land Registration, Subsequent	1,785	1,824	1,810	2,047	2,470	423	21
Tax Liens	1,789	2,187	2,327	2,332	2,311**	-21	-1
Equity & Miscellaneous	<u>3,119</u>	<u>2,699</u>	<u>2,618</u>	<u>2,680</u>	<u>3,177***</u>	<u>497</u>	<u>19</u>
TOTAL	7,234	7,044	7,018	7,342	8,237	895	12
<u>End Pending</u>							
Land Registration & Confirmation	1,290	1,234	1,251	1,214	1,148	-66	-5
Land Registration, Subsequent	62	77	107	127	199	72	57
Tax Liens	5,626	6,318	6,687	7,996	9,602	1,606	20
Equity & Miscellaneous	<u>9,178</u>	<u>10,402</u>	<u>11,801</u>	<u>12,455</u>	<u>12,414</u>	<u>-41</u>	<u>-.3</u>
TOTAL	16,156	18,031	19,846	21,682	23,363	1,681	8

Probate

and

Family

Court

Department

Probate and Family Court Department

The Probate and Family Court Department reports detailed case data on 20 separate categories of case filings and nine categories of dispositions. The department also presents information on support collections and fees and charges assessed during the year.

Filings

In Fiscal Year 1984, a total of 113,292 original entries, including all partitions, accounts, complaints, were filed in the Probate and Family Court Department. This is a decrease of 4,344 filings or 4 percent from Fiscal Year 1983 filings, and it is the lowest volume of filings in the past five years.

Fifty-one percent (57,470) of the filings in Fiscal Year 1984 were probate-related matters. Total probate matters filed were up by 2 percent overall over last year.

Probate cases are reported in eight general categories. Increased filings were reported in three categories:

- Administrations - up 8 percent over Fiscal Year 1983 after a steady decline in each of the past five years.
- Accounts/Distributions - up 7 percent over Fiscal Year, this casetype comprises 41 percent of all probate matters filed in Fiscal Year 1984.
- Real Estate Sales - up 6 percent over Fiscal Year 1983.

Divorces filed in Fiscal Year 1984 totalled 24,156, down 5 percent or 1,400 filings from last year. Divorce filings accounted for 21 percent of total filings, a proportion roughly consistent with past years.

The remaining 28 percent of the department's Fiscal Year 1984 filings is composed of various smaller volume casetypes. There are two points to note in this grouping.

- Separate Support and Maintenance filings continued the trend of the past five years and decreased by 8 percent over last year.
- Termination of Parental Rights (M.G.L. Ch.210 s.3) Petitions increased by 68 percent over last year.

Seven divisions recorded increased filings, and seven recorded decreases relative to last year. The largest changes in the volume of filings occurred in Hampden (-20%), Plymouth (-14 %), and Suffolk (-19%) divisions.

Dispositions

A total of 155,258 matters were disposed of, down 3 percent from last year. Ten of fourteen divisions underwent a decrease in dispositions relative to Fiscal Year 1983.

Fiscal Year 1984 dispositions had the following characteristics:

Contested/Uncontested

- 28% were dispositions of contested matters
- 72% were dispositions of uncontested matters

By Case Category

- 35% were dispositions of probate matters
- 32% were disposition of motions
- 13% were dispositions of divorces
- 12% were dispositions of contempts
- 8% were dispositions of all other casetypes

Ten of the fourteen divisions reported decreases in the number of Fiscal Year 1984 dispositions relative to Fiscal Year 1983.

Support Collections

The 12 Family Service Offices collected a total of \$42,054,312 in Fiscal Year 1984. This is an increase of \$8,379,207 over last year's collection level, a single year increase of 25 percent. Seventy-five percent of these support collections went directly to litigants, while the remainder was sent to the Massachusetts Department of Public Welfare.

Increases in support monies collected were recorded in all 12 Family Service Office collection areas. The largest relative increase in monies collected was recorded for the Berkshire Division, which, in its second full year of operations, reported an increase of 625 percent in total monies collected over Fiscal Year 1983.

Support monies collected were as follows:

- 76% were IV-D Non-AFDC - collections made for families not receiving public assistance.
- 21% were IV-D AFDC - collections made for the Massachusetts DPW for children receiving public assistance under the Aid to Families of Dependent Children Program.
- 3% were for all other types of collections.

Fees Collected

The department collected \$4,308,829 in fees. This is a 4 percent increase over fees collected in Fiscal Year 1983. Fees collected break down as follows:

- 47.2% Probate and Fidiciary Filing Fees
- 30.7% Domestic Relations Filing Fees
- 15.5% Certificate Copies and Other Fees
- 6.1% Surcharges
- .5% Equity and Other Filing Fees

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Original Entries
All Complaints, Petitions, and Accounts Filed


	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Original Entries	116,027	128,695	118,012	117,636	113,292	-4,344	-4
Probate							
Administration	10,309	9,922	9,734	9,295	10,029	734	8
Wills	13,119	13,537	14,004	15,389	14,029	-1,360	-9
Trusteeships	916	839	824	886	861	-25	-3
Guardianships	2,892	3,248	3,329	3,976	3,804	-172	-4
Conservatorships	---	1,783	1,686	1,623	1,602	-21	-1
Accts. & Distrib.	25,751	24,987	27,159	22,020	23,617	1,597	7
Partitions	226	206	217	239	206	-33	-14
Real Estate Sales	3,313	3,148	2,665	3,124	3,322	198	6
Equitable Relief	1,207	1,121	1,073	1,241	1,148	-93	-7
Separate Support & Maintenance	2,997	2,178	1,786	1,500	1,378	-122	-8
Desertions & Living Apart	82	58	100	109	94	-15	-14
Custody of Minors	323	362	555	507	561	54	11
Divorce - Original Entries	25,601	25,098	25,048	25,556	24,156	-1,400	-5
Adoptions	2,774	2,504	2,692	2,982	2,755	-227	-8
210 Sect. 3 - Termination of Parental Rights Petitions	---	---	726	567	952	285	50
Chapter 209A Petitions (abuse prevention)	1,608	2,413	2,279	2,235	2,269	34	2
Elder Abuse Protection	---	---	---	---	11	---	---
All Other	24,909	39,074	26,547	26,387	22,498	-3,889	-15

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Five Year Trend in Original Entries
All Complaints, Petitions, and Accounts Filed

	<u>FY'80</u>	<u>FY'81</u>	<u>FY'82</u>	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	Change FY'83 - FY'84	
						<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>
Barnstable	4,662	4,824	5,344	3,927	4,628	341	9
Berkshire	5,651	5,539	2,848	2,833	2,732	-101	-4
Bristol	7,923	8,277	8,986	7,858	8,164	306	4
Dukes	410	390	399	369	337	-32	-9
Essex	11,247	10,533	10,782	11,334	10,453	-881	-8
Franklin	1,746	1,700	1,524	1,681	1,702	21	1
Hampden	8,155	9,068	8,773	8,582	6,866	-1,716	-20
Hampshire	2,236	1,911	2,268	2,700	2,972	272	10
Middlesex	22,580	29,665	21,435	25,238	26,346	1,108	4
Nantucket	204	190	265	296	331	35	12
Norfolk	13,064	12,523	14,242	14,317	14,515	198	1
Plymouth	7,326	7,883	6,067	6,399	5,489	-910	-14
Suffolk	11,317	16,849	15,110	13,185	10,702	-2,483	-19
Worcester	19,506	19,613	19,969	18,867	18,415	-452	-2
Department	116,027	128,695	118,012	117,636	113,292	-4,344	-4


PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

TREND IN ORIGINAL ENTRIES



TREND IN ENTRIES BY CASETYPE

TREND IN ORIGINAL ENTRIES



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>Barn.</u>	<u>Berk.</u>	<u>Bristol</u>	<u>Dukes</u>	<u>Essex</u>	<u>Franklin</u>	<u>Hampden</u>
<u>ORIGINAL ENTRIES:</u>							
All complaints, petitions, and accounts filed	4,268	2,732	8,164	337	10,453	1,702	6,866
<u>PROBATE DECREES:</u>							
Administrations filed	365	270	841	19	1,132	173	814
Administrations allowed	308	148	767	16	640	175	382
Wills filed	728	486	1,321	117	1,138	216	1,031
Wills allowed	784	378	728	89	1,337	134	773
Trusteeships filed	47	11	54	5	99	8	18
Trusteeships allowed	49	13	43	5	95	7	28
Guard. (minors) filed	43	29	111	1	162	24	226
Guard. (minors) allowed	69	26	111	1	150	17	193
Guard. (men. ill) filed	67	24	171	0	201	22	132
Guard. (men. ill) allowed	81	29	125	0	159	7	94
Guard. (men. ret.) filed	7	12	112	0	76	4	52
Guard. (men. ret.) allowed	15	11	98	0	58	4	43
Conservatorships filed	48	29	82	5	183	9	138
Conservatorships allowed	33	32	75	4	147	11	118
Accts. & Dist. filed	1,001	839	1,121	71	2,491	334	1,711
Accts. & Dist. allowed	735	752	811	47	2,251	277	1,438
Partitions filed	18	5	54	5	29	5	9
Partitions allowed	0	2	20	5	9	5	4
Real Estate Sales filed	183	33	272	7	471	52	250
Real Estate Sales allowed	189	66	253	7	451	35	224
<u>EQUITABLE RELIEF:</u>							
Complaints filed	67	26	118	5	160	16	36
Prelim. Injunctions issued	8	0	23	0	12	0	8
Temp. Res. Orders issued	8	0	23	0	12	0	8
Default Judgments	1	0	3	0	0	0	13
Final Jdgmts. after Hrng.	20	4	28	2	82	10	17

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1984

<u>Hamp.</u>	<u>Midd.</u>	<u>Nant.</u>	<u>Norf.</u>	<u>Plym.</u>	<u>Suff.</u>	<u>Worc.</u>	<u>Totals</u>
2,972	26,346	331	14,515	5,489	10,702	18,415	113,292
251	2,334	26	1,322	439	855	1,188	10,029
225	1,280	19	1,222	315	951	1,090	7,538
235	2,733	56	1,685	967	1,042	2,274	14,029
192	2,702	53	1,221	722	1,010	1,328	11,451
8	293	6	137	36	87	52	861
73	207	6	104	28	86	66	810
33	215	4	181	131	177	134	1,471
38	360	4	126	94	178	188	1,555
18	266	3	439	197	167	131	1,838
23	315	0	283	72	131	191	1,510
16	32	0	100	31	9	44	495
19	163	0	43	25	25	56	560
25	476	6	303	93	111	94	1,602
17	241	2	221	75	132	132	1,240
454	6,669	98	3,700	911	2,551	1,666	23,617
352	3,225	77	1,215	1,096	2,426	1,989	16,691
4	1	1	22	22	4	27	206
3	2	1	7	7	1	36	102
74	769	9	391	158	275	378	3,322
65	603	8	410	255	390	403	3,359
27	251	2	149	98	126	67	1,148
2	97	1	16	25	1	15	208
3	74	1	23	36	12	36	302
0	0	0	5	2	0	0	24
4	0	0	79	39	67	45	399

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>Barn.</u>	<u>Berk.</u>	<u>Bristol</u>	<u>Dukes</u>	<u>Essex</u>	<u>Franklin</u>	<u>Hampden</u>
<u>SEPARATE SUPPORT AND MAINTENANCE</u>							
Complaints filed	16	14	124	2	136	3	32
Sep. Sup. Comp. allowed	4	1	82	0	6	1	20
Sep. Sup. Comp. dismissed	10	2	112	3	112	0	3
Temp. Ord. of Sup. allowed	6	6	119	0	290	0	1
Mod. Judgments entered	90	0	0	0	10	0	2
Contempt Complaints filed	53	1	14	0	56	0	0
<u>DESERTIONS AND LIVING APART</u>							
Filed	0	0	21	0	0	0	0
Allowed	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
<u>CUSTODY OF MINORS</u>							
Petitions filed	22	14	30	3	104	7	9
Petitions allowed	18	7	3	0	20	1	2
Ch.119, s.23C Ptns. filed	0	0	8	0	48	5	0
Ch.119, s.23C Ptns. allowed	0	0	0	0	21	2	0
<u>DIVORCE</u>							
Original entries	848	660	2,135	49	2,550	400	1,676
Decrees Nisi	456	653	1,823	52	1,940	376	1,407
Complaints dismissed	38	13	144	7	119	24	30
Dismissals under Rule 408	100	50	263	5	433	16	249
Divorce Complaints pending (at the end of FY'84)	823	209	998	68	285	302	1,864
Temp. orders of sup. allowed	897	240	886	6	1,209	284	3,547
Mod Judgments entered	55	74	244	5	168	73	1,012
IR. Brkdwn. 209, s.1A filed	367	146	284	15	577	219	178
IR. Brkdwn 209, s.1A jds.en.	271	115	358	31	825	97	442
IR. Brkdwn 208, s.1B filed	65	34	1,303	9	977	16	617
IR. Brkdwn 208, s.1B jds.en.	76	8	17	6	558	9	200
Contempt Complaints filed	432	201	812	16	1,039	165	878
Wage Assignments ordered	163	194	710	3	303	56	833
<u>ADOPTIONS</u>	99	57	227	10	267	33	320
<u>210 SECTION 3</u>							
Term. Petitions filed	11	5	55	0	86	4	58
Term. Petitions allowed	9	3	44	0	86	2	49
Term. Ptns. denied/dismissed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<u>CHAPTER 209A PETITIONS</u>							
Filed	167	16	66	2	30	28	350
Allowed	262	16	64	2	29	30	247
<u>ELDER ABUSE PROTECTION</u>							
Petitions filed	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Petitions allowed	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Caseload Analysis - Fiscal Year 1984

<u>Hamp.</u>	<u>Midd.</u>	<u>Nant.</u>	<u>Norf.</u>	<u>Plym.</u>	<u>Suff.</u>	<u>Worc.</u>	<u>Totals</u>
9	471	2	209	123	110	127	1,378
2	22	0	10	5	18	29	200
7	42	0	183	147	55	6	682
0	169	1	161	55	58	15	881
0	2	0	0	4	6	0	114
0	71	2	80	84	85	34	480
0	0	0	73	0	0	0	94
0	0	0	12	0	0	0	13
3	5	1	5	65	8	42	318
0	0	0	3	7	1	16	78
0	36	0	2	11	91	42	243
3	0	0	0	0	99	2	127
574	5067	52	2,758	1,703	2,724	2,960	24,156
518	4,464	15	2,603	1,599	2,039	2,114	20,059
225	597	7	283	185	94	204	1,970
65	667	1	197	35	434	344	3,159
443	2,167	26	2,526	2,504	628	2,193	15,036
1,797	1,555	22	1,020	272	521	1,604	13,860
89	517	4	277	143	153	636	3,450
146	1,321	16	570	232	216	279	4,566
192	1,643	7	670	597	606	595	6,449
67	1,313	19	518	330	223	625	6,116
6	809	6	325	62	120	577	2,779
240	2,087	21	1,351	967	789	2,229	11,227
107	1,012	6	286	391	145	182	4,391
34	628	2	279	212	215	372	2,755
6	96	0	29	34	480	87	952
8	87	0	15	11	188	87	589
0	9	0	1	0	9	0	21
58	166	0	178	414	292	502	2,269
47	166	0	93	414	363	502	2,235
0	1	0	0	1	2	2	11
0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Trial List Report Summary
Fiscal Year 1984 Dispositions

	B a r n s t a b l e	B e r k s h i r e	B r i s t o l	D u k e s	E s s e x	F r a n k l i n	H a m p d e n	H a m p s h i r e
Divorces-contested	68	108	575	2	353	120	372	46
Divorces-uncontested	513	481	1,248	50	1,392	293	1,671	481
Separate Support-contested	1	0	84	0	26	2	8	2
Separate Support-uncontested	21	4	115	3	66	2	12	11
Contempt-contested	442	57	525	5	329	59	563	172
Contempt-uncontested	147	99	385	3	266	20	427	110
Contempts Cont'd Contested	181	49	840	141	420	72	648	421
Modifications-contested	34	44	170	1	83	51	678	58
Modifications-uncontested	50	49	74	3	75	33	334	82
Equity-contested	14	3	70	3	69	8	17	6
Equity-uncontested	18	4	189	1	41	2	13	24
Adoptions-contested	13	8	11	0	40	2	107	7
Adoptions-uncontested	96	56	232	9	382	40	223	40
209A Petitions-contested	110	3	0	0	11	5	247	86
209A Petitions-uncontested	251	9	64	3	47	29	451	80
Probate Matters-contested	43	34	9	6	120	6	194	86
Probate Matters-uncontested	2,667	1,711	3,003	181	6,586	711	3,903	1,471
Motions-contested	781	228	2,263	33	1,685	452	1,682	344
Motions-uncontested	2,067	353	3,455	149	3,118	253	1,865	1,262
TOTAL CONTESTED	1,687	534	4,547	191	3,136	777	4,516	1,228
TOTAL UNCONTESTED	5,830	2,766	8,765	402	11,973	1,383	8,899	3,561
TOTAL # MATTERS HEARD								
Fiscal Year 1984	7,517	3,300	13,312	593	15,109	2,160	13,415	4,789
Fiscal Year 1983	8,069	3,111	13,976	581	16,418	2,094	13,691	5,055

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Trial List Report Summary
Fiscal Year 1984 Dispositions

M i d d l e s e x	N a n t u c k e t	N o r f o l k	P l y m o u t h	S u f f o l k	W o r c e s t e r	<u>FY'84 Totals</u>	<u>FY'83 Totals</u>	Change #	%
825	4	489	206	64	777	4,009	4,060	-51	-1
3,552	20	1,298	1,362	2,129	1,716	16,206	17,128	-922	-5
22	0	27	37	10	13	232	317	-85	-27
48	0	47	143	48	16	536	845	-309	-37
1,127	8	447	349	1,075	669	5,827	5,967	-140	-2
695	3	1,035	272	0	656	4,118	4,787	-669	-14
1,228	12	316	1,148	2,219	406	8,101	7,245	856	12
262	2	172	92	59	491	2,197	2,100	97	5
221	2	81	193	66	145	1,408	1,510	-102	-7
71	4	58	29	37	47	436	398	38	9
74	0	44	26	48	16	500	490	10	2
62	0	22	8	31	17	328	430	-102	-24
288	0	249	235	312	357	2,519	2,596	-77	-3
28	0	43	375	75	427	1,410	1,251	159	13
68	0	94	520	299	485	2,400	2,328	72	3
759	16	139	65	80	492	2,049	1,710	339	20
13,633	146	5,020	3,349	6,053	4,567	53,001	56,148	-3,147	-6
5,141	78	1,772	2,257	1,112	1,160	18,988	19,615	-627	-3
7,476	66	3,373	2,954	3,070	1,532	30,993	30,359	634	2
9,525	124	3,485	4,566	4,762	4,499	43,577	43,093	484	1
26,055	237	11,241	9,054	12,025	9,490	111,681	116,191	-4,510	-4
35,580	361	14,726	13,620	16,787	13,989	155,258	159,284	-4,026	-3
35,813	366	16,507	12,736	16,872	13,995	---	---	---	---

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
FAMILY SERVICE OFFICE
Support Collection Figures
Fiscal Year 1984

By Division

<u>Division</u>	<u>Litigants</u>	<u>Mass. DPW</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY'83</u>
Barnstable	1,580,526	374,920	1,955,446		1,868,531
Berkshire	112,190	56,550	168,740		23,267
Bristol	2,948,860	421,515	3,370,375		1,481,044
Dukes	No Family Service Office				
Essex	4,199,450	948,859	5,148,309		4,095,990
Franklin	193,565	58,352	251,917		208,929
Hampden	1,372,521	494,733	1,867,254		1,618,794
Hampshire	613,670	330,163	943,833		758,225
Middlesex	6,668,441	1,416,859	8,085,300		7,219,681
Nantucket	No Family Service Office				
Norfolk	5,261,293	1,227,593	6,488,886		5,281,996
Plymouth	4,075,140	1,017,085	5,092,225		4,107,011
Suffolk	2,464,131	957,994	3,422,125		2,502,299
Worcester	<u>3,842,634</u>	<u>1,417,268</u>	<u>5,259,920</u>		<u>4,509,338</u>
TOTALS:	\$33,332,241	\$8,721,891	\$42,054,312		\$33,675,105

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
IV-D Program Collection*
Fiscal Year 1984

<u>Division</u>	<u>IV-D AFDC</u>	<u>IV-D Non-AFDC</u>	<u>Non IV-D</u>	<u>Total</u>
Barnstable	374,920	1,369,841	210,685	1,955,446
Berkshire	56,550	106,594	5,596	168,740
Bristol	421,515	2,921,402	27,458	3,370,375
Essex	948,859	3,942,573	256,877	5,148,309
Franklin	58,352	189,830	3,735	251,917
Hampden	494,733	1,346,598	25,923	1,867,254
Hampshire	330,163	602,990	10,680	943,833
Middlesex	1,416,859	6,628,460	39,981	8,085,300
Norfolk	1,227,593	5,234,142	27,151	6,488,886
Plymouth	1,017,085	3,584,950	490,190	5,092,225
Suffolk	957,994	2,129,712	334,419	3,422,125
Worcester	1,417,268	3,815,484	27,150	5,259,902
TOTAL	8,721,891	31,872,576	1,459,845	42,054,312


*Rounded off to nearest dollar.

EXPLANATION:


1. IV-D Program was established under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act as the Child Support Enforcement Program.
2. IV-D AFDC Collections made for Department of Public Welfare for children receiving public assistance under the Aid to Families with Dependent Children Program.
3. IV-D non-AFDC Collections made for families not receiving public assistance.
4. Non IV-D for all other collections.

PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

Synopsis Of Support Collections



IV-D PROGRAM COLLECTIONS



PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Synopsis of Support Collections
Ten-Year Summary

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Litigants</u>	<u>DPW</u>	<u>Total</u>
1975	\$ 1,723,844	\$ 947,932	\$ 2,671,776
1976	3,028,513	1,538,394	4,566,907
1977	5,499,738	2,251,928	7,751,666
1978	7,950,419	3,393,239	11,343,658
1979	9,731,651	4,162,038	13,893,689
1980	14,417,850	5,728,049	20,145,899
1981	17,574,858	7,645,849	25,220,707
1982	21,621,266	8,388,861	30,010,087
1983	26,444,032	7,231,473	33,675,105
1984	<u>33,332,421</u>	<u>8,721,891</u>	<u>42,054,312</u>
Ten-Year Totals:	141,234,592	50,009,654	191,334,206

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Fiscal Year 1983 Statistics

Fees Collected

<u>Division</u>	<u>Probates</u>	<u>Divorces</u>	<u>Certificates and Copies</u>	<u>Total</u>
Barnstable	110,095.00	43,222.00	46,926.79	200,243.79
Berkshire	65,186.00	35,848.50	16,970.60	118,005.10
Bristol	143,122.50	118,233.50	45,638.11	306,994.11
Dukes	9,720.00	2,200.00	3,581.50	15,501.50
Essex	258,650.00	154,030.00	72,232.25	484,912.25
Franklin	26,972.60	19,321.50	5,897.74	52,191.84
Hampden	166,197.50	113,016.00	40,871.79	320,085.29
Hampshire	45,950.50	25,550.00	9,401.70	80,902.20
Middlesex	490,074.00	301,375.00	153,468.50	944,917.50
Nantucket	7,195.00	1,250.00	3,390.22	11,835.22
Norfolk	276,345.00	129,040.00	77,963.33	483,348.33
Plymouth	134,234.00	109,626.50	39,485.60	283,346.10
Suffolk	259,716.00	108,894.80	38,451.20	407,062.00
Worcester	<u>240,416.76</u>	<u>162,519.50</u>	<u>58,158.52</u>	<u>461,094.78</u>
FY'83 Total	\$ 2,233,874.86	\$ 1,324,127.30	\$612,437.85	\$4,170,440.01
FY'82 Total	\$ 2,256,307.90	\$ 1,447,497.20	\$613,787.80	\$4,317,592.90
Change \$	-22,433.04	-123,369.90	-1,349.95	-147,152.89
%	-1%	-9%	-2%	-3%

PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
Fees Collected During Fiscal Year 1984

<u>Division</u>	<u>Domestic Relations Filing Fees</u>	<u>Probate and Fiduciary Filing Fees</u>	<u>Equity and Other Filing Fees</u>	<u>Surcharges</u>	<u>Certificates Copies and Other Fees</u>	<u>Total</u>
Barnstable	36,600.00	110,274.70	2,075.00	10,840.00	44,133.34	203,923.04
Berkshire	31,242.55	61,472.00	660.00	7,055.00	15,000.21	115,429.76
Bristol	106,296.00	145,736.00	---	22,360.00	38,102.00	312,494.00
Dukes	2,600.00	9,322.00	125.00	920.00	4,330.00	17,297.00
Essex	134,635.00	247,315.00	4,800.00	29,525.00	71,441.44	487,716.44
Franklin	16,973.00	28,630.50	560.00	3,545.00	5,312.11	55,020.61
Hampden	95,622.50	156,740.50	---	19,921.00	41,949.15	314,233.15
Hampshire	27,330.00	47,565.00	1,564.24	5,980.00	8,083.00	90,522.24
Middlesex	250,000.00	497,111.00	6,075.00	59,770.00	166,213.13	979,169.13
Nantucket	2,675.00	9,305.00	50.00	800.00	3,326.87	16,236.87
Norfolk	282,816.00	100,855.00	4,300.00	28,355.00	79,040.84	495,366.84
Plymouth	94,850.00	127,834.00	160.00	18,865.00	42,467.49	284,176.49
Suffolk	94,137.50	264,905.91	---	25,404.00	90,287.02	474,734.43
Worcester	150,644.05	224,797.40	928.89	29,013.00	57,125.62	462,508.96
Total	\$1,326,421.60	\$2,031,864.01	\$21,298.13	\$262,433.00	\$666,812.22	\$4,308,828.96

(---) = Included in Probate Fees.

Superior

Court

Department

Superior Court Department

The Superior Court Department reports caseload data in two broad categories: criminal and civil. During Fiscal Year 1984, the department made the transition from a manual data collection system to an automated data collection system. In the process of this transition, several changes were made in the manner and categories of data collected. The following data is drawn from several, not always compatible sources, and, therefore, caution should be used in interpreting the data.

Criminal Caseload

The department began the fiscal year with 5,157 defendants awaiting trial. During the next 12 months, cases were commenced against an additional 6,503 defendants. This brought the total defendant-based caseload available for action by the court in Fiscal Year 1984 to 11,660 defendants.

The 6,503 additional defendant-based cases are an increase of 1.3 percent or 84 defendants over the previous year. This volume of new cases is, however, down 14 percent from Fiscal Year 1982 and 19 percent from Fiscal Year 1981.

The department disposed of 7,076 defendants during the fiscal year, an increase of 204 defendant dispositions or 3 percent over last year. This indicates that the department:

- disposed of 61 percent of the total available caseload.
- disposed of 109 defendants for every 100 defendant-based cases commenced.

At the end of Fiscal Year 1984, there were 4,584 defendants awaiting trial. This is 573 defendants or 11 percent fewer defendants than were pending at the start of the year.

Appellate Division

The Appellate Division of the Superior Court Department is authorized to review sentences from the criminal sessions of the Superior Court Department.

The division began the year with 471 sentences pending review. During the year 792 appeals were entered for review, and 834 were reviewed by the division. At the close of the year, there were 429 appeals pending review.

Civil Caseload

There were 74,454 civil cases pending in the 14 divisions of the Superior Court Department at the start of Fiscal Year 1984. During the year, 31,123 additional cases were commenced while 26,508 cases were disposed of.

The volume of cases commenced remained close to last year's level, decreasing by only 95 cases or .3 percent in Fiscal Year 1984. Dispositions, however, decreased by 2,276 or 8 percent from Fiscal Year 1983. Throughput for the department for the year was 85 percent, and the department disposed of 25 percent of the total caseload available for disposition during the year.

Four divisions, Barnstable, Dukes, Hampshire, and Suffolk, disposed of more cases than they received, thereby decreasing the number of cases awaiting trial at the end of the fiscal year in those divisions.


At the close of Fiscal Year 1984, there were 77,312 cases awaiting trial, an increase of 7.9 percent over 12 months.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Criminal Caseload Analysis
Fiscal Year 1984
Based on a Count of Defendants


<u>Division</u>	<u>Start Pending</u>	<u>Cases Commenced</u>	<u>Total Caseload</u>	<u>Cases Disposed Of</u>	<u>End Pending</u>	<u>Change In Pending No. %</u>	<u>Throughput</u>
Barnstable	110	189	299	187	112	2 2	99
Berkshire	42	102	144	126	18	-24 -57	124
Bristol	496	615	1,111	629	482	-14 -3	102
Dukes	4	6	10	8	2	-2 -50	133
Essex	437	454	891	490	401	-36 -8	108
Franklin	44	112	156	70	86	42 95	63
Hampden	512	1,231	1,743	1,010	733	221 43	82
Hampshire	61	119	180	144	36	-25 -41	121
Middlesex	752	762	1,514	886	628	-124 -16	116
Nantucket	3	5	8	4	4	1 33	80
Norfolk	209	275	484	348	136	-13 -35	127
Plymouth	309	306	615	417	198	-111 -36	136
Suffolk	2,073	1,662	3,735	2,187	1,548	-525 -25	132
Worcester	105	665	770	570	200	95 90	86
Department	5,157	6,503	11,660	7,076	4,584	-573 -11	109%

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

DEFENDANTS AWAITING TRIAL



CASES COMMENCED AND DISPOSED



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Criminal Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>BARNSTABLE</u>					
Start Pending	146	110	-36		-25
Commenced	176	189	+13		+7
Disposed Of	213	187	-26		-12
End Pending	9	112	+2		+2
<u>BERKSHIRE</u>					
Start Pending	39	42	+3		+8
Commenced	94	102	+8		+9
Disposed Of	91	126	+35		+38
End Pending	42	18	-24		-57
<u>BRISTOL</u>					
Start Pending	406	496	+90		+22
Commenced	890	615	-275		-31
Disposed Of	800	629	-171		-21
End Pending	496	482	-14		-3
<u>DUKES</u>					
Start Pending	8	4	-4		-50
Commenced	12	6	-6		-50
Disposed Of	16	8	-8		-50
End Pending	4	2	-2		-50

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Criminal Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>ESSEX</u>					
Start Pending	465	437	-28		-6
Commenced	488	454	-34		-7
Disposed Of	516	490	-26		-5
End Pending	437	401	-36		-8
 <u>FRANKLIN</u>					
Start Pending	25	44	+19		+76
Commenced	90	112	+22		+24
Disposed Of	71	70	-1		-1
End Pending	44	86	+42		+95
 <u>HAMPDEN*</u>					
Start Pending	490	512	+22		+4
Commenced	---	1,231	---		---
Disposed Of	---	1,010	---		---
End Pending	512	733	+221		+43
 <u>HAMPSHIRE</u>					
Start Pending	63	61	-2		-3
Commenced	100	119	+19		+19
Disposed Of	102	144	+42		+41
End Pending	61	36	-25		-41

*Hampden data unavailable for Fiscal Year 1983.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Criminal Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>MIDDLESEX</u>					
Start Pending	1,079	752	-327		-30
Commenced	1,298	762	-536		-41
Disposed Of	1,625	886	-739		-45
End Pending	752	628	-124		-16
<u>NANTUCKET</u>					
Start Pending	8	3	-5		-63
Commenced	1	5	+4		+400
Disposed Of	6	4	-2		-33
End Pending	3	4	+1		+33
<u>NORFOLK</u>					
Start Pending	256	209	-47		-18
Commenced	358	275	-83		-23
Disposed Of	405	348	-57		-14
End Pending	209	136	-73		-35
<u>PLYMOUTH</u>					
Start Pending	216	309	+93		+43
Commenced	397	306	-91		-23
Disposed Of	304	417	+113		+37
End Pending	309	198	-111		-36

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Criminal Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>SUFFOLK</u>					
Start Pending	2,265	2,073	-192		-8
Commenced	1,863	1,662	-201		-11
Disposed Of	2,055	2,187	+132		+11
End Pending	2,073	1,548	-525		-25
 <u>WORCESTER</u>					
Start Pending	121	105	-16		-13
Commenced	652	665	+13		+2
Disposed Of	668	570	-98		-15
End Pending	105	200	+95		+90
 <u>Department Total</u>					
Start Pending	5,587	5,157	-430		-8
Commenced*	6,419	6,503	+84		+1
Disposed Of*	6,872	7,076	+204		+3
End Pending	5,156	4,584	-572		-11

*Hampden Division commenced and disposed of data for Fiscal Year 1983 not included in the department total. Data was unavailable, therefore, commenced/disposed of totals for Fiscal Year 1983 are under-reported.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Appellate Division Report
Fiscal Year 1984

Appeals Pending for Review at Start of Year	471
Appeals Entered for Review During Year	792
Appeals Reviewed During Year	1,263
Appeals Withdrawn	275
Appeals Dismissed	527
Sentences Reduced	32
Sentences Increased	0
Appeals Pending for Review at End of Year	429

The 429 cases shown as pending on June 30, 1984, include 146 cases which have, at the request of the Appellants, been removed from the hearing list until the Appellant moves to restore thereto.

The Appellate Division was in session 32 days.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Civil Caseflow Analysis
Fiscal Year 1984 *


<u>Division</u>	<u>Start Pending</u>	<u>Cases Commenced</u>	<u>Total Caseload</u>	<u>Cases Disposed Of</u>	<u>End Pending</u>	<u>Change In Pending No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Throughput %</u>
Barnstable	2,533	936	3,469	1,034	2,435	-98	-4	110
Berkshire	943	523	1,466	396	1,070	127	13	76
Bristol	2,192	1,820	4,012	1,647	2,365	173	8	90
Dukes	171	118	289	119	170	-1	-.5	101
Essex**	8,586	2,913	11,499	2,836	8,663	77	1	97
Franklin	305	174	479	163	316	11	4	94
Hampden	3,681	1,879	5,560	1,287	4,258	577	16	68
Hampshire	914	401	1,315	614	701	-213	-23	153
Middlesex**	17,970	6,739	24,709	5,978	18,584	614	3	89
Nantucket	62	47	109	35	74	12	19	74
Norfolk	6,288	2,718	9,006	2,213	6,793	505	8	81
Plymouth**	3,306	2,487	5,793	1,522	4,271	965	29	61
Suffolk	23,778	7,176	30,954	8,126	22,828	-950	-4	113
Worcester	3,725	3,192	6,917	2,133	4,784	1,059	28	67
Dept.**	74,454	31,123	105,577	26,508	77,312	2,858	4	85%

*During FY'84, the Department completed the transition from a manual to an automated data collection system. In the process, changes were made in the manner and type of data collected. The above data is drawn from two separate data bases, and caution should be used in interpreting the data.


**Start Pending figures do not agree with End Pending figures in FY'83 Annual Report. Pre-computerization audits resulted in significant adjustments to the pending caseloads of these divisions, and, therefore, the Department total.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

CIVIL CASES AWAITING TRIAL



CASES COMMENCED AND DISPOSED



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Civil Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>BARNSTABLE</u>					
Start Pending	2,441	2,533	+92		+4
Commenced	1,016	936	-80		-8
Disposed Of	924	1,034	+110		+12
End Pending	2,533	2,435	-98		-4
<u>BERKSHIRE</u>					
Start Pending	932	943	+11		+1
Commenced	452	523	+71		+16
Disposed Of	441	396	-45		-10
End Pending	943	1,070	+127		+13
<u>BRISTOL</u>					
Start Pending	2,284	2,192	-92		-4
Commenced	1,840	1,820	-20		-1
Disposed Of	1,932	1,647	-285		-15
End Pending	2,192	2,365	+173		+8
<u>DUKES</u>					
Start Pending	146	171	+25		+17
Commenced	76	118	+42		+55
Disposed Of	51	119	+68		+133
End Pending	171	170	-1		-1

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Civil Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>ESSEX*</u>					
Start Pending	9,217	8,586	-631		-7
Commenced	3,117	2,913	-204		-7
Disposed Of	1,947	2,836	+889		+46
End Pending	10,387	8,663	--		--
<u>FRANKLIN</u>					
Start Pending	342	305	-37		-11
Commenced	179	174	-5		-3
Disposed Of	216	163	-53		-25
End Pending	305	316	+11		+4
<u>HAMPDEN</u>					
Start Pending	3,461	3,681	+220		+6
Commenced	1,828	1,879	+51		+3
Disposed Of	1,608	1,287	-321		-20
End Pending	3,681	4,258	+577		+16
<u>HAMPSHIRE</u>					
Start Pending	873	914	+41		+5
Commenced	385	401	+16		+4
Disposd Of	344	614	+270		+78
End Pending	914	701	-213		-23

*Fiscal Year 1983 end pending and Fiscal Year 1984 start pending figures do not agree due to pre-computerization audit of Fiscal Year 1984 data.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Civil Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>MIDDLESEX*</u>					
Start Pending	14,998	17,970	+2,972		+20
Commenced	7,087	6,739	-348		-5
Disposed Of	7,075	5,978	-1,097		-16
End Pending	15,010	18,584	--		--
<u>NANTUCKET</u>					
Start Pending	65	62	-3		-5
Commenced	37	47	+10		+27
Disposed Of	40	35	-5		-13
End Pending	62	74	+12		+19
<u>NORFOLK</u>					
Start Pending	5,826	6,288	+462		+8
Commenced	3,250	2,718	-532		-16
Disposed Of	2,788	2,213	-575		-21
End Pending	6,288	6,793	+505		+8
<u>PLYMOUTH*</u>					
Start Pending	3,355	3,306	-49		-1
Commenced	1,961	2,487	+526		+27
Disposed Of	2,451	1,522	-929		-38
End Pending	2,865	4,271	--		--

*Fiscal Year 1983 end pending and Fiscal Year 1984 start pending figures do not agree due to pre-computerization audit of Fiscal Year 1984 data.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
Civil Caseflow Comparison
Fiscal Year 1983 - Fiscal Year 1984

	<u>FY'83</u>	<u>FY'84</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>SUFFOLK</u>					
Start Pending	22,986	23,778	+792		+3
Commenced	7,082	7,176	+94		+1
Disposed Of	6,290	8,126	+1,836		+29
End Pending	23,778	22,828	-950		-4
<u>WORCESTER</u>					
Start Pending	3,494	3,725	+231		+7
Commenced	2,908	3,192	+284		+10
Disposed Of	2,677	2,133	-544		-20
End Pending	3,725	4,784	+1,059		+28
<u>Department Total*</u>					
Start Pending	70,420	74,454	+4,034		+6
Commenced	31,218	31,123	-95		-.3
Disposed Of	28,784	26,508	-2,276		-8
End Pending	72,854	77,312	--		--

*Fiscal Year 1983 end pending and Fiscal Year 1984 start pending figures do not agree due to pre-computerization audit of Fiscal Year 1984 data.

**Office
of the
Commissioner
of Probation**

Office of the Commissioner of Probation

Introduction. Calendar year 1984 marked a period of transition for the Office of the Commissioner of Probation (OCP). Joseph P. Foley, Commissioner from 1978 to 1984, retired after serving 37 distinguished years in Massachusetts Probation. His successor Donald Cochran, was appointed Commissioner effective July 1, 1984, and immediately assured the Probation Service that the excellence that the Foley administration was noted for will prevail during his term as Commissioner.

A major goal of the Office of the Commissioner of Probation during 1984 was the improvement of its Management Information System. In its new format, the Monthly Report of Probation Activities (MRPA) provides more reliable data to the Probation Service, the Judiciary, and a host of other agencies who utilized the information on a regular basis.

All yearly statistical reports generated by OCP's Management Information System are included in the appendix section of this document. Most noteworthy during 1984 was the large sum of monies collected by the probation service. Probation's continued success with money collection has grossed \$100,000,000 during 1984.

The Probation Central File (PCF) continues to respond to requests from the courts, law enforcement agencies, and other organizations certified to receive the criminal offender record information that is stored in the Office of the Commissioner of Probation. PCF also services a large number of persons requesting record information on walk-in basis. At the close of 1984, Probation Central File contained criminal offender record information on nearly 7 million persons.

During March 1984, the Trial Court Burroughs B1800 computer was dedicated solely for the automation of the Probation Central File. The use of the computer exclusively by the Office of the Commissioner of Probation has enabled all data entry and operations to run efficiently and on schedule. As of December 1984, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation's computer had on file the criminal records of 87,000 offenders, all presently active in the court system. Also on file are 346,000 offenders who have been criminally inactive for the past 15 years.

Bringing to 11 the number of accredited probation offices in the Trial Court, the Massachusetts Probation Accreditation Commission (MPAC) awarded two certificates of Accreditation during 1984. Honored as newly accredited courts are the Norfolk Division of the Superior Court Department and the Westborough Division of the District Court Department.

During 1984, the Research and Statistical Bureau produced a number of research reports focusing on a wide range of issues. Most notable are the findings

of a study on drunk drivers in Massachusetts. Researchers profiled the typical drunk driver as a substance abuser who has committed previous criminal offenses and who commonly drinks and drives. The study concluded that Massachusetts' courts are concerned with this phenomenon and have gotten tough with the drunk driver. Also of importance are the findings of a report issued on the Massachusetts Probation Risk/Need Classification System. Implemented in April 1982, Risk/Need Classification is a statewide system by which all probationers are evaluated in the same manner. Recent research has thoroughly analyzed the probation classification system. The report has targeted those offender characteristics that have posed the greatest risk to public safety and has identified the areas where probation practices have been able to reduce the recidivism rate.

Training sponsored by this office reached over 1500 field and central office probation staff during 1984. In addition to four Orientation Programs for new probation officers, the training division was responsible for holding seven Management Training Seminars, including a three-part "Supervisory Skills" Workshop for central office supervisors; standards training for Probate probation offices; and training sessions in the following areas:

- Surrender Workshops
- Mental Health and Chapter 123
- Alcohol and the Juvenile Offender
- Substance Abuse
- Effective Counseling
- Interviewing Skills
- Mediation and Advanced Mediation
- Chapter 119: Juvenile Law
- Juvenile Court and the DYS/DSS/DMH Connection
- P.O. Liability
- Training for Trainers
- Basic Writing Skills
- "Doing Justice" Workshop at Brandeis University

As hosts of the Ninth Annual National Conference of the American Probation and Parole Association and the Forty-fifth Annual New England Conference on Crime and Delinquency, many OCP employees adjusted their busy schedules and volunteered both personal time and energy to make the conference a major success in 1984.

Probation Central File. Statistics compiled for Probation Central File (PCF) activity exhibit the following for the calendar year 1984:

- Input into the system (including arraignments, subsequent actions, etc.) - 673,630
- Inquiries to the system (from all resources) - 515,336

Looking more closely at the types of inquiries to the system, the volume of telephone requests from local probation offices increased 17% from 1983 to 1984, personal inquiries rose 33%, and teletype inquiries increased 16%.

Computerization. The Trial Court Burroughs B1800 Computer has been dedicated exclusively for OCP automation since March 1984. OCP is continuing to fully automate the manual Criminal Offender Record Information System (CORI).

As of the end of December 1984, the automated CORI system contained information on 87,000 persons who were active in the court system during the latter half of 1984. In addition, the computer stores information on 346,000 offenders who have not had a court appearance within the last 15 years.

Research. The Office of the Commissioner of Probation is mandated under Chapter 276, Section 98 of the Massachusetts General Laws to "compile, evaluate, and make available for official and public education . . . statistical information on delinquency, crime and appropriate family matters."

During 1984, the Research and Statistical Bureau produced a number of research reports, focusing on a range of issues, from drug trafficking to drunk driving and the Risk/Need Classification System. The following are the reports that were published in 1984:

- Sentencing Patterns for Drug Dealers
- Report of Preliminary Findings on Risk/Need Supervision
- Needs of Offenders Under Risk/Need Classification in Massachusetts
- Executive Summary of Research Findings from the Massachusetts Risk/Need Classification System Report #5
- Distribution of Risk/Need Supervision Cases by Offenses in the Massachusetts Trial Court
- An Evaluation of Drunk Driving in Massachusetts Under Chapter 373, Acts of 1982
- DUIL: Dispositions and Placements in Drivers Alcohol Education Programs 1977 to 1983.

Accreditation. The Massachusetts Probation Accreditation Commission (MPAC) awarded two certificates of Accreditation during 1984. The probation office of the Norfolk Division of the Superior Court Department became the third Superior Court office accredited, and the Westborough Division of the District Court Department became the eleventh probation office overall to receive an award since the MPAC began bestowing certificates in 1981.

Leo Osgood, Jr., Assistant Dean of Student Assistance Services, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; George B. Keezel, Program Coordinator - Project Link, Massachusetts Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children; James Jennings, Dean of College of Public and Community Services, University of Massachusetts at Boston; and George C. Olson, Senior Vice President and Treasurer, Home Savings Bank, Boston, were appointed to the MPAC by Commissioner Cochran, increasing the Commission to ten members.

As a result of discussions held with the MPAC, Commissioner Cochran made several discussions regarding the philosophy, the standards, the process, the

structure, and the priority of the project within his new administration. The new accreditation project will utilize the best of the previous efforts of the past 15 years (1970 to 1984) and integrate those elements with the goals of the new administration.

Risk/Need Classification System. In the fall of 1983, the Office of the Commissioner of Probation undertook a one-year research grant, "Controlling Offenders in the Community: The Effectiveness of Community Sanctions in Massachusetts." The major objective of this research was to revalidate the Massachusetts Risk/Need Classification System, last tested in September 1981. Other objectives were to profile offender characteristics, surrender practices, and supervision strategies, and analyze their relationship to recidivism. Based on these findings, recommendations for future directions in probation can be established.

Data was obtained on all September 1982, Risk/Need supervision cases in the Superior, District/BMC, and Juvenile Courts from Risk/Need assessment forms, criminal history records, and questionnaires in regard to surrender practices.

Two reports were issued in 1984 from the work undertaken in this grant; the first entitled "Report of Preliminary Findings on Risk/Need Supervision," and the second, "Executive Summary of Research Findings from the Massachusetts Risk/Need Classification System Report #5." The data from both reports characterize a substantially disadvantaged population being serviced by the Massachusetts Probation Service. The average offender who is placed under Risk/Need supervision is male, 20 years of age with a prior criminal record, has loose ties in the community, is educationally disadvantaged, chronically unemployed, comes from a disorganized family structure, and has a current or prior alcohol or drug problem.

Analysis of the data clearly shows that offender characteristics contained on the Risk scale are related to the likelihood of individuals recidivating while under Risk/Need supervision. The overall extent of deficiencies in any one of a number of problem areas an offender has, will affect his/her subsequent criminal behavior. The findings have proven that higher risk offenders have a higher rate of recidivism than those with a lower risk on the Risk scale.

This research on the Risk/Need Classification System in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts further validates the ability of probation officers to reliably identify the high risk offender and develop an appropriate supervision strategy. The productiveness of this instrument affords effective probation supervision of the offender in the community, reducing the chances of new crimes being committed, and thus increasing public safety.

Training. The Training Department of the Office of the Commissioner of Probation (OCP) sponsored and implemented an active and varied program for the 1984 training year (January to December).

During the last year, a full-time Director of Training for OCP was designated. In addition, in July of 1984, a training coordinator was named to assist the Director. The year was divided into a two-semester training period. The first semester ran from early in the calendar year of 1984 through June, with the second semester beginning in September and concluding in December. OCP training can be categorized as orientation, management training, topical subjects, and standards training.

During 1984, 82 newly hired probation officers attended a five-day orientation program that initiated them into the Probation Service.

A total of 541 members of the Probation Service attended management training seminars. Those management issues covered during 1984 included:

- Liability - CPO's/ACPO's
- Management Skills
- Dealing with the Problem Employee
- Role of the ACPO as a Supervisor of Staff
- Basic Management Principles

Nine hundred and eighty-nine probation line personnel were trained on a variety of topical issues. The subjects discussed in 1984 were:

- Mental Health and Chapter 123
- Surrender Workshops
- Effective Counseling
- Interviewing Skills
- Alcohol and the Juvenile Offender
- Substance Abuse
- Chapter 119: Juvenile Law
- Juvenile Court and the DYS/DSS/DMH Connection
- P.O. Liability
- Mediation
- Advanced Mediation
- Training for Trainers
- "Doing Justice" Workshop at Brandeis University

During 1984, standards of operation for the Probation Court were developed, and 83 Probate and Family Court personnel received this "Standards" training.

In addition to the beforementioned field training, OCP conducted in-house sessions for central office personnel. Those programs offered during 1984 were "Basic Writing Skills," "Supervisory Skills," and a newly developed orientation program for recently hired staff employees in the Management Information Division.

Management Information System. A primary goal of the Office of the Commissioner of Probation during 1984 was to support, monitor, and evaluate a management information system that would provide relevant information to the OCP, the Probation Service, the Judiciary, and other agencies.

An important step toward the accomplishment of this goal was the revision of the MIS collection device, the Monthly Report of Probation Activity. Developed through the efforts of two advisory committees, one made up of Chief Probation

Officers, and the other consisting of OCP administrative personnel, the new form contains the key variables concerning probation activities across the Commonwealth.

The efficiency and effectiveness of the revised Management Information System, together with the support of the Probation Services Administration Division in monitoring compliance with MRPA Standards, have served to greatly enhance the quality of data received from the probation offices and have thus improved the overall reliability of OCP Management Information.

Appendix. Data on the following statistical charts were compiled from the Monthly Reports of Probation Activities as submitted to the Research and Statistical Bureau, Office of the Commissioner of Probation, from the local probation offices.

The annual totals for the various key indicators are listed for calendar years 1982, 1983, and 1984 to provide a basis for analyzing shifts in probation activity.



Commissioner Donald Cochran



L-R, Assistant Supervisor of Court Probation Services Milton Britton and Supervisor of Court Probation Services Anthony Sicuso train new probation officers.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1282	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-84
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	175	132	75	4.0 %	58.7- %	57.1- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	63	112	127	77.7 %	13.3 %	101.5 %
Bristol SUPERIOR	949	640	535	32.5- %	16.4- %	43.6- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	8	4	6	50.0- %	50.0 %	25.0- %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	423	399	332	5.6- %	16.7- %	21.5- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	64	51	90	4.6- %	47.5 %	40.6 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	950	995	942	4.7 %	5.3- %	0.8- %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	127	74	83	41.7- %	12.1 %	34.6- %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	1501	806	833	46.3- %	3.3 %	44.5- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	2	10	0.0 **	400.0 %	0.0 **
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	357	260	196	27.1- %	24.5- %	45.0- %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	318	278	217	12.5- %	21.7- %	31.7- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	1353	1198	1350	12.1- %	10.1 %	3.3- %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	643	552	461	14.1- %	16.4- %	28.3- %
TOTAL	6931	5553	5257	19.8- %	6.0- %	24.7- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPERIOR COURT: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION [AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1984]

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1982	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1982	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1982	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-83	% CHG TOTAL R/N 83-84	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-84
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	129	117	111	91	94	105	158	181	187	14.5 %	3.3 %	18.3 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	38	59	72	33	43	52	74	90	110	21.6 %	22.2 %	48.6 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	319	300	299	187	311	278	480	469	489	2.2-%	4.2 %	1.8 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	6	8	8	5	7	1	4	5	12	25.0 %	140.0 %	200.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	238	252	192	301	260	221	282	379	390	34.3 %	2.9 %	38.2 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	37	47	59	32	27	45	51	75	86	47.0 %	14.6 %	58.6 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	314	338	401	296	320	361	534	552	592	3.3 %	7.2 %	10.8 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	51	71	74	45	44	63	72	90	98	25.0 %	8.8 %	36.1 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	225	409	313	35	106	328	691	899	722	30.1 %	19.6-%	4.4 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	2	1	6	2	2	2	3	2	6	33.3-%	200.0 %	100.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	209	216	177	187	169	168	279	325	334	16.4 %	2.7 %	19.7 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	207	181	200	180	177	156	319	323	367	1.2 %	13.6 %	15.0 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	455	612	641	445	483	499	819	948	1083	15.7 %	14.2 %	32.2 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	442	437	374	352	473	425	568	532	481	6.3-%	9.5-%	15.3-%
TOTALS	2672	3048	2927	2191	2515	2704	4334	4870	4957	12.3 %	1.8 %	14.4 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN SUPERIOR COURT

	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1982	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1983	NEW ADMIN. 01-12 1984	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1982	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1983	TERM ADMIN. 01-12 1984	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1982	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1983	TOTAL ADMIN AS OF DEC 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	0	0	21	0	0	11	0	0	8	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	0	0	29	0	0	19	0	0	39	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	0	0	63	0	0	31	0	0	140	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	3	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	0	0	8	0	0	3	0	0	8	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	0	0	147	0	0	40	0	0	161	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	0	0	19	0	0	4	0	0	16	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	26	0	0	52	0	0	47	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	0	0	62	0	0	40	0	0	41	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **
TOTAL	0	0	381	0	0	203	0	0	485	0.0 **	0.0 **	0.0 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURPENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME -----	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,82		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,82		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,82		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84	
	# %		# %		# %		# %		# %		# %		# %		# %		# %	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	35	50.0	35	50.0	70	100.0	23	42.5	31	57.4	54	100.0	9	15.7	48	84.2	57	100.0
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	4	44.4	5	55.5	9	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	7	53.8	6	46.1	13	100.0
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	75	47.1	84	52.8	159	100.0	126	56.7	96	43.2	222	100.0	54	38.0	88	61.9	142	100.0
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ESSEX SUPERIOR	103	52.2	94	47.7	197	100.0	103	54.2	87	45.7	190	100.0	61	52.1	56	47.8	117	100.0
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	20	83.3	4	16.6	24	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0	16	66.6	8	33.3	24	100.0
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	138	68.3	64	31.6	202	100.0	134	65.6	70	34.3	204	100.0	205	53.9	175	46.0	380	100.0
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	12	92.3	1	7.6	13	100.0	2	66.6	1	33.3	3	100.0	9	39.1	14	60.8	23	100.0
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	564	51.8	523	48.1	1087	100.0	610	61.6	380	38.3	990	100.0	570	53.6	492	46.3	1062	100.0
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	69	75.0	23	25.0	92	100.0	69	57.5	51	42.5	120	100.0	57	69.5	25	30.4	82	100.0
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	87	96.6	3	3.3	90	100.0	102	89.4	12	10.5	114	100.0	29	80.5	7	19.4	36	100.0
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	616	74.8	207	25.1	823	100.0	646	75.7	207	24.2	853	100.0	166	52.2	152	47.7	318	100.0
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	111	69.8	48	30.1	159	100.0	86	62.3	52	37.6	138	100.0	60	47.2	67	52.7	127	100.0
TOTALS	1834	62.7	1091	37.2	2925	100.0	1918	65.7	997	34.2	2915	100.0	1243	52.2	1138	47.7	2381	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME -----	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	12497	40692	28180	225.61 %	30.74- %	125.49 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	2828	5709	4562	101.87 %	20.09- %	61.31 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	1855	1367	1050	26.30- %	23.18- %	43.39- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	500	0	120	0.00 **	0.00 **	76.00- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	760	4860	1120	539.47 %	76.95- %	47.35 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	755	41129	840	5347.54 %	97.95- %	11.25 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	16283	11023	9266	32.30- %	15.93- %	43.09- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	550	1759	1580	219.81 %	10.17- %	187.27 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	6110	5098	4355	16.56- %	14.57- %	28.72- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	10615	21385	8215	101.46 %	61.58- %	22.60- %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	6789	6643	4808	2.15- %	27.62- %	29.17- %
TOTALS	59542	139665	64096	134.56 %	54.10- %	7.64 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME -----	FINES SURFINES JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	FINES SURFINES JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	FINES SURFINES JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	14208	22610	4128	59.13 %	81.74% %	70.94% %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	550	350	0.00 **	36.36% %	0.00 **
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	16151	46205	28974	186.08 %	37.29% %	79.39 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	50	405	0.00 **	710.00 %	0.00 **
ESSEX SUPERIOR	35284	97342	102617	175.88 %	5.41 %	190.83 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	2215	5260	1380	137.47 %	73.76% %	37.69% %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	23653	37481	91743	58.46 %	144.77 %	287.87 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	1749	7057	10411	303.48 %	47.52 %	495.25 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	117385	103000	136848	12.25% %	32.86 %	16.58 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	1875	400	0	78.66% %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	21319	22552	21949	5.78 %	2.67% %	2.95 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	48011	22452	17990	53.23% %	19.87% %	62.52% %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	87251	95532	178896	9.49 %	87.26 %	105.03 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	131247	76317	130940	41.85% %	71.57 %	0.23% %
TOTALS	500348	536808	726631	7.28 %	35.36 %	45.22 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME -----	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	23356	33423	175466	43.10 %	424.98 %	651.25 %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	12823	17261	73986	34.50 %	328.63 %	476.97 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	41945	42185	64306	0.57 %	52.43 %	53.31 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	100	100	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
ESSEX SUPERIOR	45686	102699	82145	124.79 %	20.01 %	79.80 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	3499	6995	6601	99.91 %	5.63 %	88.65 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	93548	84786	147465	9.36 %	73.92 %	57.63 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	21801	14427	25188	33.32 %	74.58 %	15.53 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	96787	104780	98933	20.73 %	5.58 %	13.99 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	658	207	0	68.54 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	61198	73218	38853	19.54 %	46.93 %	36.51 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	55002	63481	78862	15.41 %	24.22 %	43.38 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	435557	342471	949107	21.37 %	177.13 %	117.90 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	48739	113781	108265	133.44 %	4.84 %	122.13 %
TOTALS	930599	999814	1849277	7.43 %	84.96 %	98.71 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME -----	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	2779	1275	910	54.12- %	28.62- %	67.25- %
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	7188	3690	4500	48.66- %	21.95 %	37.39- %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	9973	10345	9122	3.73 %	11.82- %	8.53- %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	221	100	165	54.75- %	65.00 %	25.33- %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	5804	5330	670	8.16- %	87.42- %	88.45- %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	45	135	1150	200.00 %	751.85 %	2455.55 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	5562	5370	5080	3.45- %	5.40- %	8.66- %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	2749	1520	750	44.70- %	50.65- %	72.71- %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	4238	4402	2315	3.86 %	47.41- %	45.37- %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	40063	43359	43721	8.22 %	0.83 %	9.13 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	5758	5832	5374	1.28 %	7.85- %	6.66- %
 ** TOTALS **	 84380	 81358	 73757	 3.58- %	 9.34- %	 12.58- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 240 COURT FEE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT *

COURT NAME -----	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	100	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	85	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 %	0.00 **
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	0	200	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
 ** TOTALS **	 185	 200	 0	 8.10 %	 100.00- %	 100.00- %

* 1982 TOTAL REFLECTS DUIL PROGRAM FEES AND 1983 TOTAL REFLECTS A COMBINATION OF DUIL PROGRAM FEES AND COURT FEES. 1984 TOTAL INCLUDES COURT FEES ONLY.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1282	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1283	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-84
BARNSTABLE SUPERIOR	0	0	290	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
BERKSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
BRISTOL SUPERIOR	0	0	700	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
DUKES SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX SUPERIOR	0	0	270	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
FRANKLIN SUPERIOR	0	0	900	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
HAMPDEN SUPERIOR	0	0	570	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR	0	0	65	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
MIDDLESEX SUPERIOR	0	0	740	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NANTUCKET SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
PLYMOUTH SUPERIOR	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR	0	0	5164	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WORCESTER SUPERIOR	0	0	1935	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
TOTAL	0	0	10634	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
VICTIM/WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
SUPERIOR COURT
1984

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Barnstable			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berkshire			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bristol			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	25	25	75
Dukes			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Essex			0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	25	65	140
Franklin			0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	25	50
Hampden			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hampshire			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	25
Middlesex			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	15	65
Nantucket			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norfolk			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plymouth			0	25	0	0	25	0	5	10	0	0	65
Suffolk			0	25	25	0	0	0	0	25	50	75	200
Worcester			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	15	50	90
TOTAL			0	50	25	0	25	50	30	85	190	255	710

Source: Management Information System, Research & Statistical Bureau,
Office of the Commissioner of Probation

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1282	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-84
BARNSTABLE	503	490	368	2.5- %	24.8- %	26.8- %
BOSTON	2972	4596	2712	54.6 %	40.9- %	8.7- %
CAMBRIDGE	1053	1073	1481	1.8 %	38.0 %	40.6 %
DEDHAM	1977	1255	1511	36.5- %	20.3 %	23.5- %
EDGARTOWN	6	13	9	116.6 %	30.7- %	50.0 %
FALL RIVER	1069	938	887	7.5- %	10.2- %	17.0- %
FITCHBURG	664	657	723	1.0- %	10.0 %	8.8 %
FRAMINGHAM	1018	1275	537	25.2 %	57.3- %	47.2- %
GREENFIELD	99	174	212	75.7 %	21.8 %	114.1 %
HAVERHILL	668	639	1579	3.1 %	129.1 %	136.3 %
HINGHAM	580	373	357	35.6- %	4.2- %	38.4- %
LOWELL	788	666	750	15.4- %	12.6 %	4.8- %
NANTUCKET	24	12	4	50.0- %	66.5- %	83.3- %
NORTHAMPTON	451	336	326	14.4- %	15.5- %	27.7- %
PITTSFIELD	503	425	242	15.5- %	43.1- %	51.9- %
SALEM	1526	1667	708	9.2 %	57.5- %	53.6- %
SPRINGFIELD	1065	960	990	9.8- %	3.1 %	7.0- %
WAREHAM	681	749	678	9.9 %	9.4- %	0.4- %
WORCESTER	2090	2322	2994	11.1 %	28.0 %	43.2 %
TOTAL	17737	18770	17,068	5.8 %	9.1- %	3.8- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1282	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-84
ADAMS	688	351	515	48.9- %	46.7 %	25.1- %
AMESBURY	2186	2823	2988	29.1 %	5.8 %	36.6 %
ATTLEBORO	3162	3326	3090	5.1 %	7.0- %	2.2- %
AYER	2256	2627	2871	16.4 %	9.2 %	27.2 %
EARNSTABLE	5986	5844	5996	2.3- %	2.5 %	0.1 %
EOSTON	9663	10075	10849	4.2 %	7.6 %	12.2 %
BRIGHTON	1406	1289	1528	8.3- %	18.5 %	8.6 %
BROCKTON	13387	9422	7510	29.6- %	20.2- %	43.9- %
BROOKLINE	1356	1565	2013	15.4 %	28.6 %	48.4 %
CAMBRIDGE	4280	4866	4789	13.6 %	1.5- %	11.8 %
CHARLESTOWN	869	888	1706	2.1 %	92.1 %	96.3 %
CHELSEA	4597	4365	4832	5.0- %	10.6 %	5.1 %
CHICOPEE	1734	1741	2091	0.4 %	20.1 %	20.5 %
CLINTON	1841	2008	1789	9.0 %	10.9- %	2.8- %
CONCORD	2626	2172	2267	17.2- %	4.3 %	13.6- %
DECHAM	3377	3719	3567	10.1 %	4.0- %	5.6 %
DORCHESTER	5325	4335	4629	18.5- %	6.7 %	13.0- %
DUDLEY	1834	1996	2346	8.8 %	17.5 %	27.9 %
EAST BOSTON	1707	1698	2185	0.5- %	28.6 %	28.0 %
EDGARTOWN	627	620	801	1.1- %	29.1 %	27.7 %
FALL RIVER	4344	4396	5095	1.1 %	15.9 %	17.2 %
FITCHBURG	1118	1086	1230	2.8- %	13.2 %	10.0 %
FRAMINGHAM	4434	4064	4355	8.3- %	7.1 %	1.7- %
GARDNER	1862	2471	2235	32.7 %	9.5- %	20.0 %
GLOUCESTER	1475	1428	1719	3.1- %	20.3 %	16.5 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	409	556	492	35.9 %	11.5- %	20.2 %
GREENFIELD	1365	1566	1699	14.7 %	8.4 %	24.4 %
HAVERHILL	1889	1584	1701	16.1- %	7.3 %	9.9- %
HINGHAM	5422	5303	4887	2.1- %	7.8- %	9.8- %
HOLYOKE	2151	2638	2077	22.6 %	21.2- %	3.4- %
IPSWICH	403	527	346	30.7 %	34.3- %	14.1- %
LAWRENCE	4568	4071	4317	10.8- %	6.0 %	5.4- %
LEE	689	760	905	10.3 %	19.0 %	31.3 %
LEOMINSTER	1392	1490	1768	7.0 %	18.6 %	27.0 %
LOWELL	5748	6345	7247	10.3 %	14.2 %	26.0 %
LYNN	4230	4212	4927	0.4- %	16.9 %	16.4 %
MALDEN	3039	3288	3741	8.1 %	13.7 %	23.0 %
MARLBOROUGH	1955	1763	1941	9.8- %	10.0 %	0.7- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADULT ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-1282	TOTALS 01-1283	TOTALS 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-84
MILFORD	1653	1687	1587	2.0 %	5.9 %	3.9 %
NANTUCKET	166	159	212	4.2 %	33.3 %	27.7 %
NATICK	733	799	1049	9.0 %	31.2 %	43.1 %
NEW BEDFORD	4685	5502	5151	17.4 %	6.3 %	9.9 %
NEWBURYPORT	1558	1434	1837	7.9 %	28.1 %	17.9 %
NEWTON	1542	1444	1416	6.3 %	1.9 %	8.1 %
NORTH ADAMS	1161	1202	1149	3.5 %	4.4 %	1.0 %
NORTHAMPTON	3487	3890	4148	11.5 %	6.6 %	18.9 %
ORANGE	732	856	944	16.9 %	10.2 %	28.9 %
ORLEANS	2734	2518	2961	7.9 %	17.5 %	8.3 %
PALMER	1561	1519	1621	2.6 %	6.7 %	3.8 %
PEABODY	2260	2364	2363	4.6 %	0.0 %	4.5 %
PITTSFIELD	2986	2656	2964	11.0 %	11.5 %	0.7 %
PLYMOUTH	3390	3447	4080	1.6 %	18.3 %	20.3 %
QUINCY	6252	5925	6865	5.2 %	15.8 %	9.8 %
ROXBURY	5802	5977	5496	3.0 %	8.0 %	5.2 %
SALEM	3198	3141	2975	1.7 %	5.2 %	6.9 %
SOMERVILLE	2466	2187	2271	11.3 %	3.8 %	7.9 %
SOUTH BOSTON	1418	1469	1601	3.5 %	8.9 %	12.9 %
SPENCER	1332	1117	1244	16.1 %	11.3 %	6.6 %
SPRINGFIELD	13450	10594	11150	21.2 %	5.2 %	17.1 %
STOUGHTON	1771	1711	1775	3.3 %	3.7 %	0.2 %
TAUNTON	3288	3608	3750	9.7 %	3.9 %	14.0 %
UXBRIDGE	1408	1489	1363	5.7 %	8.4 %	3.1 %
WALTHAM	2011	2677	2723	33.1 %	1.7 %	35.4 %
WARE	287	296	964	3.1 %	225.6 %	235.8 %
WAREHAM	3155	3809	3263	20.7 %	14.3 %	3.4 %
WEST ROXBURY	2649	2997	3018	13.1 %	0.7 %	13.9 %
WESTBOROUGH	2812	2292	2476	18.4 %	8.0 %	11.9 %
WESTFIELD	1428	1364	1724	4.4 %	26.3 %	20.7 %
WINCHENDON	264	263	265	0.3 %	0.7 %	0.3 %
WOBURN	3954	3822	4341	3.3 %	13.5 %	9.7 %
WORCESTER	9090	9636	8494	4.9 %	1.6 %	6.5 %
WRENTHAM	3939	3968	3823	0.7 %	3.6 %	2.9 %
TOTAL	214022	211097	220107	1.8 %	4.7 %	2.8 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC, 1982	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC, 1983	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ADAMS	73	55	94	24.65% X	70.90 X	28.76 X
AMESBURY	379	502	595	32.45 X	18.52 X	56.99 X
ATTLEBORO	734	594	604	19.07% X	1.68 X	17.71% X
AYER	498	592	767	18.87 X	29.56 X	54.01 X
BARNSTABLE	1048	1046	1197	0.19% X	14.43 X	14.21 X
BOSTON	229	247	327	7.36 X	32.38 X	42.79 X
BRIGHTON	210	202	229	3.80% X	13.36 X	9.04 X
BROCKTON	908	948	1070	4.40 X	12.86 X	17.84 X
BROCKLINE	245	263	190	7.34 X	27.75% X	22.44% X
CAMBRIDGE	601	660	633	9.81 X	4.09% X	5.32 X
CHAPLESTOWN	221	296	693	33.93 X	134.12 X	213.57 X
CHELSEA	1042	1145	1472	9.88 X	28.55 X	41.25 X
CHICOPEE	174	180	349	3.44 X	93.88 X	100.57 X
CLINTON	409	417	388	1.95 X	6.95% X	5.13% X
CONCORD	795	786	696	1.13% X	11.45% X	12.45% X
DEDHAM	728	949	998	30.35 X	5.16 X	37.08 X
DORCHESTER	300	372	397	24.00 X	6.72 X	32.33 X
DUDLEY	523	441	559	15.67% X	26.75 X	6.88 X
EAST BOSTON	111	117	138	5.40 X	17.94 X	24.32 X
EDGARTOWN	131	112	135	14.50% X	20.53 X	3.05 X
FALL RIVER	542	511	780	5.71% X	52.64 X	43.91 X
FITCHBURG	272	204	337	25.00% X	65.19 X	23.89 X
FRAMINGHAM	948	955	984	0.73 X	3.03 X	3.79 X
GARDNER	253	260	215	2.76 X	17.30% X	15.01% X
GLOUCESTER	281	272	238	3.20% X	12.50% X	15.33% X
GREAT BARRINGTON	64	93	96	45.31 X	3.22 X	50.03 X
GREENFIELD	253	375	417	48.22 X	11.20 X	64.82 X
HAVERHILL	471	395	344	16.13% X	12.91% X	26.96% X
HINGHAM	991	925	953	6.65% X	3.02 X	3.83% X
HOLYOKE	240	292	282	21.66 X	3.42% X	17.53 X
IPSWICH	71	85	84	19.71 X	1.17% X	18.30 X
LAWRENCE	1181	1312	1234	11.09 X	5.94% X	4.48 X
LEE	135	107	135	20.74% X	26.16 X	0.03 X
LEMINSTER	286	230	292	19.58% X	26.95 X	2.09 X
LOWELL	1126	1196	1294	6.21 X	8.19 X	14.92 X
LYNN	644	677	820	5.12 X	21.12 X	27.32 X
MALDEN	589	554	728	5.94% X	31.40 X	23.59 X
MARLBOROUGH	348	278	257	20.11% X	7.55% X	26.14% X
MILFORD	411	369	397	10.21% X	7.58 X	3.43% X

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC, 1982	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC, 1983	DUIL ARRAIGNMENTS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
NANTUCKET	54	63	67	16.66 Z	6.34 Z	24.07 Z
NATICK	162	180	208	11.11 Z	15.55 Z	28.39 Z
NEW BEDFORD	382	534	627	39.79 Z	17.41 Z	64.13 Z
NEWBURYPORT	364	367	426	0.82 Z	16.07 Z	17.03 Z
NEWTON	309	265	370	14.23- Z	39.62 Z	19.74 Z
NORTH ADAMS	160	180	164	12.50 Z	8.88- Z	2.50 Z
NORTHAMPTON	806	853	804	5.83 Z	5.74- Z	0.24- Z
ORANGE	111	138	131	24.32 Z	5.07- Z	18.01 Z
ORLEANS	444	518	635	16.66 Z	22.58 Z	43.01 Z
PALMER	428	462	504	10.04 Z	4.56 Z	15.06 Z
PEARSON	508	586	717	15.35 Z	22.35 Z	41.14 Z
PITTSFIELD	326	329	337	0.92 Z	2.43 Z	3.37 Z
PLYMOUTH	561	554	718	1.24- Z	29.60 Z	27.98 Z
QUINCY	1190	1245	1408	4.62 Z	13.09 Z	18.31 Z
ROXBURY	263	362	474	37.64 Z	30.93 Z	80.22 Z
SALEM	635	740	703	16.53 Z	5.00- Z	10.70 Z
SOMERVILLE	383	388	460	1.30 Z	18.55 Z	20.10 Z
SOUTH EASTON	138	152	187	10.14 Z	23.02 Z	35.50 Z
SPENCER	383	332	327	13.31- Z	1.50- Z	14.62- Z
SPRINGFIELD	1255	1316	1251	4.86 Z	4.93- Z	0.31- Z
STOUGHTON	332	442	427	33.13 Z	3.39- Z	28.61 Z
TAUNTON	800	884	869	10.50 Z	1.69- Z	8.62 Z
UXBRIDGE	268	288	289	7.46 Z	0.34 Z	7.83 Z
WALTHAM	374	508	619	35.32 Z	21.85 Z	65.50 Z
WARE	49	65	163	32.65 Z	150.76 Z	232.65 Z
WAREHAM	594	646	979	8.75 Z	51.54 Z	64.81 Z
WEST ROXBURY	298	348	384	16.77 Z	10.34 Z	28.85 Z
WESTBOROUGH	435	509	644	17.01 Z	26.52 Z	48.04 Z
WESTFIELD	276	274	391	0.72- Z	42.70 Z	41.65 Z
WINCHENDON	38	30	38	21.05- Z	26.66 Z	0.00 Z
WOBBURN	622	618	850	0.64- Z	37.54 Z	36.65 Z
WORCESTER	1459	947	1137	35.09- Z	20.06 Z	22.06- Z
WRENTHAM	410	546	608	33.17 Z	11.35 Z	48.29 Z
TOTALS **	33292	34703	39334	4.23 Z	13.34 Z	18.15 Z

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL: DISPOSITION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NTGTY 01-12 1983	NTGTY 01-12 1984	24C 01-12 1983	24C 01-12 1984	14DH 01-12 1983	14DH 01-12 1984	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1983	14DH/ JAIL 01-12 1984	INC 01-12 1983	INC 01-12 1984	OTH. 01-12 1983	OTH. 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1983	TOTAL 01-12 1984
ADAMS	0	2	0	49	0	3	0	0	0	5	0	11	0	71
AMESBURY	0	10	0	290	0	51	0	17	0	0	0	43	0	411
ATLEBORO	0	23	0	303	0	47	0	0	0	18	0	50	0	451
AYER	0	25	0	433	0	81	0	7	0	51	0	175	0	772
BARNSTABLE	0	46	0	693	0	144	0	0	0	1	0	106	0	990
BOSTON	0	18	0	92	0	12	0	0	0	10	0	28	0	150
BRIGHTON	0	2	0	112	0	17	0	4	0	9	0	44	0	188
BROCKTON	0	38	0	522	0	143	0	15	0	29	0	95	0	842
BROOKLINE	0	1	0	139	0	33	0	0	0	9	0	33	0	215
CAMBRIDGE	0	23	0	393	0	59	0	13	0	11	0	36	0	535
CHARLESTOWN	0	3	0	306	0	16	0	1	0	9	0	21	0	356
CHELSEA	0	74	0	648	0	81	0	0	0	23	0	382	0	1210
CHICOPEE	0	27	0	199	0	37	0	2	0	8	0	9	0	282
CLINTON	0	32	0	208	0	28	0	5	0	5	0	54	0	336
CONCORD	0	13	0	538	0	87	0	36	0	40	0	119	0	833
DEDHAM	0	24	0	629	0	89	0	6	0	6	0	111	0	865
DORCHESTER	0	28	0	205	0	11	0	2	0	4	0	21	0	271
DUDLEY	0	23	0	258	0	62	0	6	0	12	0	39	0	400
EAST BOSTON	0	6	0	68	0	11	0	0	0	5	0	19	0	109
EDGARTOWN	0	19	0	72	0	14	0	0	0	5	0	19	0	130
FALL RIVER	0	99	0	433	0	183	0	14	0	50	0	306	0	1085
FITCHBURG	0	68	0	229	0	57	0	37	0	15	0	163	0	570
FRAMINGHAM	0	65	0	504	0	90	0	3	0	23	0	221	0	908
GARDNER	0	21	0	102	0	10	0	2	0	3	0	63	0	211
GLOUCESTER	0	3	0	106	0	24	0	0	0	4	0	50	0	187
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	1	0	107	0	5	0	1	0	5	0	15	0	134
GREENFIELD	0	24	0	235	0	52	0	11	0	18	0	26	0	366
HAVERHILL	0	42	0	521	0	224	0	50	0	84	0	37	0	958
HINGHAM	0	40	0	482	0	72	0	1	0	14	0	14	0	623
HOLYOKE	0	30	0	120	0	26	0	1	0	15	0	34	0	225
IPSWICH	0	0	0	17	0	9	0	1	0	5	0	23	0	55
LAWRENCE	0	43	0	720	0	113	0	37	0	27	0	96	0	1036
LEE	0	12	0	73	0	14	0	0	0	2	0	10	0	111
LEOMINSTER	0	19	0	115	0	31	0	2	0	5	0	54	0	227
LOWELL	0	22	0	791	0	125	0	0	0	110	0	170	0	1218
LYNN	0	13	0	375	0	62	0	0	0	27	0	17	0	494
MALDEN	0	11	0	465	0	67	0	19	0	34	0	42	0	638
MARLBOROUGH	0	8	0	161	0	53	0	3	0	15	0	31	0	271
MILFORD	0	34	0	149	0	26	0	2	0	2	0	42	0	255
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	58	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	54

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL: DISPOSITION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NTGTY 01-12 1983	NTGTY 01-12 1984	240 01-12 1983	240 01-12 1984	140H 01-12 1983	140H 01-12 1984	140H/ JAIL 01-12 1983	140H/ JAIL 01-12 1984	INC 01-12 1983	INC 01-12 1984	OTH. 01-12 1983	OTH. 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1983	TOTAL 01-12 1984
NATICK	0	7	0	139	0	21	0	2	0	0	0	12	0	181
NEW BEDFORD	0	17	0	236	0	41	0	6	0	5	0	123	0	428
NEWBURYPORT	0	7	0	207	0	23	0	11	0	9	0	37	0	294
NEWTON	0	10	0	241	0	29	0	9	0	29	0	84	0	402
NORTH ADAMS	0	8	0	93	0	5	0	0	0	10	0	38	0	154
NORTHAMPTON	0	27	0	453	0	122	0	2	0	35	0	74	0	753
ORANGE	0	5	0	53	0	19	0	0	0	1	0	14	0	92
ORLEANS	0	23	0	352	0	28	0	1	0	8	0	32	0	444
PALMER	0	16	0	282	0	61	0	0	0	17	0	53	0	429
PEABODY	0	3	0	407	0	60	0	5	0	34	0	90	0	599
PITTSFIELD	0	9	0	192	0	42	0	6	0	29	0	22	0	300
PLYMOUTH	0	29	0	282	0	17	0	4	0	15	0	108	0	455
QUINCY	0	27	0	784	0	280	0	35	0	25	0	38	0	1189
ROXBURY	0	26	0	283	0	8	0	0	0	5	0	57	0	379
SALEM	0	5	0	364	0	51	0	3	0	6	0	102	0	531
SOMERVILLE	0	10	0	338	0	82	0	41	0	2	0	1	0	474
SOUTH BOSTON	0	10	0	123	0	9	0	0	0	3	0	50	0	195
SPENCER	0	27	0	170	0	40	0	1	0	9	0	43	0	290
SPRINGFIELD	0	7	0	789	0	154	0	5	0	42	0	103	0	1068
STOUGHTON	0	9	0	255	0	59	0	3	0	5	0	132	0	463
TAUNTON	0	37	0	513	0	113	0	15	0	9	0	81	0	768
UXBRIDGE	0	13	0	187	0	31	0	1	0	9	0	3	0	244
WALTHAM	0	13	0	323	0	84	0	12	0	31	0	60	0	523
WARE	0	1	0	86	0	20	0	1	0	15	0	5	0	128
WAREHAM	0	60	0	363	0	129	0	28	0	39	0	85	0	704
WEST ROXBURY	0	173	0	204	0	30	0	0	0	2	0	33	0	442
WESTBOROUGH	0	17	0	347	0	102	0	8	0	6	0	37	0	517
WESTFIELD	0	35	0	185	0	53	0	1	0	8	0	19	0	301
WINCHENDEN	0	1	0	14	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	12	0	29
WOBURN	0	18	0	478	0	80	0	20	0	40	0	94	0	730
WORCESTER	0	66	0	574	0	98	0	12	0	18	0	285	0	1061
WRENTHAM	0	33	0	357	0	56	0	3	0	9	0	129	0	539
** TOTALS **	0	1741	0	21594	0	4221	0	534	0	1208	0	4902	0	34200

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DISTRICT/BMC: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION [AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1984]

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1982	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERM R/N JAN-DEC 1982	TERM R/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERM R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1982	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-83	% CHG TOTAL R/N 83-84	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-84
ADAMS	38	37	29	44	41	30	45	41	40	8.8-%	2.4-%	11.1-%
AMESBURY	76	90	89	11	33	57	84	139	171	65.4 %	23.0 %	103.5 %
ATTLEBORO	101	74	84	79	83	86	127	118	96	7.0-%	18.6-%	24.4-%
AYER	143	145	133	165	145	162	143	142	113	0.6-%	20.4-%	20.9-%
BARNSTABLE	339	349	239	219	297	489	464	516	222	11.2 %	56.9-%	52.1-%
BOSTON	494	369	586	270	324	322	354	315	584	11.0-%	35.3 %	64.9 %
BRIGHTON	447	353	343	367	324	298	289	318	363	10.0 %	14.1 %	25.6 %
BROCKTON	327	386	395	253	304	272	227	309	427	36.1 %	38.1 %	88.1 %
BROOKLINE	195	95	73	241	137	75	148	104	102	29.7-%	1.9-%	31.0-%
CAMBRIDGE	111	165	160	126	159	109	186	198	223	6.4 %	12.6 %	19.8 %
CHARLESTOWN	120	96	45	57	88	52	105	112	51	6.6 %	54.4-%	51.4-%
CHELSEA	631	373	313	447	392	267	553	534	379	3.4-%	29.0-%	31.4-%
CHICOPEE	51	77	79	78	72	77	61	68	70	11.4 %	2.9 %	14.7 %
CLINTON	140	116	107	96	112	121	151	155	141	2.6 %	9.0-%	6.6-%
CONCORD	361	418	441	326	362	430	339	395	374	16.5 %	5.3-%	10.3 %
DEDHAM	259	257	254	177	152	191	315	417	383	32.3 %	9.1-%	21.5 %
DORCHESTER	855	1193	1260	789	820	921	724	1097	951	51.5 %	13.3-%	31.3 %
DUDLEY	135	182	154	144	131	143	135	183	179	35.5 %	2.1-%	32.5 %
EAST BOSTON	222	232	228	160	210	254	231	253	227	9.5 %	10.2-%	1.7-%
EDGARTOWN	69	81	61	128	61	69	56	72	64	28.5 %	11.1-%	14.2 %
FALL RIVER	118	243	163	361	69	196	89	263	202	195.5 %	23.1-%	126.9 %
FITCHBURG	290	267	290	228	267	257	292	292	292	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
FRAMINGHAM	212	177	173	227	145	165	202	234	207	15.8 %	11.5-%	2.4 %
GARDNER	267	250	259	294	254	229	216	212	227	1.8-%	7.0 %	5.0 %
GLOUCESTER	190	160	140	137	163	138	212	206	197	2.8-%	4.3-%	7.0-%
GREAT BARRINGTON	33	1	12	34	30	10	40	11	13	72.5-%	18.1 %	67.5-%
GREENFIELD	190	186	104	134	173	146	197	212	174	7.6 %	17.9-%	11.6-%
HAVERHILL	218	154	159	156	137	132	267	284	286	6.3 %	0.7 %	7.1 %
HINGHAM	296	283	282	236	252	230	269	300	305	11.5 %	1.6 %	13.3 %
HOLYOKE	365	288	58	332	361	297	417	345	70	17.2-%	79.7-%	83.2-%
IPSWICH	52	81	95	19	49	58	48	69	106	43.7 %	53.6 %	120.8 %
LAWRENCE	240	244	269	72	117	109	310	406	452	30.9 %	11.3 %	45.9 %
LEE	10	21	15	20	17	18	16	20	17	25.0 %	15.0-%	6.2 %
LEOMINSTER	93	118	91	73	79	92	71	110	83	54.9 %	24.5-%	16.9 %
LOWELL	126	117	95	62	36	61	110	201	158	82.7 %	21.3-%	43.6 %
LYNN	380	570	506	256	330	357	466	634	691	36.0 %	3.9 %	48.2 %
MALDEN	248	335	284	163	225	302	203	313	269	54.1 %	14.0-%	32.5 %
MARLBOROUGH	237	179	87	183	219	94	246	206	216	16.2-%	30.5 %	9.3 %
MILFORD	127	92	85	118	67	86	82	108	87	31.7 %	19.4-%	6.0 %
NANTUCKET	11	3	2	20	5	2	9	5	3	44.4-%	40.0-%	56.6-%
NATICK	48	20	139	5	4	63	78	87	180	11.5 %	106.8 %	130.7 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DISTRICT/BMC: ADULTS UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1984)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1982	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1982	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1982	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-83	% CHG TOTAL R/N 83-84	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-84
NEW BEDFORD	451	398	351	632	410	464	628	616	465	1.9-%	24.5-%	25.9-%
NEWBURYPORT	84	50	54	81	48	56	75	65	62	13.3-%	4.6-%	17.3-%
NEWTON	97	65	107	133	111	74	157	111	129	29.2-%	15.2 %	17.8-%
NORTH ADAMS	79	97	76	107	50	96	96	143	123	48.9 %	13.9-%	28.1 %
NORTHAMPTON	87	100	91	55	71	105	119	148	134	24.3 %	9.4-%	12.6 %
ORANGE	43	86	49	2	46	58	39	79	70	102.5 %	11.3-%	79.4 %
ORLEANS	281	183	181	322	234	235	305	254	153	16.7-%	39.7-%	49.8-%
PALMER	250	248	213	271	255	203	232	227	241	2.1-%	6.1 %	3.8 %
PEABODY	164	170	241	186	158	147	152	162	256	6.5 %	59.0 %	58.4 %
PITTSFIELD	159	122	137	158	160	158	246	208	187	15.4-%	10.0-%	23.9-%
PLYMOUTH	366	284	163	328	505	203	508	281	180	44.6-%	35.9-%	64.5-%
QUINCY	561	587	474	569	560	536	527	555	494	5.3 %	10.9-%	6.2-%
ROXBURY	837	558	498	1057	411	506	575	744	736	29.3 %	1.0-%	28.0 %
SALEM	489	492	394	442	464	490	522	550	454	5.3 %	17.4-%	13.0-%
SOMERVILLE	433	357	314	164	155	334	467	669	395	43.2 %	40.9-%	15.4-%
SOUTH EASTON	149	162	205	32	72	159	148	238	199	60.8 %	15.3-%	34.4 %
SPENCER	188	115	124	364	154	120	144	105	91	27.0-%	13.3-%	36.8-%
SPRINGFIELD	1184	932	912	1029	1068	956	1181	1045	1001	11.5-%	4.2-%	15.2-%
STOUGHTON	150	117	117	146	145	116	142	112	120	21.1-%	7.1 %	15.4-%
TAUNTON	176	96	159	229	130	140	177	143	162	19.2-%	13.2 %	8.4-%
UXBRIDGE	35	50	53	47	29	71	73	94	77	28.7 %	18.0-%	5.4 %
WALTHAM	296	203	263	287	253	218	271	221	245	18.4-%	10.8 %	9.5-%
WARE	9	146	181	5	27	130	7	122	167	1642.8 %	36.8 %	2285.7 %
WAREHAM	199	169	146	140	263	99	271	177	145	34.6-%	18.0-%	46.4-%
WEST ROXBURY	215	214	156	66	57	77	349	506	338	44.9 %	71.3-%	58.4-%
WESTBOROUGH	212	267	323	242	204	246	140	203	273	45.0 %	34.4 %	95.0 %
WESTFIELD	87	60	128	81	61	37	101	100	133	0.9-%	33.0 %	31.6 %
WINCHENDON	35	39	35	32	32	31	34	41	36	20.5 %	12.1-%	5.8 %
WOBURN	405	560	334	280	344	478	336	552	326	64.2 %	40.9-%	2.9-%
WORCESTER	611	498	420	344	454	511	703	759	481	7.9 %	36.6-%	31.5-%
WRENTHAM	148	257	165	152	125	166	151	283	282	87.4 %	0.3-%	86.7 %
TOTALS	17345	16559	15445	15290	14305	14687	17453	19517	17550	11.8 %	12.9-%	2.6-%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT	TOTAL DUIL 12-82	TOTAL DUIL 12-83	TOTAL DUIL 12-84	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-82	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-83	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-84	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-82	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-83	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-84	% CHANGE 82-83	% CHANGE 83-84	% CHANGE 82-84
ADAMS	0	0	57	0	0	68	0	0	125	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
AMESBURY	0	0	462	0	0	77	0	0	539	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
ATTLEBORO	0	0	455	0	0	353	0	0	808	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
AYER	0	0	382	0	0	320	0	0	702	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BARNSTABLE	0	0	1009	0	0	364	0	0	1373	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BOSTON	0	0	107	0	0	572	0	0	679	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	123	0	0	123	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BROCKTON	0	0	672	0	0	373	0	0	1045	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BROOKLINE	0	0	219	0	0	90	0	0	309	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CAMBRIDGE	0	0	632	0	0	558	0	0	1190	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	479	0	0	63	0	0	541	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CHELSEA	0	0	574	0	0	233	0	0	807	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CHICOPEE	0	0	275	0	0	73	0	0	348	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CLINTON	0	0	216	0	0	185	0	0	401	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CONCORD	0	0	562	0	0	314	0	0	876	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DEDHAM	0	0	937	0	0	276	0	0	1213	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DORCHESTER	0	0	316	0	0	4105	0	0	4421	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DUDLEY	0	0	367	0	0	539	0	0	906	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
EAST BOSTON	0	0	112	0	0	183	0	0	295	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
EDGARTOWN	0	0	82	0	0	25	0	0	107	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
FALL RIVER	0	0	448	0	0	143	0	0	591	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
FITCHBURG	0	0	187	0	0	254	0	0	441	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
FRAMINGHAM	0	0	891	0	0	320	0	0	1211	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GARDNER	0	0	21	0	0	307	0	0	328	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GLOUCESTER	0	0	138	0	0	174	0	0	312	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	91	0	0	130	0	0	221	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GREENFIELD	0	0	251	0	0	216	0	0	467	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HAVERHILL	0	0	513	0	0	374	0	0	887	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HINGHAM	0	0	1072	0	0	230	0	0	1302	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
HOLYOKE	0	0	181	0	0	336	0	0	517	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
IPSWICH	0	0	52	0	0	30	0	0	82	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LAWRENCE	0	0	1081	0	0	1313	0	0	2394	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LEE	0	0	96	0	0	64	0	0	160	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LEOMINSTER	0	0	219	0	0	359	0	0	578	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LOWELL	0	0	1072	0	0	1689	0	0	2761	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LYNN	0	0	570	0	0	784	0	0	1354	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
MALDEN	0	0	437	0	0	482	0	0	919	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
MARLBOROUGH	0	0	162	0	0	256	0	0	418	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
MILFORD	0	0	411	0	0	345	0	0	756	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NANTUCKET	0	0	51	0	0	26	0	0	77	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NATICK	0	0	296	0	0	74	0	0	370	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISION IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT	TOTAL DUIL 12-82	TOTAL DUIL 12-83	TOTAL DUIL 12-84	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-82	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-83	TOTAL NON SUPPORT 12-84	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-82	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-83	TOTAL ADMIN. 12-84	% CHANGE 82-83	% CHANGE 83-84	% CHANGE 82-84
NEW BEDFORD	0	0	352	0	0	327	0	0	679	0.00	0.00	**
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	399	0	0	31	0	0	429	0.00	0.00	**
NEWTON	0	0	301	0	0	224	0	0	525	0.00	0.00	**
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	75	0	0	190	0	0	266	0.00	0.00	**
NORTHAMPTON	0	0	1071	0	0	250	0	0	1321	0.00	0.00	**
ORANGE	0	0	113	0	0	91	0	0	204	0.00	0.00	**
ORLEANS	0	0	565	0	0	318	0	0	883	0.00	0.00	**
PALMER	0	0	226	0	0	212	0	0	438	0.00	0.00	**
PEABODY	0	0	435	0	0	130	0	0	565	0.00	0.00	**
PITTSFIELD	0	0	335	0	0	169	0	0	504	0.00	0.00	**
PLYMOUTH	0	0	432	0	0	239	0	0	671	0.00	0.00	**
QUINCY	0	0	1205	0	0	396	0	0	1601	0.00	0.00	**
ROXBURY	0	0	462	0	0	802	0	0	1264	0.00	0.00	**
SALEM	0	0	723	0	0	419	0	0	1142	0.00	0.00	**
SOMERVILLE	0	0	579	0	0	447	0	0	1026	0.00	0.00	**
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	195	0	0	220	0	0	415	0.00	0.00	**
SPENCER	0	0	285	0	0	269	0	0	554	0.00	0.00	**
SPRINGFIELD	0	0	1273	0	0	1730	0	0	3003	0.00	0.00	**
STOUGHTON	0	0	386	0	0	105	0	0	491	0.00	0.00	**
TAUNTON	0	0	580	0	0	433	0	0	1013	0.00	0.00	**
UXBRIDGE	0	0	199	0	0	190	0	0	389	0.00	0.00	**
WALTHAM	0	0	429	0	0	215	0	0	644	0.00	0.00	**
WARE	0	0	3	0	0	67	0	0	70	0.00	0.00	**
WAREHAM	0	0	378	0	0	213	0	0	591	0.00	0.00	**
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	209	0	0	347	0	0	556	0.00	0.00	**
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	212	0	0	158	0	0	370	0.00	0.00	**
WESTFIELD	0	0	190	0	0	48	0	0	238	0.00	0.00	**
WINCHENDON	0	0	20	0	0	50	0	0	70	0.00	0.00	**
WOBURN	0	0	803	0	0	387	0	0	1195	0.00	0.00	**
WORCESTER	0	0	1225	0	0	803	0	0	2029	0.00	0.00	**
WRENTHAM	0	0	364	0	0	403	0	0	767	0.00	0.00	**
TOTAL	0	0	30184	0	0	26683	0	0	56867	0.00	0.00	**

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC, 82		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC, 82		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC, 82		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC, 83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC, 83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC, 83		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC, 84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC, 84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC, 84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
ADAMS	7	43.7	9	56.2	16	100.0	8	36.3	14	63.6	22	100.0	8	33.3	16	66.6	24	100.0
AMESBURY	51	100.0	0	0.0	51	100.0	46	93.8	3	6.1	49	100.0	49	77.7	14	22.2	63	100.0
ATTLEBORO	85	60.2	56	39.7	141	100.0	32	31.6	69	68.3	101	100.0	22	21.7	79	78.2	101	100.0
AYER	26	74.2	9	25.7	35	100.0	22	53.6	19	46.3	41	100.0	32	52.4	29	47.5	61	100.0
BARNSTABLE	88	26.1	249	73.8	337	100.0	150	26.2	421	73.7	571	100.0	51	23.7	164	76.2	215	100.0
BOSTON	670	78.1	187	21.8	857	100.0	1152	83.1	234	16.8	1386	100.0	451	69.9	194	30.0	645	100.0
BRIGHTON	123	80.3	30	19.6	153	100.0	126	75.4	41	24.5	167	100.0	42	64.6	23	35.3	65	100.0
BROCKTON	131	41.0	188	58.9	319	100.0	180	41.6	252	58.3	432	100.0	172	28.5	430	71.4	602	100.0
BROOKLINE	43	33.0	87	66.9	130	100.0	69	25.0	206	74.9	275	100.0	45	21.2	167	78.7	212	100.0
CAMBRIDGE	502	82.4	107	17.5	609	100.0	482	61.9	296	38.0	778	100.0	153	46.3	177	53.6	330	100.0
CHARLESTOWN	29	32.2	61	67.7	90	100.0	36	53.7	31	46.2	67	100.0	17	41.4	24	58.5	41	100.0
CHELSEA	50	43.8	64	56.1	114	100.0	51	36.4	89	63.5	140	100.0	94	31.3	206	68.6	300	100.0
CHICOPEE	7	12.2	50	87.7	57	100.0	8	33.3	16	66.6	24	100.0	4	10.0	36	90.0	40	100.0
CLINTON	28	32.1	59	67.8	87	100.0	19	26.7	52	73.2	71	100.0	31	33.3	62	66.6	93	100.0
CONCORD	24	72.7	9	27.2	33	100.0	18	64.2	10	35.7	28	100.0	37	28.4	93	71.5	130	100.0
DEDHAM	110	77.4	32	22.5	142	100.0	277	100.0	0	0.0	277	100.0	82	73.8	29	25.1	111	100.0
DORCHESTER	207	10.9	1683	89.0	1890	100.0	216	10.1	1920	89.8	2136	100.0	421	18.0	1914	81.9	2335	100.0
DUDLEY	39	75.0	13	25.0	52	100.0	50	65.7	26	34.2	76	100.0	37	54.4	31	45.5	68	100.0
EAST BOSTON	41	17.3	195	82.6	236	100.0	27	12.4	190	87.5	217	100.0	61	45.8	72	54.1	133	100.0
EDGARTOWN	8	53.3	7	46.6	15	100.0	49	56.9	37	43.0	86	100.0	15	19.2	63	80.7	78	100.0
FALL RIVER	322	99.6	1	0.3	323	100.0	688	99.7	2	0.2	690	100.0	238	65.0	128	34.9	366	100.0
FITCHBURG	14	15.9	74	84.0	88	100.0	20	15.5	109	84.4	129	100.0	91	23.4	297	75.5	388	100.0
FRAMINGHAM	43	35.5	78	64.4	121	100.0	52	21.7	187	78.2	239	100.0	59	23.8	188	76.1	247	100.0
GARDNER	4	2.5	154	97.4	158	100.0	0	0.0	153	100.0	153	100.0	22	19.4	91	80.5	113	100.0
GLOUCESTER	14	56.0	11	44.0	25	100.0	13	61.9	8	38.0	21	100.0	26	56.5	20	43.4	46	100.0
GREAT BARRINGTON	14	53.8	12	46.1	26	100.0	14	70.0	6	30.0	20	100.0	12	66.6	6	33.3	18	100.0
GREENFIELD	40	40.4	59	59.5	99	100.0	25	26.0	71	73.9	96	100.0	16	32.0	34	68.0	50	100.0
HAVERHILL	227	100.0	0	0.0	227	100.0	342	99.7	1	0.2	343	100.0	66	100.0	0	0.0	66	100.0
HINGHAM	39	24.0	123	75.9	162	100.0	21	18.9	90	81.0	111	100.0	32	18.0	145	81.9	177	100.0
HOLYOKE	119	40.3	176	59.6	295	100.0	104	37.5	173	62.4	277	100.0	187	40.5	274	59.4	461	100.0
IPSWICH	13	65.0	7	35.0	20	100.0	20	74.0	7	25.9	27	100.0	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	42.4	38	57.5	66	100.0
LEE	12	85.7	2	14.2	14	100.0	24	82.7	5	17.2	29	100.0	18	27.6	47	72.3	65	100.0
LEOMINSTER	14	7.6	170	92.3	184	100.0	50	20.9	189	79.0	239	100.0	35	21.8	125	78.1	160	100.0
LOWELL	14	36.8	24	63.1	38	100.0	48	35.2	88	64.7	136	100.0	51	49.5	52	50.4	103	100.0
LYNN	90	80.3	22	19.6	112	100.0	178	71.2	72	28.8	250	100.0	137	56.1	107	43.8	244	100.0
MALDEN	50	17.0	244	82.9	294	100.0	78	21.9	278	78.0	356	100.0	61	18.8	262	81.1	323	100.0
MARLBOROUGH	50	23.8	160	76.1	210	100.0	55	35.4	100	64.5	155	100.0	22	25.2	65	74.7	87	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,82		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,82		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,82		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,83		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,83		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,83		NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES JAN-DEC,84		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS JAN-DEC,84		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED JAN-DEC,84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
MILFORD	5	2.7	175	97.2	180	100.0	8	5.1	148	94.8	156	100.0	4	4.0	96	95.0	100	100.0
NANTUCKET	2	28.5	5	71.4	7	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0
NATICK	14	43.7	18	56.2	32	100.0	16	37.2	27	62.7	43	100.0	25	24.5	77	75.4	102	100.0
NEW BEDFORD	38	77.5	11	22.4	49	100.0	52	73.2	19	26.7	71	100.0	189	69.2	84	30.7	273	100.0
NEWBURYPORT	47	69.1	21	30.8	68	100.0	36	81.8	8	18.1	44	100.0	20	54.0	17	45.9	37	100.0
NEWTON	46	83.6	9	16.3	55	100.0	80	86.0	13	13.9	93	100.0	101	80.8	24	19.2	125	100.0
NORTH ADAMS	14	82.3	3	17.6	17	100.0	5	71.4	2	28.5	7	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
NORTHAMPTON	4	7.8	47	92.1	51	100.0	24	35.8	47	66.1	71	100.0	37	48.0	40	51.9	77	100.0
ORANGE	17	48.5	18	51.4	35	100.0	119	97.5	3	2.4	122	100.0	33	70.2	14	29.7	47	100.0
ORLEANS	37	21.3	136	78.6	173	100.0	40	16.6	200	83.3	240	100.0	77	31.8	165	68.1	242	100.0
PALMER	16	50.0	16	50.0	32	100.0	15	37.5	25	62.5	40	100.0	16	36.3	28	63.6	44	100.0
PEABODY	7	5.4	67	90.5	74	100.0	8	12.9	54	87.0	62	100.0	65	25.0	195	75.0	260	100.0
PITTSFIELD	99	44.3	124	55.6	223	100.0	107	44.9	131	55.0	238	100.0	64	52.0	59	47.9	123	100.0
PLYMOUTH	8	30.7	18	69.2	26	100.0	11	21.5	40	78.4	51	100.0	13	15.6	70	84.3	83	100.0
QUINCY	172	21.0	647	78.9	819	100.0	213	13.6	1352	86.3	1565	100.0	117	8.7	1226	91.2	1343	100.0
ROXBURY	272	68.6	124	31.3	396	100.0	283	70.9	116	29.0	399	100.0	186	66.4	94	33.5	280	100.0
SALEM	59	27.9	152	72.0	211	100.0	72	27.9	186	72.0	258	100.0	32	19.7	130	80.2	162	100.0
SOMERVILLE	57	33.1	115	66.8	172	100.0	24	12.6	165	87.3	189	100.0	114	49.1	118	50.8	232	100.0
SOUTH EASTON	31	27.6	81	72.3	112	100.0	26	29.5	62	70.4	88	100.0	41	54.6	34	45.3	75	100.0
SPENCER	17	85.4	2	10.5	19	100.0	8	13.3	52	86.6	60	100.0	13	11.5	100	88.4	113	100.0
SPRINGFIELD	545	57.3	406	42.6	951	100.0	959	66.6	479	33.3	1438	100.0	611	54.6	507	45.3	1118	100.0
STOUGHTON	25	26.0	71	73.9	96	100.0	36	24.1	113	75.8	149	100.0	54	19.4	224	80.5	278	100.0
TAUNTON	223	67.5	107	32.4	330	100.0	218	61.9	134	38.0	352	100.0	93	38.1	151	61.8	244	100.0
UXBRIDGE	6	85.7	1	14.2	7	100.0	9	69.2	4	30.7	13	100.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	10	100.0
WALTHAM	76	98.7	1	1.2	77	100.0	91	98.9	1	1.0	92	100.0	84	67.2	41	32.8	125	100.0
WARE	0	0.0	5	100.0	5	100.0	18	16.8	89	83.1	107	100.0	23	23.0	77	77.0	100	100.0
WAREHAM	44	8.9	450	91.0	494	100.0	27	4.7	540	95.2	567	100.0	98	35.3	179	64.5	277	100.0
WEST ROXBURY	2	5.7	33	94.2	35	100.0	120	58.2	86	41.7	206	100.0	63	52.0	58	47.9	121	100.0
WESTBOROUGH	93	39.4	143	60.5	236	100.0	114	51.3	108	48.6	222	100.0	60	44.1	76	55.8	136	100.0
WESTFIELD	4	50.0	4	50.0	8	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	7	31.8	15	63.1	22	100.0
WINCHENDON	8	22.2	28	77.7	36	100.0	19	51.3	18	48.6	37	100.0	10	32.2	21	67.7	31	100.0
WOBURN	140	45.4	168	54.5	308	100.0	144	41.7	201	58.2	345	100.0	117	43.0	155	56.9	272	100.0
WORCESTER	60	47.2	67	52.7	127	100.0	62	67.3	30	32.6	92	100.0	109	36.8	187	63.1	296	100.0
WRENTHAM	20	86.9	3	13.0	23	100.0	54	81.8	12	18.1	66	100.0	39	29.5	93	70.4	132	100.0
TOTALS:	5556	41.9	7688	58.0	13244	100.0	7772	43.8	9933	56.1	17705	100.0	5456	35.1	10081	64.8	15537	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	83175	82044	88064	1.35- %	7.33 %	5.87 %
AMESBURY	88430	101901	108338	15.23 %	6.31 %	22.51 %
ATTLEBORO	324155	371727	391155	14.67 %	5.22 %	20.66 %
AYER	287550	272995	314387	5.06- %	15.16 %	9.33 %
BARNSTABLE	278418	344546	453681	23.75 %	31.67 %	62.94 %
BOSTON	184359	197158	267219	6.94 %	35.53 %	44.94 %
BRIGHTON	109647	131084	149687	19.55 %	14.19 %	36.51 %
BROCKTON	383539	472751	471444	23.26 %	0.27- %	22.91 %
BROOKLINE	121180	119384	124367	1.48- %	4.17 %	2.62 %
CAMBRIDGE	582891	595468	655585	2.15 %	10.09 %	12.47 %
CHARLESTOWN	101650	98742	95195	2.36- %	3.59- %	6.35- %
CHELSEA	196177	223361	240038	13.85 %	7.46 %	22.35 %
CHICOPEE	127200	143652	174987	12.93 %	21.81 %	37.56 %
CLINTON	218543	230645	202843	5.53 %	12.05- %	7.18- %
CONCORD	313242	355427	406558	13.46 %	14.38 %	29.79 %
DEDHAM	257038	309829	349759	20.53 %	12.88 %	36.07 %
DORCHESTER	3441107	4521863	4651820	31.40 %	2.87 %	35.13 %
DUDLEY	472582	508640	558827	7.62 %	9.86 %	18.24 %
EAST BOSTON	182979	208691	217608	14.55 %	4.27 %	18.92 %
EEGARTOWN	38569	32676	50987	15.27- %	56.03 %	32.19 %
FALL RIVER	239894	287662	317073	19.91 %	10.22 %	32.17 %
FITCHBURG	243703	260648	317377	6.95 %	21.76 %	30.23 %
FRAMINGHAM	316460	328543	375214	3.81 %	14.20 %	18.56 %
GARDNER	163441	171485	216752	4.92 %	26.39 %	32.61 %
GLOUCESTER	148281	179835	227590	21.27 %	26.55 %	53.48 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	128773	139405	139205	8.25 %	0.14- %	8.10 %
GREENFIELD	140271	170917	197181	21.94 %	15.36 %	40.57 %
HAVERHILL	170238	234519	302765	37.75 %	29.10 %	77.84 %
HINGHAM	194858	201459	280380	3.38 %	39.17 %	43.88 %
HOLYOKE	550162	527956	568956	4.03- %	7.76 %	3.41 %
IPSWICH	31961	36968	63974	15.56 %	73.05 %	100.15 %
LAWRENCE	861670	1242802	1438955	44.23 %	15.78 %	66.99 %
LEE	103517	119914	86475	15.33 %	27.88- %	16.46- %
LEOMINSTER	317965	329953	417709	3.77 %	26.59 %	31.36 %
LOWELL	781585	944719	1180652	20.87 %	24.97 %	51.05 %
LYNN	447010	539129	661615	20.50 %	22.71 %	48.00 %
MALDEN	446015	467692	546609	4.96 %	16.87 %	22.55 %
MARLBOROUGH	277914	280678	310928	0.99 %	10.77 %	11.87 %
MILFORD	179346	225186	250832	25.55 %	11.38 %	39.85 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
NANTUCKET	21344	23153	24021	8.47 %	3.74 %	12.54 %
NATICK	50007	65781	65723	31.54 %	0.08 %	31.42 %
NEW BEDFORD	326857	360528	378651	10.30 %	5.02 %	15.84 %
NEWBURYPORT	97730	120913	128061	23.72 %	5.91 %	31.03 %
NEWTON	190711	206863	212974	8.46 %	2.95 %	11.67 %
NORTH ADAMS	167644	180345	190033	7.57 %	5.37 %	13.35 %
NORTHAMPTON	393086	475964	472189	21.08 %	0.79 %	20.12 %
ORANGE	54829	75286	91857	37.31 %	22.01 %	67.53 %
ORLEANS	173671	191000	240422	9.97 %	25.87 %	38.43 %
PALMER	185253	202682	242672	9.40 %	19.73 %	30.99 %
PEABODY	146138	151749	148965	3.83 %	1.83 %	1.93 %
PITTSFIELD	335447	354384	376921	5.64 %	6.35 %	12.36 %
PLYMOUTH	221890	277757	353707	25.17 %	27.34 %	59.40 %
QUINCY	493201	644131	840130	30.60 %	30.42 %	70.34 %
ROXBURY	345092	508542	593875	47.36 %	16.77 %	72.09 %
SALEM	300062	399130	488946	33.01 %	22.50 %	62.94 %
SOMERVILLE	390973	415842	497040	6.36 %	19.52 %	27.12 %
SOUTH BOSTON	176082	194443	209997	10.42 %	7.99 %	19.26 %
SPENCER	126596	110208	159327	12.94 %	44.56 %	25.85 %
SPRINGFIELD	933264	1277526	1508515	36.88 %	18.08 %	61.63 %
STOUGHTON	92354	188055	218794	103.62 %	16.34 %	136.90 %
TAUNTON	239089	267798	299002	12.00 %	11.65 %	25.05 %
UXBRIDGE	182339	194767	232806	6.81 %	19.53 %	27.67 %
WALTHAM	258360	311218	351856	20.45 %	13.05 %	36.18 %
WARE	29612	37138	67192	25.41 %	80.92 %	126.90 %
WAREHAM	171467	183661	227386	10.02 %	20.52 %	32.61 %
WEST ROXBURY	169410	332835	454891	96.46 %	36.67 %	168.51 %
WESTBOROUGH	214856	220036	229858	2.41 %	4.46 %	6.98 %
WESTFIELD	132678	143740	170155	8.33 %	18.37 %	28.24 %
WINCHENDON	31413	40121	56682	27.72 %	41.27 %	80.44 %
WOBURN	514892	502624	559144	2.38 %	11.24 %	8.59 %
WORCESTER	610569	775370	1145320	26.99 %	47.71 %	87.58 %
WRENTHAM	378736	429232	426452	13.33 %	0.64 %	12.59 %
TOTALS	21691147	25879876	29536355	19.31 %	14.12 %	36.15 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1982	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	5000	3794	4086	24.12% X	7.69% X	18.23% X
AMESBURY	26773	67954	68767	153.81% X	1.19% X	156.85% X
ATTEBORO	14972	19575	27287	30.74% X	39.39% X	82.25% X
AYER	9710	5618	9995	42.14% X	77.91% X	2.93% X
BARNSTABLE	120227	116138	82119	3.40% X	29.29% X	31.69% X
BOSTON	230	68	310	70.43% X	355.88% X	34.73% X
BRIGHTON	32383	26594	25982	17.87% X	2.30% X	19.76% X
BROCKTON	70482	98194	139468	39.31% X	42.03% X	97.87% X
BROOKLINE	26953	48492	51040	79.91% X	5.25% X	89.36% X
CAMBRIDGE	44994	53894	53023	19.78% X	1.61% X	17.84% X
CHARLESTOWN	17501	27288	45321	53.92% X	66.08% X	158.96% X
CHELSEA	75212	65235	74288	13.26% X	13.87% X	1.22% X
CHICOPEE	17732	17404	12698	1.84% X	27.03% X	28.38% X
CLINTON	19019	21067	19154	10.76% X	9.08% X	0.70% X
CONCORD	22286	25217	21516	13.15% X	14.67% X	3.45% X
DEDHAM	55775	57050	69222	2.28% X	21.33% X	24.10% X
DORCHESTER	134808	162194	156485	20.31% X	3.51% X	16.07% X
DUDLEY	38572	16916	19790	56.14% X	16.98% X	48.69% X
EAST BOSTON	25189	32042	31810	27.20% X	0.72% X	26.28% X
EDGARTOWN	18853	12662	13963	32.83% X	10.27% X	25.93% X
FALL RIVER	35241	25426	22220	27.95% X	12.60% X	36.94% X
FITCHBURG	15384	11760	10554	23.55% X	10.25% X	31.39% X
FRAMINGHAM	43687	49079	44193	12.34% X	9.95% X	1.15% X
GARDNER	4364	3552	5450	95.96% X	36.27% X	24.88% X
GLOUCESTER	29682	34169	36790	15.11% X	7.67% X	23.94% X
GREAT BARRINGTON	4505	4088	4420	9.25% X	8.12% X	1.88% X
GREENFIELD	18123	14590	16084	19.49% X	10.23% X	11.25% X
HAVERHILL	18229	15671	13641	14.03% X	12.95% X	25.16% X
HINGHAM	79921	82437	55906	3.14% X	32.18% X	30.04% X
HOLYOKE	8160	13771	12819	68.76% X	6.91% X	57.09% X
IPSWICH	7844	6740	3978	14.07% X	40.97% X	49.28% X
LAWRENCE	64130	64053	58472	0.12% X	8.71% X	8.82% X
LEE	10110	6735	6443	33.38% X	4.33% X	36.27% X
LEOMINSTER	38877	44975	22629	15.68% X	49.68% X	41.79% X
LOWELL	0	15342	88042	0.00% **	473.86% X	0.00% **
LYNN	22519	55316	45405	145.64% X	17.91% X	101.62% X
MALDEN	0	1551	692	0.00% **	55.38% X	0.00% **
MARLBOROUGH	15233	15305	13062	3.47% X	14.65% X	14.25% X
MILFORD	40276	47700	27118	18.43% X	43.14% X	32.66% X

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1982	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
NANTUCKET	4810	3521	5035	26.79- %	42.99 %	4.67 %
NATICK	11522	14535	12815	26.14 %	11.83- %	11.22 %
NEW BEDFORD	1935	655	1330	66.14- %	103.05 %	31.26- %
NEWBURYPORT	5110	8854	2110	73.26 %	76.16- %	58.70- %
NEWTON	16580	16195	11613	2.32- %	28.29- %	29.95- %
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	7615	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NORTHAMPTON	77005	58639	61609	23.35- %	5.06 %	19.99- %
ORANGE	11300	12746	13490	12.79 %	5.83 %	19.38 %
ORLEANS	87067	82879	70522	4.31- %	14.90- %	19.00- %
PALMER	13703	22500	12620	64.19 %	43.91- %	7.90- %
PEABODY	41006	36190	31911	11.74- %	11.82- %	22.17- %
PITTSFIELD	24723	19969	17096	19.22- %	14.38- %	30.84- %
PLYMOUTH	31087	30095	24875	3.19- %	17.34- %	19.98- %
QUINCY	98199	93936	103114	4.34- %	9.77 %	5.00 %
ROXBURY	37491	58439	41052	55.87 %	29.75- %	9.49 %
SALEM	55170	42635	34950	22.72- %	18.02- %	36.65- %
SOMERVILLE	24383	39442	30013	61.76 %	23.90- %	23.08 %
SOUTH BOSTON	42430	30650	31894	27.76- %	4.05 %	24.83- %
SPENCER	32051	8297	8887	74.11- %	7.11 %	72.27- %
SPRINGFIELD	72061	78683	70396	9.18 %	10.53- %	2.31- %
STOUGHTON	11292	11790	17920	4.41 %	51.99 %	58.69 %
TAUNTON	34845	34161	40467	1.96- %	18.45 %	16.13 %
UXBRIDGE	35261	19197	17770	45.55- %	7.43- %	49.60- %
WALTHAM	14978	28194	10729	88.23 %	61.94- %	28.36- %
WARE	3330	1990	3725	40.24- %	87.18 %	11.86 %
WAREHAM	41007	46402	42252	13.15 %	8.94- %	3.03 %
WEST ROXBURY	40130	52651	48638	31.20 %	7.62- %	21.20 %
WESTBOROUGH	12140	13822	13520	13.85 %	2.18- %	11.36 %
WESTFIELD	20751	17897	15960	13.75- %	10.82- %	23.08- %
WINCHENDON	1980	1275	1140	35.60- %	10.58- %	42.42- %
WOBURN	0	18418	7490	0.00 **	59.33- %	0.00 **
WORCESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WRENTHAM	59030	35480	26457	39.89- %	25.43- %	55.18- %
TOTALS	2195333	2334793	2253257	6.35 %	3.49- %	2.63 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC, 1982	FINES JAN-DEC, 1983	FINES JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	9602	10176	12397	5.97 %	21.82 %	29.10 %
AMESBURY	66760	84907	68377	27.18 %	19.46 %	2.42 %
ATTLEBORO	74221	81746	91965	10.13 %	12.50 %	23.90 %
AYER	35636	47220	46045	32.50 %	2.48 %	29.20 %
BARNSTABLE	81799	117320	188970	43.42 %	61.57 %	131.01 %
BOSTON	45087	48734	48587	8.08 %	0.30 %	7.76 %
BRIGHTON	8444	10522	17481	24.60 %	66.13 %	107.02 %
BROCKTON	64003	98827	139602	54.40 %	41.25 %	118.11 %
BROOKLINE	31941	25150	30034	21.26 %	19.41 %	5.97 %
CAMBRIDGE	90278	87296	87817	3.30 %	0.59 %	2.72 %
CHARLESTOWN	6249	7923	22790	26.78 %	187.64 %	264.69 %
CHELSEA	70468	90328	116785	28.18 %	29.28 %	65.72 %
CHICOPEE	18194	16869	25347	7.17 %	50.07 %	39.31 %
CLINTON	60411	66425	81339	9.95 %	22.45 %	34.64 %
CONCORD	53324	75051	80368	40.74 %	7.08 %	50.71 %
DEDHAM	48400	35120	47595	27.43 %	35.52 %	1.66 %
DORCHESTER	6953	7463	13486	7.33 %	80.70 %	93.95 %
DUDLEY	73075	77898	69499	6.60 %	10.78 %	4.89 %
EAST BOSTON	25376	45498	50431	79.29 %	10.84 %	98.73 %
EDGARTOWN	5470	9769	14257	78.59 %	45.94 %	160.63 %
FALL RIVER	74336	76623	97884	3.07 %	27.74 %	31.67 %
FITCHBURG	54819	52575	72323	4.09 %	37.56 %	31.93 %
FRAMINGHAM	154902	126782	148220	18.15 %	16.90 %	4.31 %
GARDNER	53951	66869	71531	23.94 %	6.97 %	32.58 %
GLOUCESTER	62284	73560	95056	18.10 %	29.22 %	52.61 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	12496	9871	13056	21.00 %	32.26 %	4.48 %
GREENFIELD	29035	33898	24968	16.74 %	26.34 %	14.00 %
HAVERHILL	46142	38833	45730	15.34 %	17.76 %	0.89 %
HINGHAM	98954	168856	195947	70.64 %	16.04 %	98.01 %
HOLYOKE	41720	45733	39422	9.51 %	13.79 %	5.50 %
IPSWICH	11380	19787	14142	73.87 %	28.52 %	24.27 %
LAWRENCE	132568	138410	159412	4.40 %	15.17 %	20.24 %
LEE	19051	14752	20504	22.56 %	38.99 %	7.62 %
LEOMINSTER	80886	130178	152881	60.94 %	17.43 %	89.00 %
LOWELL	264127	246612	173413	6.63 %	29.68 %	34.34 %
LYNN	144889	120748	164215	16.56 %	35.99 %	13.33 %
MALDEN	50131	45075	59730	10.08 %	32.51 %	19.14 %
MARLBOROUGH	60406	55866	81705	7.48 %	46.19 %	35.25 %
MILFORD	29999	44824	42150	49.41 %	5.96 %	40.50 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES, SURFINES IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC, 1982	FINES JAN-DEC, 1983	FINES JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
NANTUCKET	1407	1005	1255	28.57% X	24.87% X	10.80% X
NATICK	21257	20615	29085	3.02% X	41.08% X	36.82% X
NEW BEDFORD	99145	128393	158774	29.50% X	23.66% X	60.14% X
NEWBURYPORT	32225	27575	21366	14.42% X	22.51% X	33.69% X
NEWTON	24713	26332	30225	6.55% X	14.78% X	22.30% X
NORTH ADAMS	37514	31777	24770	15.29% X	22.05% X	33.97% X
NORTHAMPTON	74793	111101	117984	48.54% X	6.19% X	57.74% X
ORANGE	16833	21138	18881	25.57% X	10.67% X	12.15% X
ORLEANS	56767	62047	98102	9.30% X	58.10% X	72.81% X
PALMER	22724	23912	25237	5.22% X	5.54% X	11.05% X
PEABODY	71668	73294	106361	2.26% X	45.11% X	48.40% X
PITTSFIELD	55298	46778	47418	15.40% X	1.36% X	14.25% X
PLYMOUTH	44259	58831	73441	32.92% X	24.83% X	65.93% X
QUINCY	89818	127199	150849	41.61% X	18.59% X	67.94% X
ROXBURY	29167	41407	38124	41.96% X	7.92% X	30.70% X
SALEM	80781	80286	82570	0.61% X	2.84% X	2.21% X
SOMERVILLE	106177	114153	122901	13.95% X	7.66% X	22.69% X
SOUTH BOSTON	18899	15767	12732	16.57% X	19.24% X	32.63% X
SPENCER	25436	53504	53158	110.34% X	0.64% X	108.98% X
SPRINGFIELD	279153	187914	250780	32.68% X	33.45% X	10.15% X
STOUGHTON	43806	58482	74655	33.50% X	27.65% X	70.42% X
TAUNTON	72540	110387	152197	52.17% X	37.87% X	109.81% X
UXBRIDGE	49141	55147	55179	12.22% X	0.05% X	12.28% X
WALTHAM	57994	83145	99620	43.36% X	19.81% X	71.77% X
WARE	3905	5496	18958	40.74% X	244.94% X	385.48% X
WAREHAM	54461	92909	127967	70.59% X	37.73% X	134.95% X
WEST ROXBURY	12361	8388	10986	32.14% X	30.97% X	11.12% X
WESTBOROUGH	69710	104072	113191	49.29% X	8.76% X	62.37% X
WESTFIELD	21675	23862	34833	10.08% X	45.97% X	60.70% X
WINCHENDON	13288	9483	16418	28.63% X	73.13% X	23.55% X
WOBURN	152760	132792	163231	13.07% X	22.92% X	6.85% X
WORCESTER	265971	366966	416642	37.97% X	13.53% X	56.64% X
WRENTHAM	69611	85432	107881	22.72% X	26.27% X	54.97% X
TOTALS	4367024	4943723	5781232	13.20% X	16.94% X	32.33% X

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	3286	4030	3303	22.64 %	18.03 %	0.51 %
AMESBURY	10725	16876	15057	57.35 %	10.77 %	40.39 %
ATTLEBORO	35993	51373	74116	42.73 %	44.27 %	105.91 %
AYER	167495	154237	143331	7.91 %	7.07 %	14.42 %
BARNSTABLE	115069	147343	164107	28.04 %	11.37 %	42.61 %
BOSTON	123275	171365	180320	39.01 %	5.22 %	46.27 %
BRIGHTON	46613	42135	42758	9.60 %	1.47 %	8.27 %
BROCKTON	142054	156372	232975	10.07 %	48.98 %	64.00 %
BROOKLINE	17775	34157	76728	92.16 %	124.63 %	331.66 %
CAMBRIDGE	134400	142072	196460	5.70 %	38.28 %	46.17 %
CHARLESTOWN	8456	7629	14011	9.78 %	83.65 %	65.69 %
CHELSEA	31643	85299	84163	169.56 %	1.33 %	165.97 %
CHICOPEE	29334	36892	36694	25.76 %	0.53 %	25.09 %
CLINTON	22594	23640	30482	4.62 %	28.94 %	34.91 %
CONCORD	56788	62147	42080	9.43 %	32.28 %	25.89 %
DEDHAM	71177	73735	99886	3.59 %	35.46 %	40.33 %
DORCHESTER	82562	124126	325891	50.34 %	162.54 %	294.72 %
DUDLEY	50868	74516	53191	46.48 %	28.61 %	4.56 %
EAST BOSTON	47285	65931	92447	39.43 %	40.21 %	95.51 %
EDGARTOWN	15120	22163	15062	46.58 %	32.03 %	0.38 %
FALL RIVER	63561	81428	75530	28.11 %	7.24 %	18.83 %
FITCHBURG	27725	38903	32026	40.31 %	17.67 %	15.51 %
FRAMINGHAM	124249	173734	146153	39.82 %	15.87 %	17.62 %
GARDNER	23302	30179	35448	29.51 %	17.45 %	52.12 %
GLOUCESTER	20284	42635	31700	110.19 %	25.64 %	56.28 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	8060	12072	18967	49.77 %	57.11 %	135.32 %
GREENFIELD	26501	26772	26153	1.02 %	2.31 %	1.31 %
HAVERHILL	37798	52542	56109	39.00 %	6.78 %	48.44 %
HINGHAM	76120	80606	104587	5.89 %	29.75 %	37.39 %
HOLYOKE	57949	72812	92961	25.54 %	27.67 %	60.41 %
IPSWICH	9705	8799	39334	9.33 %	347.02 %	305.29 %
LAWRENCE	127408	122448	130938	3.89 %	6.93 %	2.77 %
LEE	10460	13612	9352	30.13 %	31.29 %	10.59 %
LEOMINSTER	43248	63618	73998	47.10 %	16.31 %	71.10 %
LOWELL	161689	141283	128859	12.62 %	8.79 %	20.30 %
LYNN	84080	112541	172687	33.84 %	53.44 %	105.38 %
MALDEN	89607	98571	100412	10.00 %	1.86 %	12.05 %
MARLBOROUGH	32885	54544	62361	65.86 %	14.33 %	89.63 %
MILFORD	41483	29559	37894	28.74 %	28.19 %	8.65 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS IN THE DISTRICT/COSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
NANTUCKET	2843	7869	5839	176.78 %	25.79 %	105.38 %
NATICK	25530	43808	52686	71.59 %	20.26 %	106.36 %
NEW BEDFORD	65592	65879	83259	0.43 %	26.38 %	26.93 %
NEWBURYPORT	17361	24433	36107	40.73 %	47.77 %	107.97 %
NEWTON	41565	51510	75661	23.92 %	46.88 %	82.03 %
NORTH ADAMS	10349	15374	15387	48.55 %	0.08 %	48.68 %
NORTHAMPTON	65602	88265	85015	34.54 %	3.68 %	29.59 %
ORANGE	16098	17383	20434	7.98 %	17.55 %	26.93 %
ORLEANS	47900	46662	51075	2.58 %	9.45 %	6.62 %
PALMER	30860	30476	26992	1.24 %	11.43 %	12.53 %
PEABODY	59106	43810	56033	25.87 %	27.90 %	5.19 %
PITTSFIELD	61270	73502	59221	19.96 %	19.42 %	3.34 %
PLYMOUTH	87466	87302	101623	0.18 %	16.40 %	16.18 %
QUINCY	230480	235428	316050	2.14 %	34.24 %	37.12 %
ROXBURY	118149	219779	262471	86.01 %	19.42 %	122.15 %
SALEM	73088	75801	83054	3.71 %	9.56 %	13.63 %
SOMERVILLE	90915	101698	118451	11.96 %	16.47 %	30.28 %
SOUTH BOSTON	55060	58834	67752	6.35 %	15.15 %	23.05 %
SPENCER	28495	23929	26712	16.02 %	11.63 %	6.25 %
SPRINGFIELD	228746	173219	176151	24.27 %	1.69 %	22.99 %
STOUGHTON	51182	56198	72420	9.80 %	28.86 %	41.49 %
TAUNTON	51920	70109	88265	35.03 %	25.89 %	70.00 %
UXBRIDGE	20768	18997	22934	8.52 %	20.72 %	10.42 %
WALTHAM	71173	66589	73963	6.44 %	11.07 %	3.92 %
WARE	6676	3116	12637	53.32 %	305.55 %	89.28 %
WAREHAM	50884	53693	63003	5.52 %	17.33 %	23.81 %
WEST ROXBURY	77232	118434	138443	53.34 %	16.89 %	79.25 %
WESTBOROUGH	50253	51267	56256	2.01 %	9.73 %	11.94 %
WESTFIELD	19457	25561	21822	31.37 %	14.62 %	12.15 %
WINCHENDEN	2881	6310	7392	119.02 %	17.14 %	156.57 %
WOBURN	142611	165859	238037	16.30 %	43.51 %	66.91 %
WORCESTER	138660	175122	187506	26.29 %	7.07 %	35.22 %
WRENTHAM	80411	92234	89132	12.21 %	1.22 %	10.84 %
TOTALS	4399209	5139146	6090314	16.91 %	18.50 %	38.44 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 240 COURT FEE IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS*

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	9435	5780	6890	38.73% X	19.20 X	26.97% X
AMESBURY	21585	3265	200	84.87% X	93.87% X	99.07% X
ATTLEBORO	93060	69727	69393	25.07% X	0.47% X	25.43% X
AYER	30950	41905	56070	35.39 X	33.80 X	81.16 X
BARNSTABLE	90803	90044	117343	0.83% X	30.31 X	29.22 X
BOSTON	15865	8625	13645	45.63% X	58.20 X	13.99% X
BRIGHTON	19439	8094	17260	58.36% X	113.24 X	11.20% X
BROCKTON	69110	67845	80553	1.83% X	18.73 X	16.55 X
BROOKLINE	13045	9000	22298	31.00% X	147.75 X	70.93 X
CAMBRIDGE	54527	52903	68232	2.97% X	28.97 X	25.13 X
CHARLESTOWN	11650	27182	38635	133.32 X	42.13 X	231.63 X
CHELSEA	74980	83135	99830	10.87 X	20.08 X	33.14 X
CHICOPEE	12360	13705	22565	10.88 X	64.64 X	82.56 X
CLINTON	32920	40287	40515	22.37 X	0.56 X	23.07 X
CONCORD	120786	111233	91455	7.90% X	17.78% X	24.28% X
DEDHAM	72054	90355	109486	25.39 X	21.17 X	51.94 X
DORCHESTER	13593	11681	24020	14.06% X	105.63 X	76.70 X
DUDLEY	63102	65755	56525	4.20 X	14.03% X	10.42% X
EAST BOSTON	7201	9907	11678	37.57 X	17.87 X	62.17 X
EDGARTOWN	14505	12925	14398	10.89% X	11.39 X	0.73% X
FALL RIVER	59850	51694	53322	13.62% X	3.14 X	10.90% X
FITCHBURG	26372	13150	25540	50.13% X	94.22 X	3.15% X
FRAMINGHAM	95778	99752	98355	4.14 X	1.40% X	2.69 X
GARDNEF	25310	21410	21555	15.40% X	0.67 X	14.83% X
GLOUCESTER	23825	22960	18700	3.63% X	18.55% X	21.51% X
GREAT BARRINGTON	5407	7155	9467	32.32 X	32.31 X	75.08 X
GREENFIELD	32535	44275	62700	36.08 X	41.61 X	92.71 X
HAVERHILL	39838	33635	34935	15.57% X	3.86 X	12.30% X
HINGHAM	97220	110090	109436	13.23 X	0.59% X	12.56 X
HOLYOKE	10500	20945	23040	99.47 X	10.00 X	119.42 X
IPSWICH	8405	10680	8625	27.06 X	19.24% X	2.61 X
LAWRENCE	104859	90702	99004	13.50% X	9.15 X	5.58% X
LEE	14800	10035	9285	32.56% X	7.47% X	37.60% X
LEOMINSTER	28799	23000	32000	20.13% X	39.13 X	11.11 X
LOWELL	101535	29935	125691	70.51% X	319.87 X	23.79 X
LYNN	51843	69291	81787	33.65 X	18.03 X	57.75 X
MALDEN	96781	86950	91900	10.15% X	5.69 X	5.04% X
MARLBOROUGH	30487	53842	36187	93.00 X	38.50% X	18.69 X
MILFORD	23233	15069	34789	35.13% X	130.86 X	49.73 X

* 1982 TOTAL REFLECTS DUIL PROGRAM FEES AND 1983 TOTAL REFLECTS A COMBINATION OF DUIL PROGRAM FEES AND COURT FEES. 1984 TOTAL INCLUDES COURT FEES ONLY.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DUIL 240 COURT FEE IN THE DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS*

COURT NAME	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	DUIL COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
NANTUCKET	8615	8055	8470	6.50- %	5.15 %	1.68- %
NATICK	20470	16705	15500	18.39- %	7.21- %	24.27- %
NEW BEDFORD	56000	48630	58601	13.16- %	20.50 %	4.64 %
NEWBURYPORT	39629	45105	45041	13.81 %	0.14- %	13.65 %
NEWTON	29725	29070	31335	2.20- %	7.79 %	5.41 %
NORTH ADAMS	22764	20880	15637	8.27- %	25.11- %	31.30- %
NORTHAMPTON	85479	83402	87260	2.42- %	4.62 %	2.08 %
ORANGE	11700	15600	11380	33.33 %	27.05- %	2.73- %
ORLEANS	39672	63450	81140	59.93 %	27.88 %	104.52 %
PALMER	47015	40470	48880	13.92- %	20.78 %	3.95 %
PEABODY	55420	50795	61587	8.34- %	21.24 %	11.12 %
PITTSFIELD	29330	30545	35139	4.14 %	15.04 %	19.80 %
PLYMOUTH	45868	49124	44823	7.09 %	8.75- %	2.27- %
QUINCY	116243	93319	97249	19.72- %	4.21 %	16.33- %
ROXBURY	26261	10452	42124	60.19- %	303.02 %	60.40 %
SALEM	77135	70310	78489	8.34- %	11.63 %	1.75 %
SOMERVILLE	43780	40395	55125	7.73- %	36.46 %	25.91 %
SOUTH BOSTON	8005	9590	13390	19.30 %	39.62 %	67.27 %
SPENCER	46480	38485	34116	17.20- %	11.35- %	26.60- %
SPRINGFIELD	121593	150878	127389	24.08 %	15.56- %	4.76 %
STOUGHTON	40178	43499	38007	8.26 %	12.62- %	5.40- %
TAUNTON	69070	63922	75115	7.45- %	17.51 %	8.75 %
UXBRIDGE	20560	29622	49009	44.07 %	65.44 %	138.37 %
WALTHAM	58205	131307	82303	125.59 %	37.32- %	41.40 %
WARE	5675	6495	17560	14.44 %	170.36 %	209.42 %
WAREHAM	51385	50303	45124	2.10- %	10.29- %	12.18- %
WEST ROXBURY	11450	13140	16000	14.75 %	21.76 %	39.73 %
WESTBOROUGH	47525	59175	67215	24.51 %	13.58 %	41.43 %
WESTFIELD	29270	28425	31350	2.88- %	10.29 %	7.10 %
WINCHESTER	2740	1450	1550	47.08- %	6.89 %	43.43- %
WOBURN	94910	210465	227778	121.77 %	8.21 %	139.99 %
WORCESTER	127840	113100	153450	11.53- %	35.67 %	20.03 %
WRENTHAM	55932	44716	63309	20.05- %	41.58 %	13.18 %

** TOTALS ** 3264351 3353822 3797754 2.74 % 13.23 % 16.34 %

* 1982 TOTAL REFLECTS DUIL PROGRAM FEES AND 1983 TOTAL REFLECTS A COMBINATION OF DUIL PROGRAM FEES AND COURT FEES. 1984 TOTAL INCLUDES COURT FEES ONLY.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE DISTRICT/ BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1282	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1283	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE #82-83	PERCENT CHANGE #83-84	PERCENT CHANGE #82-84
ADAMS	0	0	350	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
AMESBURY	0	0	2575	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
ATTLEBORO	0	0	6510	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
AYER	0	0	150	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
BARNSTABLE	0	0	24278	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
BOSTON	0	0	27752	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
BRIGHTON	0	0	10040	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
BROCKTON	0	0	2390	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
EROOKLINE	0	0	5783	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
CAMBRIDGE	0	0	13660	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	1310	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
CHELSEA	0	0	1690	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
CHICPEE	0	0	4005	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
CLINTON	0	0	635	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
CONCORD	0	0	6050	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
DEDHAM	0	0	8948	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
DORCHESTER	0	0	29907	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
DUDLEY	0	0	5614	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
EAST BOSTON	0	0	225	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
EDGARTOWN	0	0	900	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
FALL RIVER	0	0	1673	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
FITCHBURG	0	0	1325	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
FRAMINGHAM	0	0	10050	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
GARDNER	0	0	410	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
GLoucester	0	0	4537	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	2030	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
GREENFIELD	0	0	1545	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
HAVERHILL	0	0	4405	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
HINGHAM	0	0	5558	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
HOLYCKE	0	0	21858	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
IPSWICH	0	0	1625	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
LAWRENCE	0	0	10950	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
LEE	0	0	2467	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
LEOMINSTER	0	0	100	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
LOWELL	0	0	30404	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
LYNN	0	0	7215	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
MALDEN	0	0	10831	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
MARLBOROUGH	0	0	8130	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
MILFORD	0	0	700	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NANTUCKET	0	0	450	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE IN THE DISTRICT/ BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS

COURT NAME	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1282	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1283	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-1284	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE, 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE, 82-84
NATICK	0	0	2175	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NEW BEDFORD	0	0	7375	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	3305	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NEWTON	0	0	4440	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	2485	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
NORTHAMPTON	0	0	22865	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
ORANGE	0	0	3150	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
ORLEANS	0	0	9430	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
PALMER	0	0	5480	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
PEABODY	0	0	3460	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
PITTSFIELD	0	0	6185	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
PLYMOUTH	0	0	2415	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
QUINCY	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ROXBURY	0	0	5553	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
SALEM	0	0	2665	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
SOMERVILLE	0	0	7700	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	12528	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
SPENCER	0	0	8997	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
SPRINGFIELD	0	0	22185	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
STOUGHTON	0	0	1846	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
TAUNTON	0	0	11015	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
UXBRIDGE	0	0	665	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WALTHAM	0	0	9415	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WARE	0	0	3495	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WAREHAM	0	0	8339	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	5715	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
WESTFIELD	0	0	6810	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WINCHENDON	0	0	100	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WOBURN	0	0	17825	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WORCESTER	0	0	100	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
WRENTHAM	0	0	5375	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
 TOTAL	 0	 0	 482,128	 0.0 %	 0.0 %	 0.0 %

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS
1984

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Adams			0	45	110	80	185	185	255	140	160	120	1280
Amesbury			25	185	395	705	780	1315	1090	1055	660	520	6730
Attleboro			0	0	60	365	990	1405	1880	1965	1988	1550	10203
Ayer			0	285	955	1510	1570	2005	1525	1890	2370	1148	13258
Barnstable			0	30	255	480	1160	1945	2190	2805	1805	1722	12392
Boston			0	65	370	580	582	670	623	805	695	460	4850
Brighton			0	125	210	300	668	742	610	750	795	520	4720
Brockton			15	210	235	1050	1320	1990	2020	2535	1905	1330	12610
Brookline			0	0	30	45	95	310	290	540	390	600	2300
Cambridge			40	120	769	1204	1555	1670	1790	1965	1715	1855	12683
Charlestown			0	75	65	215	320	510	590	635	430	570	3410
Chelsea			0	25	70	150	575	1155	1115	1195	1075	955	6315
Chicopee			0	0	135	310	510	635	400	420	676	565	3651
Clinton			0	140	180	440	950	795	920	655	990	1170	6240
Concord			15	115	555	960	1215	1300	1220	1430	1350	990	9150
Dedham			0	0	415	800	935	1390	1245	1490	1660	1160	9095
Dorchester			0	550	1122	1160	768	1450	1348	1484	1527	1407	10816
Dudley			0	130	305	585	740	1121	685	1090	1080	892	6628
East Boston			0	45	40	290	460	700	700	956	819	920	4930
Edgartown			0	0	25	0	55	95	150	100	120	120	665
Fall River			0	0	60	25	180	505	985	1580	1950	1775	7060
Fitchburg			0	40	225	595	790	970	855	900	1212	890	6477
Framingham			15	150	910	910	1350	1524	1586	2595	2490	2390	13920
Gardner			15	480	705	830	805	995	835	980	895	730	7270
Gloucester			0	150	615	855	880	1605	1755	1373	1252	1332	9817
Grt. Barrington			0	15	0	85	140	165	145	240	255	200	1245
Greenfield			0	50	30	270	470	745	625	705	700	550	4145
Haverhill			0	25	25	55	300	215	465	390	555	550	2580
Hingham			0	15	230	760	2045	1860	2195	3235	2250	2470	15060
Holyoke			0	15	200	240	405	620	630	900	785	850	4645
Ipswich			0	45	30	0	0	130	120	100	110	220	755
Lawrence			0	55	270	540	830	1615	1440	1640	1855	2053	10298
Lee			0	0	15	80	335	265	375	415	380	570	2435
Leominster			0	75	340	760	770	1100	1290	1015	1400	980	7730
Lowell			75	745	1650	1500	2329	3077	2942	3884	3835	2960	22997
Lynn			15	90	180	595	1035	1560	1580	2147	1705	1655	10562
Malden			0	15	0	0	0	45	70	185	45	100	460
Marlboro			30	145	60	180	570	725	730	1025	850	745	5060
Milford			0	20	45	55	375	850	1090	1010	1405	1265	6115
Nantucket			0	0	0	25	90	285	50	15	25	105	595
Natick			0	0	75	230	300	160	595	430	530	190	2510
New Bedford			30	135	440	830	1485	1755	1380	1910	2220	2365	12550
Newburyport			0	0	0	105	205	130	245	170	285	305	1445

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
DISTRICT/BOSTON MUNICIPAL COURT DEPARTMENTS
1984

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Newton			0	0	45	155	255	170	145	384	495	345	1994
North Adams			0	0	120	155	200	365	335	310	365	220	2070
Northampton			0	100	575	772	700	765	1423	1975	2080	1870	10260
Orange			0	40	155	165	401	425	585	850	400	455	3476
Orleans			0	90	270	1020	1765	3470	2405	2195	2425	1840	15480
Palmer			0	0	45	70	145	255	320	610	620	385	2450
Peabody			0	85	170	485	755	805	758	1070	780	760	5668
Pittsfield			15	30	145	130	420	260	580	945	765	490	3780
Plymouth			0	30	325	340	895	1220	1090	1060	1180	865	7005
Quincy			45	130	195	455	365	555	940	1472	2362	2080	8599
Roxbury			0	0	200	383	500	1419	940	735	731	750	5658
Salem			0	0	0	130	705	1265	1485	2035	1695	1244	8559
Somerville			0	55	120	275	320	770	865	985	1665	1395	6450
South Boston			15	205	150	80	145	605	440	330	175	315	2460
Spencer			0	0	195	506	555	675	535	760	660	684	4570
Springfield			0	260	870	1598	2342	3675	3369	4398	5806	4026	26344
Stoughton			0	40	120	270	290	538	402	405	381	590	3036
Taunton			0	30	100	565	685	705	1160	1805	1620	1515	8185
Uxbridge			0	15	45	150	315	545	750	1070	1110	1020	5020
Waltham			0	180	690	1230	1325	1180	1030	1320	1820	1405	10180
Ware			0	30	45	245	100	425	425	580	476	630	2956
Wareham			0	85	375	420	745	1095	1055	1175	1065	915	6930
Westboro			0	0	55	350	600	945	990	1363	1243	1065	6611
Westfield			0	25	150	265	350	495	345	980	989	920	4519
West Roxbury			0	0	0	25	80	180	245	535	635	740	2440
Winchendon			0	30	85	56	184	270	245	185	95	185	1335
Woburn			0	175	385	965	1310	1495	1710	1160	740	770	8710
Worcester			15	535	770	2135	1900	2611	2605	2920	2628	2330	18449
Wrentham			0	115	385	575	870	710	1295	1605	1650	1474	8679
Statewide Total			365	6695	19191	34724	50344	70182	71101	85966	85855	75107	499530

Source: Management Information System, Research and Statistical Bureau,
Office of the Commissioner of Probation

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS

ADULTS ARRAIGNED IN

JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS	TOTALS	TOTALS	JUVENILE SESSIONS			PERCENT		
	01-12-82	01-12-83	01-12-84	TOTALS	TOTALS	TOTALS	CHANGE, 82-83	CHANGE, 83-84	CHANGE, 82-84
ADAMS	46	57	34	0	0	0	18.7 %	47.3 %	75.0 %
AMESBURY	132	111	133	0	0	0	15.9 %	19.8 %	0.7 %
ATTLEBORO	264	241	261	1	0	1	8.7 %	8.2 %	1.1 %
AYER	255	172	132	0	0	0	32.5 %	5.8 %	28.5 %
BARNSTABLE	519	463	533	0	0	0	11.7 %	15.1 %	2.5 %
BOSTON	1070	922	1031	4	38	40	13.8 %	11.8 %	3.5 %
BRIGHTON	92	85	60	0	0	0	7.6 %	29.4 %	34.7 %
BROCKTON	839	769	653	0	0	0	8.3 %	15.0 %	22.1 %
BROOKLINE	115	93	30	0	0	0	13.1 %	13.9 %	30.4 %
CAMBRIDGE	324	362	373	1	1	0	11.7 %	3.0 %	15.1 %
CHARLESTOWN	60	31	56	0	4	0	48.3 %	80.6 %	6.5 %
CHELSEA	323	313	342	0	0	0	3.0 %	9.2 %	5.8 %
CHICOPEE	256	192	193	6	4	2	23.0 %	0.5 %	24.5 %
CLINTON	126	106	85	0	0	0	15.8 %	19.8 %	32.5 %
CONCORD	297	235	222	0	0	0	21.8 %	5.5 %	25.2 %
DEDHAM	231	224	218	0	0	1	3.0 %	7.1 %	9.9 %
DORCHESTER	590	504	519	0	0	0	14.5 %	0.9 %	13.7 %
DUDLEY	289	253	275	0	0	0	12.4 %	8.6 %	4.8 %
EAST DORSET	130	134	147	0	0	0	3.0 %	9.7 %	13.0 %
EDGARTOWN	27	7	23	0	0	0	74.0 %	228.5 %	14.8 %
FALL RIVER	862	553	635	3	3	1	33.8 %	23.8 %	20.5 %
FITCHBURG	230	180	135	0	0	0	21.7 %	2.7 %	19.5 %
FRAMINGHAM	412	272	351	0	0	1	33.9 %	32.7 %	12.3 %
GARDNER	64	50	36	0	0	0	21.8 %	28.0 %	43.7 %
GLOUCESTER	146	142	169	0	0	0	2.7 %	19.0 %	15.7 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	85	65	56	0	0	0	23.5 %	1.5 %	22.3 %
GREENFIELD	221	181	133	0	0	0	18.0 %	1.1 %	17.1 %
HAVERHILL	201	173	151	0	0	0	13.9 %	12.7 %	24.8 %
HINGHAM	576	421	319	5	9	0	25.9 %	26.6 %	46.3 %
HOLYOKE	190	261	347	1	0	0	37.3 %	32.9 %	82.5 %
IPSWICH	35	22	32	0	0	0	37.1 %	45.4 %	8.5 %
LAWRENCE	480	448	528	0	3	0	5.6 %	17.8 %	10.0 %
LEE	101	76	33	0	4	0	24.7 %	9.2 %	17.8 %
LEOMINSTER	146	166	154	0	0	0	13.6 %	1.2 %	12.3 %
LOWELL	656	567	537	0	0	0	13.5 %	3.5 %	10.5 %
LYNN	452	601	426	0	0	0	32.9 %	29.1 %	5.7 %
MALDEN	375	263	354	2	0	0	29.8 %	38.4 %	2.9 %
MARLBOROUGH	188	123	132	0	0	0	34.5 %	7.3 %	29.7 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS

ADULTS ARRAIGNED IN
JUVENILE SESSIONS

JUVENILE ARRAIGNMENTS

COURT NAME	TOTALS 01-12-82	TOTALS 01-12-83	TOTALS 01-12-84	TOTALS 01-12-82	TOTALS 01-12-83	TOTALS 01-12-84	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84	PERCENT CHANGE 82-84
MILFORD	196	160	143	0	0	0	18.3- %	10.6- %	27.0- %
NANTUCKET	11	5	2	0	0	0	54.5- %	60.0- %	81.8- %
NATICK	104	78	128	0	0	0	25.0- %	64.1 %	23.0 %
NEW BEDFORD	667	552	565	4	14	15	17.2- %	2.3 %	15.2- %
NEWBURYPORT	116	152	116	0	0	0	31.0 %	30.2- %	8.6- %
NEWTON	130	71	76	0	0	0	45.3- %	7.0 %	41.5- %
NORTH ADAMS	153	103	120	0	0	0	32.6- %	16.5 %	21.5- %
NORTHAMPTON	312	254	252	0	0	0	18.5- %	0.7- %	19.2- %
ORANGE	92	103	126	0	0	0	11.9 %	22.3 %	36.9 %
ORLEANS	208	180	211	4	5	0	13.4- %	17.2 %	1.4 %
PALMER	204	155	156	1	0	0	24.0- %	0.6 %	23.5- %
PEABODY	197	216	148	3	1	1	7.6 %	31.4- %	24.8- %
PITTSFIELD	400	337	325	0	0	0	15.7- %	3.5- %	18.7- %
PLYMOUTH	463	427	351	0	1	0	7.7- %	15.4- %	22.0- %
QUINCY	575	546	549	0	0	0	3.6 %	7.8- %	4.5- %
ROXBURY	311	285	235	0	0	0	3.3- %	0.0 %	8.3- %
SALEM	142	133	149	0	0	0	6.3- %	12.0 %	4.5 %
SOMERVILLE	325	272	276	1	0	0	15.3- %	1.4 %	15.0- %
SOUTH BOSTON	90	75	110	0	0	0	16.6- %	33.3 %	11.1 %
SPENCER	160	119	93	0	0	0	25.6- %	21.8- %	41.8- %
SPRINGFIELD	1220	1136	1029	2	0	3	5.8- %	9.4- %	15.5- %
STOUGHTON	213	86	113	0	0	0	59.6- %	31.3 %	46.9- %
TAUNTON	293	249	219	0	2	1	15.0- %	12.0- %	25.2- %
UXBRIDGE	185	171	144	18	0	0	7.5- %	15.7- %	22.1- %
WALTHAM	344	276	315	12	0	24	19.7- %	14.1 %	8.4- %
WARE	42	26	55	0	0	0	38.0- %	111.5 %	30.9 %
WAREHAM	359	373	352	0	0	0	3.8 %	5.6- %	1.9- %
WEST ROXBURY	401	380	316	0	0	0	5.2- %	19.4- %	23.5- %
WESTBOROUGH	156	94	133	0	0	0	40.5- %	41.4 %	15.8- %
WESTFIELD	116	135	135	1	0	0	15.3 %	0.0 %	16.3 %
WINCHENDON	40	21	36	0	5	0	47.5- %	71.4 %	10.0- %
WOBURN	378	282	310	0	0	0	25.3- %	9.9 %	17.9- %
WORCESTER	607	622	651	2	4	2	2.4 %	6.2 %	8.8 %
WRENTHAM	180	160	171	0	0	0	11.1- %	6.8 %	5.0- %
TOTAL	20799	18122	18408	71	98	92	12.8- %	1.6 %	11.5- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JURY OF SIX ARRAIGNMENTS: JUVENILE

JURY-CF-SIX COURT NAME	JOS DEL 01-12 1982	JOS CHINS 01-12 1982	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1982	JOS DEL 01-12 1983	JOS CHINS 01-12 1983	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1983	JOS DEL 01-12 1984	JOS CHINS 01-12 1984	JOS TOTAL 01-12 1984	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 82-83	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 83-84	PRCNT CHANG 01-12 82-84
BARNSTABLE	15	0	15	15	0	15	10	0	10	0 %	33- %	33- %
BOSTON	154	0	154	195	0	195	117	0	117	26 %	40- %	24- %
CAMBRIDGE	134	35	169	11	0	11	25	2	27	93- %	145 %	84- %
DEDHAM	41	4	45	25	0	25	66	0	66	44- %	164 %	46 %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
FALL RIVER	12	0	12	10	0	10	0	0	0	16- %	0 **	0 **
FITCHBURG	26	1	27	11	2	13	0	0	0	51- %	0 **	0 **
FRAMINGHAM	13	2	15	15	3	18	22	0	22	20 %	22 %	46 %
GREENFIELD	3	0	3	2	0	2	5	0	5	33- %	150 %	66 %
HAVERHILL	17	0	17	16	1	17	0	0	0	0 %	0 **	0 **
HINGHAM	31	0	31	10	0	10	22	1	23	67- %	130 %	25- %
LOWELL	27	2	29	17	0	17	6	0	6	41- %	64- %	79- %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0 %	0 %
NORTHAMPTON	4	0	4	2	0	2	7	6	13	50- %	550 %	225 %
PITTSFIELD	11	4	15	17	1	18	8	0	8	20 %	55- %	46- %
SALEM	28	5	33	46	1	47	14	0	14	42 %	70- %	57- %
SPRINGFIELD	64	1	65	34	0	34	57	1	58	47- %	70 %	10- %
WAREHAM	56	1	57	48	0	48	36	1	37	15- %	22- %	35- %
WORCESTER	42	2	44	35	3	38	30	0	30	13- %	21- %	31- %
TOTAL	678	57	735	509	11	520	425	11	436	29- %	15- %	40- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILES: JUVENILE CASES UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1984)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1982	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1982	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERMR/N JAN-DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1982	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	% CHG TOTALR/N 82-83	% CHG TOTALR/N 83-84	% CHG TOTALR/N 82-84
ADAMS	10	17	23	14	14	14	7	10	19	42.8 %	90.0 %	171.4 %
AMESBURY	26	12	20	36	19	14	19	12	18	36.8-%	50.0 %	5.2-%
ATTLEBORO	91	112	72	91	89	92	46	70	47	52.1 %	32.8-%	2.1 %
AYER	78	74	66	77	88	67	54	40	39	25.9-%	2.5-%	27.7-%
BARNSTABLE	70	77	68	50	60	84	89	106	62	19.1 %	41.5-%	30.3-%
BOSTON	539	180	306	433	194	249	209	195	250	6.6-%	28.2 %	19.6 %
BRIGHTON	50	17	18	49	14	9	29	29	34	0.0 %	17.2 %	17.2 %
BROCKTON	308	211	166	348	228	163	200	122	125	39.0-%	2.4 %	37.5-%
BROOKLINE	29	23	22	40	38	24	25	16	14	36.0-%	12.5-%	44.0-%
CAMBRIDGE	83	111	71	74	91	83	92	112	78	21.7 %	30.3-%	15.2-%
CHARLESTOWN	40	12	13	11	23	8	26	18	13	35.7-%	27.7-%	53.5-%
CHELSEA	33	7	7	37	23	3	37	21	22	43.2-%	4.7 %	40.5-%
CHICOPEE	24	44	29	68	30	36	23	37	30	60.8 %	18.9-%	30.4 %
CLINTON	35	40	51	47	35	45	27	32	38	18.5 %	18.7 %	40.7 %
CONCORD	116	73	89	100	103	90	98	63	62	35.7-%	1.5-%	36.7-%
DEDHAM	91	49	70	76	67	62	74	56	64	24.3-%	14.2 %	13.5-%
DORCHESTER	204	220	169	222	252	192	206	171	131	16.9-%	23.3-%	36.4-%
DUDLEY	47	49	28	47	42	43	30	37	22	23.3 %	40.5-%	26.6-%
EAST BOSTON	45	55	64	42	48	52	40	46	58	15.0 %	26.0 %	45.0 %
EDGARTOWN	10	4	1	20	3	4	3	3	0	0.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
FALL RIVER	193	180	176	235	165	185	95	106	96	11.5 %	9.4-%	1.0 %
FITCHBURG	100	94	79	102	122	94	97	69	54	28.8-%	21.7-%	44.3-%
FRAMINGHAM	164	120	153	165	165	139	137	94	108	31.3-%	14.8 %	21.1-%
GARDNER	66	55	58	92	65	60	48	46	36	4.1-%	21.7-%	25.0-%
GLOUCESTER	34	33	28	36	30	27	26	29	26	11.5 %	10.3-%	0.0 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	17	17	18	11	25	14	14	6	10	57.1-%	56.6 %	28.5-%
GREENFIELD	92	50	20	71	82	43	77	46	24	40.2-%	47.8-%	58.8-%
HAVERHILL	36	35	23	30	41	21	29	23	0	20.6-%	4.3 %	17.2-%
HINGHAM	121	55	80	103	101	63	96	50	67	47.9-%	34.0 %	30.2-%
HOLYOKE	44	42	12	72	56	31	56	42	7	25.0-%	83.3-%	87.5-%
IPSWICH	7	1	12	10	7	1	6	0	11	0.0 **	0.0 **	83.3 %
LAWRENCE	166	102	115	106	137	67	152	117	160	23.0-%	36.7 %	5.2 %
LEE	28	23	23	31	16	29	15	20	14	33.3 %	30.0-%	6.6-%
LEOMINSTER	79	84	36	71	83	73	56	57	20	1.7 %	64.9-%	64.2-%
LOWELL	90	95	107	112	91	93	71	73	82	2.8 %	12.3 %	15.4 %
LYNN	135	133	77	119	98	82	93	123	85	32.2 %	30.8-%	8.6-%
MALDEN	95	90	73	97	83	96	74	81	58	9.4 %	23.3-%	21.6-%
MARLBOROUGH	94	49	49	98	63	44	46	32	0	30.4-%	0.0 **	0.0 **
MILFORD	39	32	24	53	24	36	21	28	16	33.3 %	42.8-%	23.8-%
NANTUCKET	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0.0 **	0.0 **	200.0 %
NATICK	52	38	48	42	36	44	35	37	41	5.7 %	10.8 %	17.1 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

JUVENILES: JUVENILE CASES UNDER RISK/NEED SUPERVISION (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1984)

COURT NAME	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1982	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1983	NEW R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TERM R/N JAN-DEC 1982	TERM R/N JAN-DEC 1983	TERM R/N JAN-DEC 1984	TOTAL R/N DEC 1982	TOTAL R/N DEC 1983	TOTAL R/N DEC 1984	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-83	% CHG TOTAL R/N 83-84	% CHG TOTAL R/N 82-84
NEW BEDFORD	169	182	161	185	185	162	124	114	96	8.0-%	15.7-%	22.5-%
NEWBURYPORT	21	9	18	41	18	11	14	5	12	64.2-%	140.0 %	14.2-%
NEWTON	20	1	7	15	19	12	30	14	9	53.3-%	35.7-%	70.0-%
NORTH ADAMS	42	21	29	41	22	30	19	19	18	0.0 %	5.2-%	5.2-%
NORTHAMPTON	39	25	44	22	23	27	114	116	49	1.7 %	57.7-%	57.0-%
ORANGE	4	8	4	0	10	3	4	2	3	50.0-%	50.0 %	25.0-%
ORLEANS	53	54	34	90	53	45	42	43	39	2.3 %	9.3-%	7.1-%
PALMER	64	62	41	80	88	40	74	48	28	35.1-%	41.6-%	52.1-%
PEABODY	44	25	18	46	40	29	36	21	10	41.6-%	52.3-%	72.2-%
PITTSFIELD	95	70	91	66	73	84	74	66	63	10.8-%	4.5-%	14.8-%
PLYMOUTH	218	196	118	296	219	156	160	137	99	14.3-%	27.7-%	38.1-%
QUINCY	163	130	109	135	148	125	134	116	100	13.4-%	13.7-%	25.3-%
ROXBURY	149	104	124	174	130	120	157	130	134	17.1-%	3.0 %	14.6-%
SALEM	87	71	54	109	64	80	68	75	49	10.2 %	34.6-%	27.9-%
SOMERVILLE	125	57	40	94	50	78	105	112	44	6.6 %	60.7-%	58.0-%
SOUTH EASTON	30	7	46	26	13	24	30	24	40	20.0-%	66.6 %	33.3 %
SPENCER	63	27	18	57	30	34	29	25	9	13.7-%	54.0-%	58.9-%
SPRINGFIELD	318	317	383	388	328	361	205	194	216	5.3-%	11.3 %	5.3 %
STOUGHTON	52	23	18	46	35	18	42	31	21	26.1-%	32.2-%	50.0-%
TAUNTON	65	73	59	82	73	66	45	42	33	6.6-%	21.4-%	26.6-%
UXBRIDGE	31	21	28	39	22	28	21	20	20	4.7-%	0.0 %	4.7-%
WALTHAM	119	58	50	99	67	53	124	115	50	7.2-%	56.5-%	59.6-%
WARE	7	19	19	12	9	14	11	21	19	90.9 %	9.5-%	72.7 %
WAREHAM	66	153	68	141	110	128	67	110	49	64.1 %	55.4-%	26.8-%
WEST ROXBURY	29	6	24	22	8	9	23	23	17	0.0 %	25.0-%	26.0-%
WESTBOROUGH	62	41	22	37	46	41	42	37	18	11.9-%	51.3-%	57.1-%
WESTFIELD	5	4	19	7	6	6	8	6	15	25.0-%	150.0 %	87.5 %
WINCHENDON	18	9	17	21	15	14	17	11	14	35.2-%	27.2 %	17.6-%
WOBURN	125	59	69	106	85	77	96	69	61	28.1-%	11.5-%	36.4-%
WORCESTER	321	268	247	324	284	297	198	182	132	8.0-%	27.4-%	33.3-%
WRENTHAM	64	55	48	93	49	55	39	45	38	15.3 %	15.5-%	2.5-%
TOTALS	6271	4870	4591	6438	5285	4803	4733	4248	3549	10.2- %	15.4- %	25.0- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED		NEW DELINQUENCY CHARGES		TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS		TOTAL VIOLATION NOTICES ISSUED	
	JAN-DEC, 82		JAN-DEC, 82		JAN-DEC, 82		JAN-DEC, 83		JAN-DEC, 83		JAN-DEC, 83		JAN-DEC, 84		JAN-DEC, 84		JAN-DEC, 84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
ADAMS	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
AMESBURY	2	28.5	5	71.4	7	100.0	7	58.3	5	41.6	12	100.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
ATTLEBORO	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	10	100.0	4	30.7	9	59.2	13	100.0
AYER	7	63.6	4	36.3	11	100.0	2	28.5	5	71.4	7	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0
BARNSTABLE	0	0.0	23	100.0	23	100.0	3	8.1	34	91.8	37	100.0	6	15.0	34	85.0	40	100.0
BOSTON	89	22.5	306	77.4	395	100.0	54	19.9	217	80.0	271	100.0	20	16.1	104	93.8	124	100.0
BRIGHTON	15	46.8	17	53.1	32	100.0	10	76.9	3	23.0	13	100.0	7	63.6	4	36.3	11	100.0
BROCKTON	34	58.6	24	41.3	58	100.0	37	69.8	16	30.1	53	100.0	40	52.6	36	47.3	75	100.0
BROOKLINE	8	50.0	8	50.0	16	100.0	6	13.9	37	86.0	43	100.0	7	15.2	39	84.7	46	100.0
CAMBRIDGE	72	73.4	26	26.5	98	100.0	52	67.5	25	32.4	77	100.0	62	55.8	49	44.1	111	100.0
CHARLESTOWN	15	75.0	5	25.0	20	100.0	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	5	100.0
CHELSEA	21	45.6	25	54.3	46	100.0	8	61.5	5	38.4	13	100.0	4	57.1	3	42.8	7	100.0
CHICOPEE	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0	5	55.5	4	44.4	9	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
CLINTON	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0
CONCORD	5	25.0	15	75.0	20	100.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	6	100.0
DEDHAM	11	36.6	19	63.3	30	100.0	10	47.6	11	52.3	21	100.0	13	41.9	18	58.0	31	100.0
DORCHESTER	57	14.1	345	85.8	402	100.0	33	9.2	323	90.7	356	100.0	33	14.0	202	95.9	235	100.0
DUDLEY	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0
EAST BOSTON	12	52.1	11	47.8	23	100.0	13	39.3	20	60.6	33	100.0	4	25.0	12	75.0	15	100.0
EDGARTOWN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0
FALL RIVER	0	0.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	8	61.5	5	38.4	13	100.0	18	40.0	27	60.0	45	100.0
FITCHBURG	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	15	100.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	11	100.0	11	100.0
FRAMINGHAM	6	25.0	18	75.0	24	100.0	10	28.5	25	71.4	35	100.0	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0
GARDNER	0	0.0	23	100.0	23	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0
GLOUCESTER	6	31.5	13	68.4	19	100.0	8	32.0	17	68.0	25	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
GREENFIELD	13	30.2	30	69.7	43	100.0	3	17.6	14	82.3	17	100.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	12	100.0
HAVERHILL	6	66.6	3	33.3	9	100.0	9	52.9	8	47.0	17	100.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0
HINGHAM	23	51.1	22	48.8	45	100.0	13	29.5	31	70.4	44	100.0	3	16.6	15	83.3	18	100.0
HOLYOKE	18	69.2	8	30.7	26	100.0	14	66.6	7	33.3	21	100.0	23	57.5	17	42.5	40	100.0
IPSWICH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
LAWRENCE	39	48.7	41	51.2	80	100.0	25	39.0	39	60.9	64	100.0	20	41.6	28	58.3	48	100.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW		TECHNICAL		TOTAL		NEW		TECHNICAL		TOTAL		NEW		TECHNICAL		TOTAL	
	DELINQUENCY		VIOLATIONS		VIOLATION		DELINQUENCY		VIOLATIONS		VIOLATION		DELINQUENCY		VIOLATIONS		VIOLATION	
	CHARGES		CHARGES		NOTICES		CHARGES		CHARGES		NOTICES		CHARGES		CHARGES		NOTICES	
	JAN-DEC,82		JAN-DEC,82		JAN-DEC,82		JAN-DEC,83		JAN-DEC,83		JAN-DEC,83		JAN-DEC,84		JAN-DEC,84		JAN-DEC,84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
LEOMINSTER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	10	100.0
LOWELL	10	29.4	24	70.5	34	100.0	3	21.4	11	78.5	14	100.0	6	42.8	8	57.1	14	100.0
LYNN	62	59.6	42	40.3	104	100.0	94	63.0	55	36.9	149	100.0	36	61.0	23	38.9	59	100.0
MALDEN	4	5.0	76	95.0	80	100.0	7	16.2	36	83.7	43	100.0	4	7.8	47	92.1	51	100.0
MARLBOROUGH	9	26.4	25	73.5	34	100.0	8	30.7	18	69.2	26	100.0	8	25.0	24	75.0	32	100.0
MILFORD	6	37.5	10	62.5	16	100.0	6	54.5	5	45.4	11	100.0	5	71.4	2	28.5	7	100.0
NANTUCKET	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NATICK	1	12.5	7	87.5	8	100.0	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0
NEW BEDFORD	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	35	94.5	2	5.4	37	100.0
NEWBURYPORT	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0
NEWTON	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0
NORTH ADAMS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
NORTHAMPTON	0	0.0	15	100.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	3	23.0	10	76.9	13	100.0
ORANGE	4	40.0	6	60.0	10	100.0	5	45.4	6	54.5	11	100.0	1	33.3	2	55.6	3	100.0
ORLEANS	4	19.0	17	80.9	21	100.0	11	45.8	13	54.1	24	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0
PALMER	1	20.0	4	80.0	5	100.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	5	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
PEABODY	1	11.1	8	88.8	9	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0
PITTSFIELD	2	28.5	5	71.4	7	100.0	3	66.6	4	33.3	12	100.0	11	84.6	2	15.3	13	100.0
PLYMOUTH	7	63.6	4	36.3	11	100.0	11	39.2	17	60.7	28	100.0	21	63.6	12	36.3	33	100.0
QUINCY	21	30.8	47	69.1	68	100.0	6	4.5	127	95.4	133	100.0	12	9.9	109	90.0	121	100.0
ROXBURY	47	50.5	46	49.4	93	100.0	17	32.0	36	67.9	53	100.0	11	35.4	20	64.5	31	100.0
SALEM	5	27.7	13	72.2	18	100.0	0	0.0	20	100.0	20	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0
SOMERVILLE	17	29.8	40	70.1	57	100.0	14	28.5	35	71.4	49	100.0	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0
SOUTH BOSTON	11	44.0	14	56.0	25	100.0	10	71.4	4	28.5	14	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0
SPENCER	0	0.0	3	100.0	3	100.0	13	61.9	8	38.0	21	100.0	7	58.3	5	41.6	12	100.0
SPRINGFIELD	0	0.0	64	100.0	64	100.0	0	0.0	60	100.0	60	100.0	1	1.5	62	98.4	63	100.0
STOUGHTON	5	38.4	8	61.5	13	100.0	14	42.4	19	57.5	33	100.0	4	16.6	20	83.3	24	100.0
TAUNTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.6	3	100.0	4	44.4	5	55.5	9	100.0
UXBRIDGE	2	33.3	4	66.6	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	3	25.0	12	100.0
WALTHAM	16	66.6	8	33.3	24	100.0	10	66.6	5	33.3	15	100.0	24	55.8	19	44.1	43	100.0
WARE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	17.6	14	82.3	17	100.0	7	50.0	7	50.0	14	100.0
WAREHAM	65	56.0	51	43.9	116	100.0	57	35.8	102	64.1	159	100.0	6	16.6	30	83.3	36	100.0
WEST ROXBURY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SURRENDER/SUPERVISION VIOLATION NOTICES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	NEW		TECHNICAL		TOTAL		NEW		TECHNICAL		TOTAL		NEW		TECHNICAL		TOTAL	
	DELINQUENCY		VIOLATIONS		VIOLATION		DELINQUENCY		VIOLATIONS		VIOLATION		DELINQUENCY		VIOLATIONS		VIOLATION	
	CHARGES				NOTICES		CHARGES				NOTICES		CHARGES				NOTICES	
	JAN-DEC, 82		JAN-DEC, 82		JAN-DEC, 82		JAN-DEC, 83		JAN-DEC, 83		JAN-DEC, 83		JAN-DEC, 84		JAN-DEC, 84		JAN-DEC, 84	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
WESTBOROUGH	19	65.5	10	34.4	29	100.0	22	56.4	17	43.5	39	100.0	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0
WESTFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
WINCHENDON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0
WOBURN	28	45.1	34	54.8	62	100.0	24	43.6	31	56.3	55	100.0	18	43.9	23	56.0	41	100.0
WORCESTER	0	0.0	29	100.0	29	100.0	3	5.6	50	94.3	53	100.0	10	10.4	86	99.5	96	100.0
WRENTHAM	3	23.0	10	76.9	13	100.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0	4	33.3	8	66.6	12	100.0
TOTALS	821	33.4	1635	66.5	2456	100.0	728	30.9	1626	69.0	2354	100.0	578	31.6	1251	68.3	1829	100.0
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	3	37.5	5	62.5	8	100.0	14	73.6	5	26.3	19	100.0	14	82.3	3	17.6	17	100.0
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	0	0.0	7	100.0	7	100.0	17	53.1	15	46.8	32	100.0	61	58.6	43	41.3	104	100.0
ESSEX DISTRICT	14	37.8	23	62.1	37	100.0	26	42.6	35	57.3	61	100.0	5	41.6	7	58.3	12	100.0
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	23	29.8	54	70.1	77	100.0	24	26.9	65	73.0	89	100.0	24	34.2	46	65.7	70	100.0
NORTHERN WORCESTER	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	16	100.0	16	100.0	1	4.0	24	96.0	25	100.0
SOUTHERN WORCESTER	27	48.2	29	51.7	56	100.0	52	60.4	34	39.5	86	100.0	28	59.5	19	40.4	47	100.0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1982	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	50	77	58	54.00 %	24.67 %	16.00 %
AMESBURY	910	2665	1395	192.85 %	47.65 %	53.29 %
ATTEBORO	280	2335	530	733.92 %	77.30 %	89.28 %
AYER	0	424	1459	0.00**	244.10 %	0.00**
BARNSTABLE	10363	9740	6718	6.01 %	31.02 %	35.17 %
BOSTON	563	179	310	68.20 %	73.18 %	44.93 %
BRIGHTON	275	455	435	65.45 %	4.39 %	58.18 %
BROCKTON	0	1566	755	0.00 **	51.78 %	0.00 **
BROCKLINE	570	319	375	44.03 %	17.55 %	34.21 %
CAMBRIDGE	2072	1115	1378	46.18 %	23.58 %	33.49 %
CHARLESTOWN	25	325	355	1200.00 %	9.23 %	1320.00 %
CHELSEA	570	1720	1010	201.75 %	41.27 %	77.19 %
CHICOPEE	1173	1113	1070	5.11 %	3.86 %	8.78 %
CLINTON	1225	568	935	53.63 %	64.61 %	23.67 %
CONCORD	1799	969	1525	46.13 %	57.37 %	15.23 %
DEDHAM	4049	1952	1339	51.79 %	31.40 %	66.93 %
DORCHESTER	0	0	502	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DUDLEY	860	340	1250	60.46 %	267.64 %	45.34 %
EAST BOSTON	740	430	458	41.89 %	6.51 %	38.10 %
EDGARTOWN	290	440	600	51.72 %	36.36 %	106.89 %
FALL RIVER	504	95	255	81.15 %	168.42 %	49.40 %
FITCHBURG	1646	1233	680	25.09 %	44.84 %	58.68 %
FRAMINGHAM	7440	2390	1995	67.87 %	16.52 %	73.15 %
GARDNER	305	226	25	25.90 %	88.93 %	91.80 %
GLoucester	1113	1152	689	3.50 %	40.19 %	38.09 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	125	250	164	100.00 %	34.40 %	31.20 %
GREENFIELD	140	80	100	42.85 %	25.00 %	28.57 %
HAVERHILL	1165	870	354	25.32 %	59.31 %	69.61 %
HINGHAM	6925	4065	2190	41.29 %	46.12 %	68.37 %
HOLYOKE	50	160	395	220.00 %	146.87 %	690.00 %
IPSWICH	1454	600	260	58.73 %	56.66 %	82.11 %
LAWRENCE	4740	3367	2830	28.96 %	15.94 %	40.29 %
LEE	95	285	499	200.00 %	75.08 %	425.26 %
LEOMINSTER	4146	3244	1630	21.75 %	49.75 %	60.68 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1982	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1983	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
LOWELL	3019	2967	4098	1.72- X	38.11 X	35.74 X
LYNN	3150	2948	1851	6.41- X	37.21- X	41.23- X
MALDEN	4845	4120	2470	14.96- X	40.04- X	49.01- X
MARLBOROUGH	0	175	745	0.00** X	325.71 X	0.00** X
MILFORD	1645	1102	455	33.00- X	58.71- X	72.34- X
NANTUCKET	50	70	25	40.00 X	542.85 X	800.00 X
NATICK	0	270	1050	0.00** X	288.89 X	0.00** X
NEW BEDFORD	5449	3476	4037	36.20- X	16.13 X	25.91- X
NEWBURYPORT	170	374	763	120.00 X	104.01 X	348.82 X
NEWTON	0	250	75	0.00 **	70.00- X	0.00 **
NORTH ADAMS	460	279	415	39.34- X	48.74 X	9.78- X
NORTHAMPTON	2079	1673	2381	19.52- X	42.31 X	14.52 X
ORANGE	500	250	250	50.00- X	0.00 X	50.00- X
ORLEANS	4849	2768	3578	42.91- X	29.26 X	26.21- X
PALMER	780	390	210	50.00- X	46.15- X	73.07- X
PEABODY	2737	1902	555	30.50- X	70.82- X	79.72- X
PITTSFIELD	2127	1819	1813	14.48- X	0.32- X	14.76- X
PLYMOUTH	1314	1487	574	13.16 X	61.39- X	56.31- X
QUINCY	35	905	1275	2485.71 X	40.88 X	3542.85 X
ROXBURY	25	22	0	12.00- X	0.00 **	0.00 **
SALEM	2435	575	125	76.38- X	78.26- X	94.86- X
SOMERVILLE	197	0	175	0.00 **	0.00 **	11.16- X
SOUTH EASTON	25	437	650	1648.00 X	48.74 X	2500.00 X
SPENCER	432	660	485	57.40 X	28.67- X	12.26 X
SPRINGFIELD	1455	2828	2715	94.36 X	3.99- X	86.59 X
STOUGHTON	241	454	240	88.38 X	47.13- X	0.41- X
TAUNTON	2426	2389	1626	1.52- X	31.93- X	32.97- X
UXBRIDGE	1885	1260	550	33.15- X	56.34- X	70.82- X
WALTHAM	1951	511	965	73.80- X	88.84 X	50.53- X
WARE	80	0	100	0.00 **	0.00 **	25.00 X
WAREHAM	1362	1900	832	39.50 X	56.21- X	38.91- X
WEST ROXBURY	3490	2993	1192	14.24- X	60.17- X	65.84- X
WESTBOROUGH	495	430	400	13.13- X	6.97- X	19.19- X
WESTFIELD	140	315	125	125.00 X	60.31- X	10.71- X

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

COURT COSTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME -----	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	COURT COSTS JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
WINCHENDON	733	441	110	39.33- %	75.05- %	84.99- %
WOBURN	3615	1466	1535	59.44- %	4.70 %	57.53- %
WORCESTER	2683	2198	3122	18.07- %	42.03 %	16.35 %
WRENTHAM	2985	1695	820	43.21- %	51.62- %	72.52- %
** TOTALS **	115,526	92,568	76910	19.87-	16.92-	33.43-
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	2857	2710	2949	5.14- %	8.81 %	3.22 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	8659	8295	6448	4.20- %	22.26- %	25.53- %
ESSEX DISTRICT	4812	5661	3461	17.64 %	38.86- %	28.07- %
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	7440	3259	5249	56.20- %	61.06 %	29.45- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	7750	5486	3355	29.21- %	38.84- %	56.70- %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	5317	3812	3140	28.30- %	17.62- %	40.94- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC, 1982	FINES JAN-DEC, 1983	FINES JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
AMESBURY	0	0	140	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
ATLEBORO	0	675	300	0.00 **	55.55- %	0.00 **
AYER	0	0	100	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BARNSTABLE	281	200	0	28.82- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BOSTON	0	0	150	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
BRIGHTON	185	140	25	24.32- %	82.14- %	86.48- %
BROCKTON	3300	249	1020	92.45- %	309.63 %	69.03- %
BROOKLINE	165	136	82	17.57- %	39.70- %	50.30- %
CAMBRIDGE	1436	200	5	86.07- %	97.50- %	99.65- %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	35	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
CHELSEA	975	475	175	51.28- %	63.15- %	82.05- %
CHICOPEE	340	100	115	70.58- %	15.00 %	66.17- %
CLINTON	403	60	35	85.11- %	41.66- %	91.31- %
CONCORD	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DEDHAM	545	50	50	90.82- %	0.00 %	90.82- %
DORCHESTER	0	0	7	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
DUDLEY	162	550	120	239.50 %	78.18- %	25.92- %
EAST BOSTON	50	0	150	0.00 **	0.00 **	200.00 %
EDGARTOWN	125	0	250	0.00 **	0.00 **	100.00 %
FALL RIVER	40	20	500	50.00- %	2400.00 %	1150.00 %
FITCHBURG	52	50	293	3.34- %	486.00 %	463.46 %
FRAMINGHAM	0	0	200	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GARDNER	215	867	275	303.25 %	68.28- %	27.90 %
GLOUCESTER	0	0	50	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
GREENFIELD	30	0	25	0.00 **	0.00 **	16.66- %
HAVERHILL	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
HINGHAM	790	650	933	17.72- %	43.53 %	18.10 %
HOLYOKE	237	25	650	89.45- %	2500.00 %	174.26 %
IPSWICH	0	0	15	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LAWRENCE	1217	1166	1320	4.19- %	13.20 %	8.46 %
LEE	0	45	50	0.00 **	11.11 %	0.00 **
LEOMINSTER	226	51	0	77.43- %	0.00 **	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC,1982	FINES JAN-DEC,1983	FINES JAN-DEC,1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
LOWELL	0	1143	443	0.00 **	61.24- %	0.00 **
LYNN	620	80	265	87.09- %	231.25 %	57.25- %
MALDEN	240	1514	260	530.83 %	82.82- %	8.33 %
MARLBOROUGH	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
MILFORD	275	470	20	70.90 %	95.74- %	92.72- %
NANTUCKET	100	80	0	20.00- %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NATICK	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NEW BEDFORD	1214	1877	1380	54.61 %	26.47- %	13.67 %
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NEWTON	225	150	387	33.33- %	158.00 %	72.00 %
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORTHAMPTON	145	139	550	4.13- %	295.68 %	279.31 %
ORANGE	140	35	130	75.00- %	271.42 %	7.14- %
ORLEANS	150	0	360	0.00 **	0.00 **	140.00 %
PALMER	175	670	190	282.95 %	71.64- %	8.57 %
PEABODY	1999	375	1035	81.24- %	176.00 %	48.22- %
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
PLYMOUTH	911	347	765	61.90- %	120.46 %	16.02- %
QUINCY	0	20	225	0.00 **	1025.00 %	0.00 **
ROXBURY	0	0	15	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
SALEM	540	291	200	46.11- %	31.27- %	62.96- %
SOMERVILLE	173	0	125	0.00 **	0.00 **	27.74- %
SOUTH BOSTON	95	0	960	0.00 **	0.00 **	910.52 %
SPENCER	243	360	75	48.14 %	79.16- %	69.13- %
SPRINGFIELD	90	250	555	177.77 %	122.00 %	516.66 %
STOUGHTON	1009	996	469	1.28- %	52.91- %	53.51- %
TAUNTON	225	435	40	93.33 %	90.80- %	82.22- %
UXBRIDGE	525	635	370	20.95 %	41.73- %	29.52- %
WALTHAM	2281	1657	1116	27.35- %	32.64- %	51.07- %
WARE	20	230	155	1050.00 %	32.60- %	675.00 %
WAREHAM	1045	1200	1244	14.83 %	3.66 %	19.04 %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WESTBOROUGH	300	125	150	58.33- %	20.00 %	50.00- %
WESTFIELD	6	116	0	1833.33 %	0.00 **	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

FINES: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	FINES JAN-DEC, 1982	FINES JAN-DEC, 1983	FINES JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
WINCHEADON	0	117	988	0.00 **	744.44 %	0.00 **
WOBURN	2922	1350	1220	53.79- %	9.62- %	58.24- %
WORCESTER	875	1266	969	44.68 %	23.45- %	10.74 %
WRENTHAM	277	460	275	66.06 %	40.21- %	0.72- %
TOTALS	27594	22097	22006	19.92- %	0.00 **	20.25- %
BERKSHIRE DISTRICT	0	45	50	0.00 **	11.11 %	0.00 **
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	1479	3007	2220	103.31 %	26.17- %	50.10 %
ESSEX DISTRICT	0	0	205	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	0	0	300	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NORTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	681	278	1316	59.17- %	373.38 %	93.24 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER DIST.	1505	2140	735	42.19 %	65.65- %	51.15- %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	1503	571	713	62.00%	24.86%	52.55%
AMESBURY	1351	2160	1763	59.38%	18.37%	30.49%
ATTLEBORO	7305	5338	7656	13.23%	20.79%	4.80%
AYER	0	3204	7497	0.00**	133.98%	0.00**
BARNSTABLE	17333	14023	15654	19.09%	11.63%	9.68%
BOSTON	6458	4554	6244	29.48%	37.11%	3.31%
BRIGHTON	1686	877	378	47.98%	56.89%	77.58%
BROCKTON	15545	10038	7841	35.42%	21.88%	49.55%
BROOKLINE	759	1025	1259	35.04%	22.82%	65.87%
CAMBRIDGE	4237	4553	2732	7.45%	39.99%	35.52%
CHARLESTOWN	445	52	1476	88.31%	2738.46%	231.68%
CHELSEA	5449	3775	5316	30.72%	40.82%	2.44%
CHICOPEE	3595	5818	8313	61.93%	42.88%	131.23%
CLINTON	1902	3527	2253	85.43%	36.12%	18.45%
CONCORD	6753	4184	5618	38.04%	34.27%	16.80%
DEDHAM	7094	9182	12762	29.43%	38.98%	79.89%
DORCHESTER	0	0	1330	0.00%	0.00**	0.00**
DUDLEY	4568	3999	5757	12.45%	43.96%	26.02%
EAST BOSTON	6049	5036	3764	16.74%	25.25%	37.77%
EDGARTOWN	3365	2548	1101	24.27%	56.78%	67.28%
FALL RIVER	9974	6038	5513	39.46%	8.69%	44.72%
FITCHBURG	6304	4905	3407	22.19%	30.54%	45.95%
FRAMINGHAM	28953	18643	10179	35.60%	45.40%	64.84%
GARDNER	5315	3877	913	27.05%	76.45%	82.82%
GLOUCESTER	7088	4558	1862	35.59%	59.14%	73.73%
GREAT BARRINGTON	304	832	65	173.68%	92.18%	78.61%
GREENFIELD	5526	6232	3317	12.77%	46.77%	39.97%
HAVERHILL	5611	6592	4691	17.48%	28.83%	16.39%
HINGHAM	10132	6153	6590	39.27%	7.10%	34.95%
HOLYOKE	5473	6549	4845	19.56%	26.00%	11.45%
IPSWICH	2322	844	1433	63.65%	69.78%	38.28%
LAWRENCE	14796	14109	11537	4.64%	18.22%	22.02%
LEE	90	112	1079	24.44%	863.39%	1098.88%
LEOMINSTER	4427	1707	4163	61.44%	143.87%	5.96%
LOWELL	7277	9185	10739	26.21%	16.91%	47.57%
LYNN	3517	4911	4910	39.53%	0.02%	39.60%
MALDEN	18072	11844	16267	34.46%	37.34%	9.98%
MARLBOROUGH	0	1031	4735	0.00**	359.26%	0.00**
MILFORD	2690	6400	4763	137.91%	25.57%	77.06%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983	RESTITUTION COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
NANTUCKET	0	250	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 **
NATICK	0	1260	3691	0.00** %	192.94 %	0.00** %
NEW BEDFORD	11777	10922	11609	7.25- %	6.29 %	1.42- %
NEWBURYPORT	2882	1089	1750	62.21- %	60.69 %	39.27- %
NEWTON	3674	2738	3251	25.47- %	18.73 %	11.51- %
NORTH ADAMS	2953	2011	1675	31.89- %	16.70- %	43.27- %
NORTHAMPTON	14578	13400	8746	8.08- %	34.73- %	40.00- %
ORANGE	4579	1667	1174	63.59- %	29.57- %	74.36- %
ORLEANS	7457	9308	13232	24.82 %	42.15 %	77.44 %
PALMER	6228	5498	7011	11.72- %	27.51 %	12.57 %
PEARODY	11534	6304	6493	45.34- %	2.99 %	43.70- %
PITTSFIELD	8503	10513	5350	23.53 %	49.11- %	37.08- %
PLYMOUTH	8953	12376	9496	38.23 %	23.27- %	6.06 %
QUINCY	12662	13316	13724	5.16 %	3.06 %	8.38 %
ROXBURY	1353	3819	2475	182.26 %	35.19- %	82.92 %
SALEM	3942	3279	5368	16.31- %	63.70 %	36.17 %
SOMERVILLE	8855	1397	2825	84.22- %	102.21 %	68.09- %
SOUTH BOSTON	1373	3235	3236	135.51 %	0.03 %	135.63 %
SPENCER	2696	4598	2520	70.54 %	45.19- %	6.52- %
SPRINGFIELD	12868	13155	7709	2.23 %	41.39- %	40.09- %
STOUGHTON	2545	3823	2727	50.21 %	28.66- %	7.15 %
TAUNTON	14241	8568	3807	39.83- %	55.56- %	73.26- %
UXBRIDGE	4451	5455	3150	22.55 %	42.25- %	29.22- %
WALTHAM	10329	4890	3394	52.65- %	30.59- %	67.13- %
WARE	418	1347	2425	222.24 %	80.02 %	480.14 %
WAREHAM	11812	10433	15926	11.57- %	52.65 %	34.82 %
WEST ROXBURY	11140	12043	16781	8.10 %	39.34 %	50.63 %
WESTBOROUGH	3503	2717	3707	22.43- %	36.43 %	5.82 %
WESTFIELD	414	2918	2174	604.33 %	25.49- %	425.12 %
WINCHENDON	981	778	1503	20.69- %	93.18 %	53.21 %
WOBURN	8693	10064	12647	15.77 %	25.66 %	45.48 %
WORCESTER	18695	15133	17644	19.05- %	16.59 %	5.62- %
WRENTHAM	12357	8982	3639	27.31- %	59.48- %	70.55- %
TOTALS	459,713	407,272	401295	11.41- %	1.47- %	12.71- %

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
JUVENILE COURT
1984

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Adams			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amesbury			0	0	0	0	0	30	0	30	60	40	160
Attleboro			0	15	0	0	0	105	60	0	90	30	300
Ayer			0	0	15	0	0	90	30	125	30	0	290
Barnstable			0	0	0	30	45	0	120	75	80	135	485
Boston			0	0	0	0	0	105	75	45	15	15	255
Brighton			0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	30	0	60
Brockton			15	15	15	45	75	210	255	120	60	60	870
Brookline			0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15	0	30
Cambridge			0	0	15	15	0	55	70	110	60	60	385
Charlestown			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chelsea			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	70	85
Chicopee			0	0	0	100	30	0	15	40	15	75	275
Clinton			0	0	15	15	60	15	30	0	27	30	192
Concord			0	30	0	0	15	30	30	0	0	15	120
Dedham			0	0	0	0	25	0	90	105	15	90	325
Dorchester			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dudley			0	15	0	70	0	55	15	120	60	30	365
East Boston			0	0	0	52	70	15	15	30	45	15	242
Edgartown			0	0	0	0	15	40	20	0	0	0	75
Fall River			0	0	45	30	90	75	74	121	95	60	590
Fitchburg			0	30	125	145	30	17	15	38	30	75	505
Framingham			0	0	30	45	15	60	30	165	202	330	877
Gardner			0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Gloucester			0	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	25	0	55
Grt. Barrington			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	30
Greenfield			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15
Haverhill			0	0	0	0	0	15	0	45	0	0	60
Hingham			0	0	0	0	45	15	15	105	40	65	285
Holyoke			0	15	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	0	45
Ipswich			0	0	0	0	0	0	15	30	15	0	60
Lawrence			0	0	30	90	135	215	120	165	90	90	935
Lee			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Leominster			0	0	5	122	99	60	50	20	85	23	464
Lowell			0	17	28	15	75	115	75	30	130	185	670
Lynn			0	0	0	15	60	65	90	90	80	195	595
Malden			0	0	0	60	30	30	135	60	150	105	570
Marlboro			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15
Milford			0	0	0	0	0	30	40	15	15	60	160
Nantucket			0	0	0	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	75
Natick			0	0	0	0	25	80	15	15	0	0	135
New Bedford			0	30	0	15	105	60	65	75	80	65	495
Newburyport			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
VICTIM WITNESS PROGRAM COLLECTIONS
JUVENILE COURT
1984

Court	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Newton			0	0	0	15	50	80	0	0	50	120	315
North Adams			0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	15	15	60
Northampton			0	0	15	0	15	50	60	90	45	65	340
Orange			0	0	0	0	0	0	30	60	15	0	105
Orleans			0	15	0	0	180	150	90	150	90	120	795
Palmer			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15
Peabody			0	0	0	0	0	0	30	60	25	60	175
Pittsfield			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15
Plymouth			0	45	15	0	15	90	30	45	90	15	345
Quincy			0	0	50	10	0	0	0	25	50	55	190
Roxbury			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15
Salem			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerville			0	0	60	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	260
South Boston			0	0	0	0	125	35	15	0	0	0	175
Spencer			0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	113	45	188
Springfield			0	0	45	165	210	270	362	193	256	275	1776
Stoughton			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15
Taunton			0	0	0	0	15	75	30	0	15	30	165
Uxbridge			0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75	0	45	135
Waltham			0	0	0	45	115	70	60	30	215	145	680
Ware			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wareham			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Westboro			0	0	0	15	0	30	30	45	0	15	135
Westfield			0	0	0	0	0	25	15	45	15	0	100
West Roxbury			0	0	0	0	0	30	75	0	0	60	165
Winchendon			0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	27	3	60
Woburn			0	15	45	195	345	375	225	375	135	15	1725
Worcester			0	0	20	140	184	137	229	99	207	166	1182
Wrentham			0	0	0	15	0	0	0	15	0	15	45
Statewide Total			15	257	573	1464	2388	3049	2965	3286	3132	3227	20356

Source: Management Information System, Research and Statistical Bureau,
Office of the Commissioner of Probation

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME -----	01-1282 #COMMITMENTS			01-1283 #COMMITMENTS			01-1284 #COMMITMENTS			PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983		PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984		PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	
	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T						
ADAMS	3	0	3	3	0	3	5	0	5	0.00	%	66.66	%	66.66	%
AMESBURY	14	0	14	11	0	11	7	1	8	21.42	%	42.85	%	27.27	%
ATTLEBORO	1	1	2	8	0	8	9	2	11	300.00	%	450.00	%	37.50	%
AYER	13	0	13	10	3	13	11	1	12	0.00	%	7.69	%	7.69	%
BARNSTABLE	11	3	14	19	2	21	15	3	18	50.00	%	28.57	%	14.28	%
BOSTON	79	18	97	63	12	75	44	27	71	22.68	%	26.80	%	5.33	%
BRIGHTON	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
BROCKTON	54	3	57	34	2	36	34	10	44	36.84	%	22.80	%	22.22	%
BROOKLINE	7	0	7	4	3	7	7	2	9	0.00	%	28.57	%	28.57	%
CAMBRIDGE	36	2	38	29	0	29	27	1	28	23.68	%	26.31	%	3.44	%
CHARLESTOWN	9	1	10	7	0	7	6	1	7	30.00	%	30.00	%	0.00	%
CHELSEA	12	0	12	15	1	16	8	0	8	33.33	%	33.33	%	50.00	%
CHICOPEE	20	2	22	17	5	22	23	3	26	0.00	%	18.18	%	18.18	%
CLINTON	15	0	15	12	0	12	11	1	12	20.00	%	20.00	%	0.00	%
CONCORD	9	1	10	5	1	6	7	1	8	40.00	%	20.00	%	33.33	%
DEDHAM	6	1	7	7	0	7	8	0	8	0.00	%	14.28	%	14.28	%
DORCHESTER	34	1	35	46	2	48	37	0	37	37.14	%	5.71	%	22.91	%
DUDLEY	18	1	19	10	3	13	15	3	18	31.57	%	5.26	%	38.46	%
EAST BOSTON	6	0	6	17	1	18	16	0	16	200.00	%	166.66	%	11.11	%
EDGARTOWN	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	**	0.00	**	0.00	%
FALL RIVER	20	3	23	23	2	25	20	3	23	8.69	%	0.00	%	8.00	%
FITCHBURG	27	3	30	8	4	12	15	2	17	60.00	%	43.33	%	41.66	%
FRAMINGHAM	18	1	19	14	2	16	17	1	18	15.78	%	5.26	%	12.50	%
GARDFIELD	5	2	7	3	0	3	4	1	5	57.14	%	28.57	%	55.56	%
GLOUCESTER	4	2	6	7	1	8	2	0	2	33.33	%	66.66	%	75.00	%
GREAT BARRINGTON	6	0	6	5	0	5	3	0	3	15.66	%	50.00	%	40.00	%
GREENFIELD	16	4	20	18	0	18	9	0	9	10.00	%	55.00	%	50.00	%
HAVERHILL	33	3	36	19	2	21	11	2	13	41.66	%	63.88	%	38.09	%
HINGHAM	25	0	25	19	4	23	20	0	20	3.00	%	20.00	%	13.04	%
HOLYOKE	30	3	33	43	9	52	30	8	38	57.57	%	15.15	%	26.92	%
IPSWICH	5	0	5	1	0	1	2	0	2	80.00	%	60.00	%	100.00	%
LAWRENCE	59	8	67	37	3	40	53	4	57	40.29	%	14.92	%	42.50	%
LEE	3	0	3	3	0	3	1	0	1	0.00	%	66.66	%	66.66	%
LEOMINSTER	5	1	6	6	0	6	7	2	9	0.00	%	50.00	%	50.00	%

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME	01-1282 #COMMITMENTS			01-1283 #COMMITMENTS			01-1284 #COMMITMENTS			PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984
	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T			
LOWELL	48	3	51	37	3	40	39	7	46	21.56- X	9.80- X	15.00 X
LYNN	30	3	33	22	1	23	38	1	39	30.30- X	18.18 X	59.56 X
MALDEN	11	0	11	13	0	13	5	0	5	18.18 X	54.54- X	61.53- X
MARLBOROUGH	22	2	24	13	1	14	6	3	9	41.66- X	62.50- X	33.71- X
MILFORD	6	2	8	6	1	7	6	1	7	12.50- X	12.50- X	0.00 X
NANTUCKET	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 **	0.00 **	0.00 X
NATICK	4	0	4	4	2	6	4	0	4	50.00 X	0.00 X	33.33- X
NEW BEDFORD	47	0	47	47	2	49	47	0	47	4.25 X	0.00 X	4.08- X
NEWBURYPORT	6	0	6	10	0	10	1	0	1	66.66 X	83.33- X	90.00- X
NEWTON	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	0	7	0.00 **	0.00 **	500.00 X
NORTH ADAMS	5	1	6	5	0	5	3	2	5	16.66- X	16.66- X	0.00 X
NORTHAMPTON	25	4	29	12	4	16	4	1	5	44.82- X	82.75- X	68.75- X
ORANGE	3	0	3	5	3	8	8	0	8	166.66 X	166.66 X	0.00 X
ORLEANS	4	1	5	4	1	5	0	0	0	0.00 X	0.00 **	0.00 **
PALMER	16	4	20	7	2	9	9	1	10	55.00- X	50.00- X	11.11 X
PEABODY	7	2	9	10	0	10	19	1	20	11.11 X	122.22 X	100.00 X
PITTSFIELD	16	1	17	11	2	13	10	0	10	23.52- X	41.17- X	23.07- X
PLYMOUTH	8	0	8	8	1	9	10	1	11	12.50 X	37.50 X	22.22 X
QUINCY	32	0	32	33	2	35	33	6	39	9.37 X	21.87 X	11.42 X
ROXBURY	23	4	27	14	1	15	13	2	15	44.44- X	44.44- X	0.00 X
SALEM	12	0	12	3	0	3	2	0	2	75.00- X	83.33- X	33.33- X
SOMERVILLE	17	2	19	11	4	15	8	3	11	21.05- X	42.10- X	26.66- X
SOUTH BOSTON	10	0	10	17	0	17	9	0	9	70.00 X	10.00- X	47.05- X
SPENCER	6	1	7	6	2	8	8	0	8	14.28 X	14.28 X	0.00 X
SPRINGFIELD	128	7	135	100	9	109	81	9	90	19.25- X	33.33- X	17.43- X
STOUGHTON	10	2	12	5	0	5	10	1	11	58.33- X	8.33- X	120.00 X
TAUNTON	11	1	12	12	0	12	8	1	9	0.00 X	25.00- X	25.00- X
UXBRIDGE	3	8	11	4	0	4	10	0	10	63.63- X	9.09- X	150.00 X
WALTHAM	17	1	18	9	2	11	11	2	13	38.88- X	27.77- X	18.18 X
WARE	2	0	2	7	1	8	7	0	7	300.00 X	250.00 X	12.50- X
WAREHAM	20	1	21	18	0	18	14	0	14	14.28- X	33.33- X	22.22- X
WEST ROXBURY	20	2	22	32	2	34	33	2	35	54.54 X	59.09 X	2.94 X
WESTBOROUGH	19	1	20	4	0	4	13	0	13	80.00- X	35.00- X	225.00 X
WESTFIELD	15	2	17	20	3	23	9	0	9	35.29 X	47.05- X	60.86- X

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

DYS COMMITMENTS: JUVENILES

COURT NAME -----	01-1282 #COMMITMENTS			01-1283 #COMMITMENTS			01-1284 #COMMITMENTS			PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984
	M	F	T	M	T		M	F	T	-----	-----	-----
WINCHENDON	7	0	7	3	3		2	0	2	57.14- %	71.42- %	33.33- %
WOBURN	20	2	22	14	14		6	3	9	35.36- %	59.09- %	35.71- %
WORCESTER	77	6	83	62	64		71	17	88	22.89- %	6.02 %	37.50 %
WRENTHAM	3	2	5	8	8		10	1	11	60.00 %	120.00 %	37.50 %
TOTAL	1320	129	1449	1120	113	1233	1058	144	1202	14.90- %	17.04- %	2.51- %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	33	2	35	27	2	29	22	2	24	17.14- %	31.42- %	17.24- %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	79	5	84	90	4	94	84	6	90	11.90 %	7.14 %	4.25- %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	62	5	67	48	3	51	23	3	26	23.88- %	61.19- %	49.01- %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	57	3	60	41	8	49	38	5	43	18.33- %	28.33- %	12.24- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	54	4	58	29	4	33	35	5	40	43.10- %	31.03- %	21.21 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	52	13	65	30	6	36	52	4	56	44.61- %	13.84- %	55.55 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT -----	01-1282 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	01-1283 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----
ADAMS	1	5	13	400.00 %	1200.00 %	160.00 %
AMESBURY	0	9	10	0.00 **	0.00 **	11.11 %
ATTEBORO	17	27	11	58.82 %	35.29 %	59.25 %
AYER	12	10	5	16.66 %	58.33 %	50.00 %
BARNSTABLE	31	27	32	12.90 %	3.22 %	13.51 %
BOSTON	164	282	398	71.95 %	142.58 %	41.13 %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BROCKTON	41	69	47	68.29 %	14.63 %	31.38 %
BROOKLINE	0	2	3	0.00 **	0.00 **	50.00 %
CAMBRIDGE	27	26	39	3.70 %	44.44 %	50.00 %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
CHICOPEE	32	28	18	12.50 %	43.75 %	35.71 %
CLINTON	0	5	2	0.00 **	0.00 **	60.00 %
CONCORD	6	7	23	16.66 %	283.33 %	229.57 %
DEDHAM	8	13	13	62.50 %	62.50 %	0.00 %
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
DUDLEY	6	3	36	50.00 %	500.00 %	1100.00 %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
FALL RIVER	46	54	51	17.39 %	10.86 %	5.55 %
FITCHBURG	5	10	19	100.00 %	280.00 %	90.00 %
FRAMINGHAM	21	24	17	14.28 %	19.04 %	29.15 %
GARDNER	10	23	9	130.00 %	10.00 %	60.86 %
GLOUCESTER	17	2	17	88.23 %	0.00 %	750.00 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	8	5	1	37.50 %	87.50 %	80.00 %
GREENFIELD	63	48	41	23.80 %	34.92 %	14.58 %
HAVERHILL	9	23	52	155.55 %	477.77 %	126.08 %
HINGHAM	19	7	20	63.15 %	5.26 %	185.71 %
HOLYOKE	5	14	69	180.00 %	1280.00 %	392.35 %
IPSWICH	0	0	2	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
LAWRENCE	34	66	88	94.11 %	158.82 %	33.33 %
LEE	9	1	1	88.88 %	88.88 %	0.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT -----	01-1282 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	01-1283 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----
LEOMINSTER	8	9	3	12.50 %	62.50 %	65.56 %
LOWELL	31	60	50	93.54 %	61.29 %	15.56 %
LYNN	29	38	26	31.03 %	10.34 %	31.57 %
MALDEN	25	60	57	140.00 %	123.00 %	5.00 %
MARLBOROUGH	29	31	31	6.89 %	5.39 %	0.00 %
MILFORD	5	10	5	100.00 %	0.00 %	50.00 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NATICK	3	3	11	0.00 %	266.66 %	266.66 %
NEW BEDFORD	66	97	66	46.96 %	0.00 %	31.95 %
NEWBURYPORT	4	4	11	0.00 %	175.00 %	175.00 %
NEWTON	2	12	2	500.00 %	0.00 %	83.33 %
NORTH ADAMS	4	20	20	400.00 %	400.00 %	0.00 %
NORTHAMPTON	23	32	36	39.13 %	56.52 %	12.50 %
ORANGE	12	41	31	241.66 %	158.33 %	24.39 %
ORLEANS	2	9	4	350.00 %	100.00 %	55.56 %
PALMER	5	26	9	420.00 %	80.00 %	65.38 %
PEABODY	4	6	5	50.00 %	25.00 %	15.56 %
PITTSFIELD	42	47	44	11.90 %	4.76 %	5.33 %
PLYMOUTH	9	37	11	311.11 %	22.22 %	70.27 %
QUINCY	61	67	86	9.83 %	40.98 %	23.35 %
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SALEM	1	0	37	0.00 %	3600.00 %	0.00 %
SOMERVILLE	2	15	19	650.00 %	850.00 %	25.56 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SPENCER	2	10	11	400.00 %	450.00 %	10.00 %
SPRINGFIELD	151	170	177	12.58 %	17.21 %	4.11 %
STOUGHTON	0	0	19	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
TAUNTON	55	56	27	1.81 %	50.90 %	51.78 %
UXBRIDGE	4	6	6	50.00 %	50.00 %	0.00 %
WALTHAM	18	19	18	5.55 %	0.00 %	5.26 %
WARE	2	4	5	100.00 %	150.00 %	25.00 %
WAREHAM	20	43	27	115.00 %	35.00 %	37.20 %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CARE & PROTECTION: JUVENILES

COURT -----	01-1282 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	01-1283 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	01-1284 #CHILDREN MAKING INITIAL COURT APPEARANCE -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----
WESTBOROUGH	4	2	5	50.00- %	25.00 %	150.00 %
WESTFIELD	28	19	18	32.14- %	35.71- %	5.25- %
WINCHENDON	11	11	1	0.00 %	90.90- %	90.90- %
WOBURN	12	14	28	16.66 %	133.33 %	100.00 %
WORCESTER	49	74	67	51.02 %	36.73 %	9.45- %
WRENTHAM	12	19	23	58.33 %	91.66 %	21.05 %
TOTAL	1326	1861	2033	40.34 %	53.31 %	9.24 %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	64	78	79	21.87 %	23.43 %	1.28 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	184	234	155	27.17 %	15.75- %	33.75- %
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	30	38	92	26.66 %	205.55 %	142.10 %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	65	68	64	4.61 %	1.53- %	5.38- %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	24	35	25	45.83 %	4.16 %	23.57- %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	21	31	63	47.61 %	200.00 %	103.22 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

TRANSFER HEARINGS - JUVENILES

COURT NAME	HEARINGS 01-1282	HEARINGS 01-1283	HEARINGS 01-1284	BINDOVERS 01-1282	BINDOVERS 01-1283	BINDOVERS 01-1284
ADAMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
AMESBURY	2	0	0	1	0	0
ATTLEBORO	0	2	0	0	0	0
AYER	4	0	1	1	0	0
BARNSTABLE	1	1	0	0	0	0
BOSTON	224	116	130	3	4	1
BRIGHTON	0	0	1	0	0	0
BROCKTON	12	27	11	0	2	0
BROCKLINE	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIDGE	6	1	1	2	1	0
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHELSEA	0	0	1	0	0	1
CHICOPEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONCORD	1	0	0	0	0	0
DEDHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0
DORCHESTER	10	0	4	0	1	0
DUDLEY	0	0	1	0	0	0
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDGARTOWN	6	0	0	0	0	0
FALL RIVER	0	0	1	0	0	0
FITCHBURG	9	0	1	1	0	1
FRAMINGHAM	0	0	2	0	0	1
GARDNER	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLOUCESTER	2	1	1	0	0	0
GREAT BARRINGTON	1	1	1	0	0	0
GREENFIELD	1	0	0	0	0	0
HAVERHILL	10	1	0	0	0	0
HINGHAM	5	12	4	0	0	0
HOLYOKE	0	1	1	1	0	0
IPSWICH	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	15	6	4	3	4	0
LEE	1	0	0	0	0	0
LEOMINSTER	0	0	1	0	0	1
LOWELL	4	1	5	0	0	1
LYNN	11	1	13	1	0	2
MALDEN	5	2	0	0	0	0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

TRANSFER HEARINGS - JUVENILES

COURT NAME	HEARINGS 01-1282	HEARINGS 01-1283	HEARINGS 01-1284	BINDOVERS 01-1282	BINDOVERS 01-1283	BINDOVERS 01-1284
MARLBOROUGH	1	1	2	0	0	1
MILFORD	0	0	1	0	0	0
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0
NATICK	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW BEDFORD	2	3	3	0	2	1
NEWBURYPORT	1	0	0	0	0	0
NEWTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	2	2	2	0	0	0
ORANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORLEANS	1	0	0	1	1	0
PALMER	0	2	1	0	0	1
PEABODY	0	0	0	0	0	0
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
PLYMOUTH	0	6	1	0	1	0
QUINCY	1	4	1	0	1	0
ROXBURY	18	5	4	0	0	0
SALEM	0	0	3	0	0	1
SOMERVILLE	5	9	1	1	1	0
SOUTH EASTON	1	4	0	0	1	0
SPENCER	0	1	0	0	0	0
SPRINGFIELD	4	4	1	7	3	1
STOUGHTON	0	1	0	0	0	0
TAUNTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
UXBRIDGE	5	0	0	0	0	0
WALTHAM	8	6	0	0	0	0
WARE	0	0	0	0	0	0
WAREHAM	4	6	2	0	0	0
WEST ROXBURY	10	30	13	0	1	0
WESTBOROUGH	1	0	0	0	0	0
WESTFIELD	1	0	0	1	0	0
WINCHESTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
WOBURN	0	0	0	0	0	0
WORCESTER	11	4	5	5	4	1
WRENTHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	406	251	224	28	27	14
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	2	1	1	0	0	0
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	2	5	4	0	2	1
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	15	2	1	1	0	0
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	5	1	5	1	0	2
NORTHERN JUVENILE DISTRICT	9	0	2	1	0	2
SOUTHERN JUVENILE DISTRICT	5	1	2	0	0	0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD 82	NEW YTD 83	NEW YTD 84	TERM YTD 82	TERM YTD 83	TERM YTD 84	TOT AS OF 1282	TOT AS OF 1283	TOT AS OF 1284	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
ADAMS	13	25	24	20	25	30	6	15	10	150.0 %	66.6 %	33.3 %
AMESBURY	19	25	20	21	22	19	17	20	21	17.6 %	23.5 %	5.0 %
ATTLEBORO	47	104	55	55	78	60	75	49	44	34.5 %	41.3 %	10.2 %
AYER	31	26	21	33	25	20	7	7	8	0.0 %	14.2 %	14.2 %
BARNSTABLE	45	59	95	60	68	89	77	68	74	11.6 %	3.8 %	8.8 %
BOSTON	617	841	1097	683	807	897	799	830	1030	3.8 %	29.9 %	24.0 %
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
BROCKTON	143	216	216	121	96	228	140	131	119	6.4 %	15.0 %	9.1 %
BROOKLINE	19	11	20	25	9	19	16	19	18	18.7 %	12.5 %	5.2 %
CAMBRIDGE	97	108	129	81	78	122	97	126	135	29.8 %	39.1 %	7.1 %
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
CHICPEE	26	16	24	16	5	23	9	29	30	222.2 %	233.3 %	3.4 %
CLINTON	43	52	33	53	58	31	16	10	12	37.5 %	25.0 %	20.0 %
CONCORD	15	17	14	4	18	19	12	15	8	25.0 %	33.3 %	46.5 %
DEDHAM	88	46	61	60	53	56	52	42	47	19.2 %	9.6 %	11.9 %
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
DUDLEY	97	93	74	94	99	59	43	39	49	9.3 %	13.9 %	25.6 %
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
EDGARTOWN	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	3	4	0.0 %	33.3 %	33.3 %
FALL RIVER	79	130	110	84	151	136	104	66	40	36.5 %	61.5 %	39.3 %
FITCHBURG	149	118	83	137	129	90	44	33	26	25.0 %	40.9 %	21.2 %
FRAMINGHAM	78	61	67	64	54	51	71	51	53	28.1 %	25.3 %	3.9 %
GARDNER	36	17	40	41	18	37	20	20	22	0.0 %	10.0 %	10.0 %
GLOUCESTER	19	18	24	11	18	11	12	12	25	0.0 %	108.3 %	108.3 %
GREAT BARRINGTON	8	12	7	11	9	3	3	2	6	33.3 %	100.0 %	200.0 %
GREENFIELD	4	5	13	0	5	9	5	2	6	60.0 %	20.0 %	200.0 %
HAVERHILL	53	44	54	37	29	40	24	39	0	62.5 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
HINGHAM	27	30	26	45	22	29	18	19	16	5.5 %	11.1 %	15.7 %
HOLYOKE	118	133	266	126	185	124	89	51	203	31.4 %	128.0 %	232.7 %
IPSWICH	2	7	4	3	4	5	0	3	2	0.0 %	0.0 %	33.3 %
LAWRENCE	43	54	101	29	30	75	42	66	92	57.1 %	119.0 %	39.3 %
LEE	9	14	11	17	8	8	1	6	9	500.0 %	900.0 %	50.0 %
LEOMINSTER	77	49	42	68	57	43	20	12	11	40.0 %	45.0 %	3.3 %
LOWELL	205	183	200	148	152	155	235	282	327	20.0 %	39.1 %	15.9 %
LYNN	192	259	273	128	107	236	142	235	195	65.4 %	37.3 %	17.0 %

FOOTNOTE: 1983 AND 1984 CASEFLOW STATISTICS INCLUDE CHINS FORMAL PLUS INFORMAL CASES

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD-82	NEW YTD-83	NEW YTD-84	TERM YTD-82	TERM YTD-83	TERM YTD-84	TOT AS OF 1282	TOT AS OF 1283	TOT AS OF 1284	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
MALDEN	173	130	99	142	97	70	107	140	114	30.8 %	6.5 %	18.5 %
MARLBOROUGH	48	56	30	54	53	25	10	13	0	30.0 %	0.0 **	0.0 **
MILFORD	42	45	38	49	46	32	31	30	39	3.2 %	25.8 %	30.0 %
NANTUCKET	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0.0 **	0.0 %	0.0 **
NATICK	33	23	33	39	21	15	19	14	36	26.3 %	99.4 %	157.1 %
NEW BEDFORD	162	220	301	150	196	248	53	79	132	49.0 %	149.0 %	57.0 %
NEWBURYPORT	13	13	25	10	9	23	10	14	16	40.0 %	60.0 %	14.2 %
NEWTON	8	15	24	16	12	16	17	17	25	0.0 %	47.0 %	47.0 %
NORTH ADAMS	37	45	31	56	43	37	27	31	26	14.8 %	3.7 %	16.1 %
NORTHAMPTON	78	52	81	62	65	60	34	12	33	64.7 %	2.9 %	175.0 %
ORANGE	1	15	18	0	11	11	1	5	13	400.0 %	1200.0 %	160.0 %
ORLEANS	13	10	26	21	10	23	18	18	14	0.0 %	22.2 %	22.2 %
PALMER	42	32	24	31	36	24	23	19	19	17.3 %	17.3 %	0.0 %
PEABODY	68	28	30	31	42	36	38	24	18	36.8 %	52.6 %	25.0 %
PITTSFIELD	93	84	74	85	74	45	57	45	55	21.0 %	3.5 %	22.2 %
PLYMOUTH	169	211	98	154	72	57	82	187	75	128.0 %	8.5 %	59.8 %
QUINCY	127	88	76	194	75	48	96	109	137	13.5 %	42.7 %	25.6 %
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SALEM	62	49	86	66	58	49	31	23	29	25.8 %	6.4 %	26.0 %
SOMERVILLE	131	22	81	62	34	62	134	120	81	10.4 %	39.5 %	32.5 %
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
SPENCER	32	25	37	27	31	24	29	23	38	20.6 %	31.0 %	55.2 %
SPRINGFIELD	248	210	219	248	213	221	59	56	54	5.0 %	8.4 %	3.5 %
STOUGHTON	37	18	37	37	37	18	44	29	38	34.0 %	13.6 %	31.0 %
TAUNTON	57	49	40	47	43	42	72	37	35	48.6 %	51.3 %	5.4 %
UXBRIDGE	46	45	41	55	48	28	22	20	36	9.0 %	53.6 %	90.0 %
WALTHAM	80	65	62	51	54	58	124	134	138	8.0 %	11.2 %	2.9 %
WARE	1	12	14	2	1	10	1	5	9	400.0 %	900.0 %	90.0 %
WAREHAM	29	45	45	29	49	42	16	12	15	25.0 %	6.2 %	25.0 %
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
WESTBOROUGH	58	56	33	60	55	29	28	29	27	3.5 %	3.5 %	6.8 %
WESTFIELD	11	18	32	13	18	22	19	18	28	5.2 %	47.3 %	55.5 %
WINCHENDON	39	32	25	37	28	25	6	10	10	66.6 %	66.6 %	0.0 %
WOBBURN	79	88	66	38	45	53	86	129	142	50.0 %	55.1 %	10.0 %
WORCESTER	168	263	326	160	239	256	105	129	199	22.8 %	89.5 %	54.2 %
WRENTHAM	46	51	52	51	50	47	26	27	32	3.8 %	23.0 %	18.5 %

FOOTNOTE: 1983 AND 1984 CASEFLOW STATISTICS INCLUDE CHINS FORMAL PLUS INFORMAL CASES

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CHINS CASEFLOW -- JUVENILE

COURT NAME	NEW YTD,82	NEW YTD,83	NEW YTD,84	TERM YTD,82	TERM YTD,83	TERM YTD,84	TOT AS OF 1282	TOT AS OF 1283	TOT AS OF 1284	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
TOTAL	4631	4888	5409	4354	4285	4484	3626	3870	4306	6.7 %	18.7 %	11.2 %
BERKSHIRE JUV. DISTRICT	160	180	147	189	159	123	94	99	1202	5.3 %	1173.7 %	1114.1 %
BRISTOL JUV. COURT	345	503	506	336	468	486	304	231	2791	24.0 %	819.0 %	1108.2 %
ESSEX JUV. DISTRICT	106	107	127	82	82	98	63	88	1212	39.6 %	1823.8 %	1277.2 %
MIDDLESEX JUV. DISTRICT	190	166	151	190	153	111	107	85	911	20.5 %	751.4 %	971.7 %
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	308	251	183	295	272	189	86	65	689	24.4 %	701.1 %	950.0 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	217	208	190	225	224	143	125	112	1582	10.4 %	1155.6 %	1312.5 %

FOOTNOTE: 1983 AND 1984 CASEFLOW STATISTICS INCLUDE CHINS FORMAL PLUS INFORMAL CASES

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
SUMMARY REPORT AS OF 12/84

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY-----			---STUBBORN-----			-----TRUANT-----			-SCHOOL OFFENDER-			-----TOTAL-----		
	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984
ADAMS	9	4	8	6	16	8	0	9	8	0	0	0	15	29	24
AMESBURY	9	9	7	4	7	9	3	9	4	1	0	0	17	25	20
ATTLEBORO	22	33	16	9	31	20	15	40	19	0	0	0	46	104	55
AYER	35	20	15	7	21	10	19	7	6	0	4	0	61	52	31
BARNSTABLE	37	24	25	17	26	29	19	31	40	3	0	1	76	81	95
BOSTON	199	163	128	235	274	170	645	931	768	10	66	31	1089	1434	1097
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BROCKTON	61	92	136	29	78	47	47	40	33	9	6	0	146	216	216
BROOKLINE	8	0	3	14	10	13	15	5	4	0	0	0	37	15	20
CAMBRIDGE	23	20	33	26	45	36	48	43	60	1	0	0	98	108	129
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHICOPEE	32	17	13	3	3	11	4	3	0	0	2	0	39	25	24
CLINTON	21	23	12	15	21	15	7	8	6	0	0	0	43	52	33
CONCORD	8	11	6	8	5	5	1	4	3	1	2	0	18	22	14
DEDHAM	18	18	19	31	26	23	43	17	18	0	0	6	92	61	65
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DUDLEY	46	43	28	50	34	35	16	14	11	0	0	0	112	91	74
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EDGARTOWN	0	1	1	1	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	1
FALL RIVER	42	43	31	17	41	42	18	46	11	0	0	0	77	130	110
FITCHBURG	60	58	45	66	40	22	22	17	10	1	3	6	149	118	83
FRAMINGHAM	23	24	11	53	25	33	20	13	23	1	0	0	97	62	67
GAFNER	34	10	10	24	10	15	8	7	14	0	0	1	55	27	40
GLOUCESTER	11	7	8	2	4	4	6	7	7	0	0	1	19	18	24
GREAT BARRINGTON	4	8	0	8	12	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	20	7
GREENFIELD	49	28	2	47	44	5	18	9	5	2	7	1	116	88	13
HAVERHILL	21	21	11	12	10	25	19	13	18	1	0	0	53	44	54
HINGHAM	17	20	13	7	7	5	2	6	6	1	1	1	27	34	25
HOLYOKE	28	25	38	36	32	49	57	73	179	0	7	0	121	137	256
IPSWICH	1	1	3	1	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	7	4
LAWRENCE	48	56	62	32	36	27	6	18	12	0	0	0	95	110	101
LEE	7	3	3	5	4	2	1	6	6	0	0	0	13	13	11
LECHESTER	14	10	15	30	16	11	32	22	12	1	1	4	77	49	42
LYNN	82	152	70	143	60	58	42	154	139	9	6	26	276	372	273
MALDEN	48	34	36	73	53	30	53	41	33	1	3	0	175	131	99

FOOTNOTE: 1982 AND 1983 NEW CASES INCLUDE CHINS APPLICATIONS ONLY

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
SUMMARY REPORT AS OF 12/84

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY---			---STUBBORN---			---TRUANT---			---SCHOOL OFFENDER---			-----TOTAL-----		
	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984
MARLBOROUGH	14	35	11	30	39	10	26	26	19	1	0	3	71	100	43
MILFORD	12	20	13	12	8	0	20	17	17	0	0	0	44	45	38
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
NATICK	10	6	8	10	9	11	17	10	13	0	0	1	37	25	33
NEW BEDFORD	62	106	97	43	38	94	71	92	103	6	3	7	182	239	301
NEWBURYPORT	2	3	8	3	6	9	7	4	7	1	0	1	13	13	25
NEWTON	1	2	1	2	6	11	1	4	9	0	0	4	4	12	24
NORTH ADAMS	20	18	11	19	16	11	11	14	9	0	0	0	50	48	31
NORTHAMPTON	46	36	11	107	70	40	37	24	30	0	0	0	190	130	81
ORANGE	0	8	10	5	6	4	5	2	3	0	4	1	10	20	18
ORLEANS	15	9	12	0	1	7	0	8	6	0	2	1	15	20	26
PALMER	42	27	8	17	19	11	5	4	4	1	0	1	65	50	24
PEABODY	22	9	7	21	7	10	17	11	10	0	0	3	60	27	30
PITTSFIELD	55	51	22	46	32	26	44	30	26	0	0	0	145	113	74
PLYMOUTH	56	109	45	28	22	24	31	22	21	8	9	8	123	162	98
QUINCY	56	28	31	76	30	23	25	34	22	0	0	0	157	92	76
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SALEM	40	66	25	27	4	8	48	30	23	0	0	0	115	100	56
SOMERVILLE	60	24	25	43	35	24	57	48	27	6	1	0	166	108	91
SOUTH BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPENCER	24	16	10	14	5	17	16	4	10	0	0	0	54	25	37
SPRINGFIELD	76	85	42	341	262	152	49	34	25	3	0	0	469	381	219
STOUGHTON	109	61	12	128	71	13	132	62	7	50	42	5	419	236	37
TAUNTON	23	18	11	16	21	9	19	25	21	0	0	0	58	64	41
UXERIDGE	12	11	11	13	26	12	28	8	18	0	0	0	53	45	41
WALTHAM	19	25	29	28	35	19	25	16	14	10	3	3	92	79	65
WARE	1	1	3	0	2	7	2	5	2	3	2	2	6	10	14
WAREHAM	13	18	18	12	15	11	3	10	16	0	1	0	28	44	45
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTBOROUGH	24	27	13	22	12	3	7	14	12	1	0	0	54	53	33
WESTFIELD	9	9	3	6	8	23	2	3	6	0	0	0	17	20	32
WINCHENDEN	18	17	12	10	14	4	7	1	5	0	0	0	35	32	25
WOBURN	27	23	14	29	32	37	29	32	15	2	2	0	87	89	65
WORCESTER	77	130	138	61	76	111	30	55	77	0	2	1	168	263	325
WRENTHAM	38	46	36	15	13	10	6	7	6	0	0	0	59	66	52
YTD: TOTAL	2118	2095	1602	2339	2046	1637	2037	2328	2233	134	182	122	5528	6651	5443

FOOTNOTE: 1982 AND 1983 NEW CASES INCLUDE CHINS APPLICATIONS ONLY

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION
MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
SUMMARY REPORT AS OF 12/84

CHINS: NEW CASES

COURT NAME	---RUNAWAY-----			---STUBBORN-----			-----TRUANT-----			-SCHOOL OFFENDER-			-----TOTAL-----		
	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984	01-12 1982	01-12 1983	01-12 1984
ANNUAL TOTAL	2118	2095	1546	2339	2046	1598	2037	2328	2158	134	182	116	5528	6651	5418
BERKSHIRE JUV. DISTRICT	95	84	44	84	80	54	57	59	49	0	0	0	236	223	147
BRISTOL JUV. COURT	149	200	175	85	131	171	123	203	154	6	3	7	363	537	507
ESSEX JUV. DISTRICT	44	41	37	22	28	52	35	38	36	3	0	2	104	107	127
MIDDLESEX JUV. DISTRICT	82	85	45	100	94	64	82	56	61	2	4	4	256	239	174
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	113	108	34	121	91	56	68	48	33	2	4	10	304	251	183
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUV.	94	90	62	89	73	72	80	43	56	0	0	0	253	206	190

FOOTNOTE: 1982 AND 1983 NEW CASES INCLUDE CHINS APPLICATIONS ONLY

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-82	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-83	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-84	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
AMESBURY	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
ATTLEBORO	0	0	500	0.00	X	0.00
AYER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
BARNSTABLE	0	0	60	0.00	X	0.00
BOSTON	0	0	1910	0.00	X	0.00
BRIGHTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
BROCKTON	0	0	50	0.00	X	0.00
BROOKLINE	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
CAMBRIDGE	0	0	1300	0.00	X	0.00
CHARLESTOWN	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
CHELSEA	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
CHICOPEE	0	0	25	0.00	X	0.00
CLINTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
CONCORD	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
DEDHAM	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
DORCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
DUDLEY	0	0	290	0.00	X	0.00
EAST BOSTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
EDGARTOWN	0	0	50	0.00	X	0.00
FALL RIVER	0	0	480	0.00	X	0.00
FITCHBURG	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
FRAMINGHAM	0	0	100	0.00	X	0.00
GARDNER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
GLOUCESTER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
GREAT BARRINGTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
GREENFIELD	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
HAVERHILL	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
HINGHAM	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
HOLYOKE	0	0	1775	0.00	X	0.00
IPSWICH	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
LEE	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
LEOMINSTER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00
LOWELL	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-82	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-83	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-84	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
LYNN	0	0	70	0.00	X	0.00 **
MALDEN	0	0	1385	0.00	X	0.00 **
MARLBOROUGH	0	0	175	0.00	X	0.00 **
MILFORD	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
NATICK	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
NEW BEDFORD	0	0	40	0.00	X	0.00 **
NEWBURYPORT	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
NEWTON	0	0	50	0.00	X	0.00 **
NORTH ADAMS	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
NORTHAMPTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
ORANGE	0	0	300	0.00	X	0.00 **
ORLEANS	0	0	100	0.00	X	0.00 **
PALMER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
PEABODY	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
PITTSFIELD	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
PLYMOUTH	0	0	370	0.00	X	0.00 **
QUINCY	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
ROXBURY	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
SALEM	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
SCHERVILLE	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
SOUTH EASTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
SPENCER	0	0	100	0.00	X	0.00 **
SPRINGFIELD	0	0	450	0.00	X	0.00 **
STOUGHTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
TAUNTON	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
UXBRIDGE	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
WALTHAM	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
WARE	0	0	75	0.00	X	0.00 **
WAREHAM	0	0	75	0.00	X	0.00 **
WEST ROXBURY	0	0	425	0.00	X	0.00 **
WESTBOROUGH	0	0	50	0.00	X	0.00 **
WESTFIELD	0	0	15	0.00	X	0.00 **
WINCHESTER	0	0	0	0.00	X	0.00 X
WOBURN	0	0	250	0.00	X	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

REDUCED COUNSEL FEE: JUVENILES

COURT	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-82	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-83	REDUCED COUNSEL FEE 01-12-84	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984
WORCESTER	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
WRENTHAM	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
TOTAL	0	0	10170	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BERKSHIRE JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
BRISTOL JUVENILE COURT	0	0	1020	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
ESSEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
MIDDLESEX JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	275	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **
NORTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
SOUTHERN WORCESTER JUVENILE DISTRICT	0	0	440	0.00 %	0.00 **	0.00 **

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT SUPERVISION CASES IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1982	NEW 01-12 1983	NEW 01-12 1984	-----TERMINATED----- 01-1282 01-1283 01-1284			TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-82	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-83	TOTAL* CASES AS OF 12-84	----TOTAL PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	SUPPORT PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	CASES----- PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
BARNSTABLE	394	223	794	125	60	15	1218	1362	2141	75.7 %	11.8 %	57.1 %
BERKSHIRE	13	80	266	1	2	24	12	92	327	2625.0 %	666.6 %	255.4 %
BRISTOL	404	821	669	213	337	311	445	929	1287	189.2 %	108.7 %	38.5 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	514	548	517	211	109	405	1889	2322	2385	26.2 %	22.9 %	2.7 %
FRANKLIN	57	46	37	13	13	29	146	179	237	62.3 %	22.6 %	32.4 %
HAMPDEN	290	361	310	764	352	404	754	957	865	14.7 %	26.9 %	9.6 %
HAMPSHIRE	140	110	196	37	71	155	460	507	583	26.7 %	10.2 %	14.9 %
MIDDLESEX	421	827	1125	383	262	269	8061	5725	6561	18.6 %	28.9 %	14.6 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	451	436	446	239	268	536	2217	2387	2297	3.6 %	7.6 %	3.7 %
PLYMOUTH	58	37	855	55	44	58	13	6	2733	20923.0 %	53.8 %	25450.0 %
SUFFOLK	409	442	457	74	126	268	1335	1651	1864	39.6 %	23.6 %	12.9 %
WORCESTER	388	305	634	350	470	271	2215	2058	2421	9.3 %	7.0 %	17.6 %
TOTAL	3539	4236	6376	2466	2114	2745	18765	18175	23701	26.3 %	3.1 %	30.4 %

YEAR-TO-DATE TOTAL INCLUDES STATEWIDE TOTAL FOR CURRENT MONTH; ANNUAL TOTAL INCLUDES NUMBER OF 'SUPPORT SUPERVISION' CASES FOR MONTH OF DECEMBER.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

SUPPORT COLLECTIONS IN THE PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

01/25/85

COURT NAME -----	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1982 -----	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1983 -----	SUPPORT COLLECTIONS JAN-DEC, 1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1983 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-1984 -----	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-1984 -----
BARNSTABLE	1632211	1998322	2347044	22.43 %	17.45 %	43.79 %
BERKSHIRE	5058	54917	424529	985.74 %	673.03 %	8293.21 %
BRISTOL	1073373	2322246	4169946	116.35 %	79.56 %	288.48 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
ESSEX	3553628	4650211	5625045	30.85 %	20.96 %	58.29 %
FRANKLIN	187837	214552	349273	14.22 %	62.79 %	85.94 %
HAMPDEN	1690271	1695565	1976460	0.31 %	16.56 %	16.93 %
HAMPSHIRE	686174	832551	1105069	21.33 %	32.73 %	61.04 %
MIDDLESEX	7865857	7400807	9167649	5.91 %	23.87 %	16.54 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
NORFOLK	4651380	5724642	7205021	23.07 %	25.85 %	54.90 %
PLYMOUTH	3657588	4723665	5603884	29.14 %	18.63 %	53.21 %
SUFFOLK	2087833	2924490	3829935	40.07 %	30.96 %	83.44 %
WORCESTER	4558824	4698297	5666381	3.05 %	24.86 %	28.68 %
TOTALS	31650034	37240265	47670236	17.66 %	28.00 %	50.61 %

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

INVESTIGATIONS IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1982	NEW 01-12 1983	NEW 01-12 1984	-----COMPLETED-----			IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-82	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-83	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-84	-----NEW CASES-----		
				01-1282	01-1283	01-1284				PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
BARNSTABLE	13	19	14	11	7	14	7	10	10	7.6 %	46.1 %	26.3 %
BERKSHIRE	33	60	12	29	42	16	4	22	18	63.6 %	81.8 %	30.0 %
BRISTOL	108	92	36	112	107	64	32	17	39	20.3 %	14.8 %	6.5 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	153	170	153	134	157	172	110	118	88	0.0 %	11.1 %	10.0 %
FRANKLIN	46	56	15	27	52	10	6	3	8	67.3 %	21.7 %	73.2 %
HAMPDEN	40	61	73	46	52	65	6	15	23	82.5 %	52.5 %	19.6 %
HAMPSHIRE	101	140	113	100	140	113	6	7	7	11.8 %	38.6 %	19.2 %
MIDDLESEX	225	266	279	206	267	300	80	79	55	24.0 %	18.2 %	4.8 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	194	192	161	259	174	162	0	49	49	17.0 %	1.0 %	16.1 %
PLYMOUTH	2838	2571	226	2837	2547	207	20	20	39	92.0 %	9.4 %	91.2 %
SUFFOLK	367	519	513	275	342	553	249	426	386	39.7 %	41.4 %	1.1 %
WORCESTER	222	183	157	211	196	142	48	36	51	29.2 %	17.5 %	14.2 %
TOTAL COLUMNS 1-6	4340	4329	1802	4247	4083	1818	568	802	773	58.4 %	0.2 %	58.3 %

* YEAR-TO-DATE TOTAL INCLUDES STATEWIDE TOTAL FOR CURRENT MONTH; ANNUAL TOTAL INCLUDES NUMBER OF *IN PROCESS* CASES FOR MONTH OF DECEMBER.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

MEDIATIONS IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT NAME	NEW 01-12 1982	NEW 01-12 1983	NEW 01-12 1984	-----COMPLETED-----			IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-82	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-83	IN* PROCESS AS OF 12-84	----NEW CASES-----		
				01-1282	01-1283	01-1284				PERCENT CHANGE 82-84	PERCENT CHANGE 82-83	PERCENT CHANGE 83-84
BARNSTABLE	66	77	190	66	79	190	0	0	0	187.8 %	16.6 %	145.7 %
BERKSHIRE	24	95	48	24	88	46	1	0	1	100.0 %	295.8 %	49.4 %
BRISTOL	1969	1947	1916	1969	1947	1797	0	0	0	2.6 %	1.1 %	1.5 %
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
ESSEX	1335	1974	2025	1333	1881	2025	0	0	0	51.6 %	47.8 %	2.5 %
FRANKLIN	169	212	124	172	211	122	0	0	2	26.6 %	25.4 %	41.5 %
HAMPDEN	329	299	375	366	311	373	6	1	3	13.9 %	9.1 %	25.4 %
HAMPSHIRE	1070	950	435	1076	956	433	6	1	3	59.3 %	11.2 %	54.2 %
MIDDLESEX	822	953	1112	813	910	1107	14	16	17	35.2 %	15.9 %	16.6 %
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
NORFOLK	3279	3291	3209	3284	3341	3209	0	0	0	2.1 %	0.3 %	2.4 %
PLYMOUTH	923	283	2469	523	283	2468	0	0	0	167.4 %	69.3 %	772.4 %
SUFFOLK	62	180	234	53	181	234	1	0	0	277.4 %	190.3 %	30.0 %
WORCESTER	1439	2213	1342	1439	2271	1331	0	0	0	6.7 %	53.7 %	39.3 %
TOTAL COLUMNS 1-6	11487	12474	13479	11118	12459	13335	28	18	26	17.3 %	9.5 %	9.0 %

* YEAR-TO-DATE TOTAL INCLUDES STATEWIDE TOTAL FOR CURRENT MONTH; ANNUAL TOTAL INCLUDES NUMBER OF 'IN PROCESS' CASES FOR MONTH OF DECEMBER.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CONTEMPTS HEARD IN THE PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT
[CIVIL AND CRIMINAL]

COURT NAME	-----1982-----						-----1983-----						-----1984-----					
	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL	CONT	DISM	COMM	WAGE ASGN	OTH JUDG	TOTAL
BARNSTABLE	132	19	0	75	315	541	151	24	0	81	188	444	95	16	0	33	155	309
BERKSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	3	7	6	0	0	8	6	20
BRISTOL	55	9	0	7	14	85	81	8	1	10	17	117	76	22	0	27	7	132
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ESSEX	58	34	3	91	60	246	57	16	2	47	114	236	35	37	2	62	119	255
FRANKLIN	27	3	2	7	46	85	64	11	3	13	32	123	35	6	1	20	29	91
HAMPDEN	408	164	18	108	376	1074	412	120	7	99	214	852	106	58	5	197	321	687
HAMPSHIRE	385	73	3	47	440	948	293	71	3	68	374	809	301	95	5	115	371	887
MIDDLESEX	365	79	1	113	159	717	227	73	0	102	188	593	225	80	0	235	142	682
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORFOLK	101	4	0	38	201	344	110	0	0	108	373	591	121	15	0	295	155	587
PLYMOUTH	168	20	4	60	40	292	79	9	0	29	8	125	173	23	4	77	21	298
SUFFOLK	560	21	0	76	188	845	1184	49	0	80	437	1750	1248	51	2	140	504	1945
WORCESTER	141	0	0	72	166	379	107	27	6	167	89	396	193	128	0	352	32	755
TOTAL	2400	426	31	694	2005	5556	2766	408	22	807	2037	6040	2614	531	19	1561	1923	6648

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PROBATION

CONTEMPTS FILED IN THE PROBATE & FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT

COURT	CIVIL 01-12 1982	CIVIL 01-12 1983	CIVIL 01-12 1984	CRIMINAL 01-12 1982	CRIMINAL 01-12 1983	CRIMINAL 01-12 1984	TOTAL 01-12 1982	TOTAL 01-12 1983	TOTAL 01-12 1984	PERCENT CHANGE 1982-83	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-84	PERCENT CHANGE 1983-84
BARNSTABLE	473	293	349	0	0	1	473	293	350	38.05% X	26.00% X	19.45 X
BERKSHIRE	1	6	35	0	0	0	1	6	35	500.00 X	3400.00 X	483.33 X
BRISTOL	96	123	146	0	0	0	96	123	146	28.12 X	52.08 X	18.59 X
DUKES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 X	0.00 X	0.00 X
ESSEX	226	251	292	0	0	0	226	251	292	11.06 X	29.20 X	16.33 X
FRANKLIN	36	26	32	0	0	0	36	26	32	27.77% X	11.11% X	23.07 X
HAMPDEN	696	504	534	0	0	0	696	504	534	27.58% X	23.27% X	5.95 X
HAMPSHIRE	136	165	180	0	0	0	136	165	180	21.32 X	32.35 X	9.09 X
MIDDLESEX	1147	459	414	0	0	0	1147	459	414	59.98% X	63.90% X	9.80% X
NANTUCKET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 X	0.00 X	0.00 X
NORFOLK	331	411	350	0	0	0	331	411	350	24.16 X	5.74 X	14.84% X
PLYMOUTH	191	116	136	0	0	0	191	116	136	39.26% X	29.79% X	17.24 X
SUFFOLK	101	219	254	0	0	0	101	219	254	116.83 X	151.48 X	15.98 X
WORCESTER	397	335	326	0	0	0	397	335	326	15.61% X	17.88% X	2.68% X
TOTAL	3831	2908	3048	0	0	1	3831	2908	3049	24.09% X	20.41% X	4.84 X